



**CITY OF PACIFICA
CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**

**Council Chambers
2212 Beach Blvd
Pacifica, CA 94044**

Mayor Mike O'Neill
Mayor Pro Tem John Keener
Councilmember Sue Digre
Councilmember Sue Vaterlaus
Councilmember Deirdre Martin

September 25, 2017 (MONDAY)

www.cityofpacifica.org

Mayor Mike O'Neill called the meeting to order on September 25, 2017 at 7:00 PM

5:30 PM CLOSED SESSION

Mayor O'Neill called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m., stating that all councilmembers were present and announced that the Council would meet in Closed Session. City Attorney Kenyon announced the business to be discussed.

PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.8 Conference with real property negotiators. Property: 2212 Beach Blvd., Pacifica, CA Agency negotiator: Keith Breskin, Interim City Manager; Michelle Marchetta Kenyon, City Attorney; Tina Wehrmeister, Planning Director; Pat O'Keefe, Consultant. Negotiating parties: City of Pacifica and Friend Development Group LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. Under negotiation: Instruction to negotiators regarding price and terms of payment.

PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.8 Conference with real property negotiator. Property: 310 Esplanade APN 009-413-010 and 320 Esplanade APN 009-413-020; Agency negotiator: Michelle Kenyon, City Attorney. Negotiating parties City of Pacifica and Illard Tong; Under negotiation: Price and terms of payment.

7:00 PM OPEN SESSION

Call to Order

Mayor O'Neill reconvened/called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

| Attendee Name | Title | Status | Arrived |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Mike O'Neill | Mayor | Present | |
| John Keener | Mayor Pro Tem | Present | |
| Sue Digre | Councilmember | Present | |
| Sue Vaterlaus | Councilmember | Present | |
| Deirdre Martin | Councilmember | Present | |

Staff Present: Keith Breskin, Interim City Manager; Michelle Kenyon, City Attorney; Lorenzo Hines, Asst. City Manager; Van Ocampo, Public Works Director; Tina Wehrmeister, Planning

Director; Christian Murdock, Senior Planner; Dan Steidle, Police Chief; Mike Perez, PB&R Director; Ed Vandehey, MIS Manager.

Salute to the Flag led by Mayor Pro Tem Keener

Closed Session Report

City Attorney Kenyon stated that there was no reportable action.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Proclamation - Fire Prevention Month

Mayor O'Neill read a proclamation honoring Fire Prevention Month.

Bill Wilson, Fire Department, reported that they will have an open house on October 14 at the fire house.

Councilmember Digre asked him if he would like to say something about two-story houses.

Mr. Wilson asked if she was referring to escape routes.

Councilmember Digre responded affirmatively.

Mr. Wilson stated that they don't want people to jump out from second stories and it was important if smoke alarms are working and they should practice two ways out.

Councilmember Digre asked if we should think about practicing with step ladders.

Mr. Wilson stated that they are available but you need to practice before you use it, adding that most people are not capable of using them. He thought the smoke detectors for early notification are a better way of doing that and planning the ways out around that.

Councilmember Digre mentioned hearing that, if you are stuck in the second floor, you should use something white to wave at the windows.

Mr. Wilson stated that you should do that as you want to get attention to get help. He added that their response times are good and they look for people on upper balconies and windows to get to them effectively.

Mayor O'Neill stated that there were things with the Fire Department that are unsung. He referred to their maintenance of insurance ratings on home.

Mr. Wilson stated that they did go through an ISO rating and they were able to keep their rating in place.

Mayor O'Neill added that it means everyone's fire insurance is cheaper.

Mr. Wilson responded affirmatively.

Mayor O'Neill mentioned that one company is cancelling home owner insurance because of our wilderness area in Pacifica.

Mr. Wilson stated that he had not heard that.

Mayor O'Neill thought it was Liberty that was cancelling home owner insurance. He stated that because of the Fire Department's efforts to keep insurance lower, residents were saving money on premiums. He thanked the Fire Department for that.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she appreciated the Fire Department as they do a great job.

Councilmember Digre assumed we were still in good standing regarding the businesses.

Mr. Wilson agreed, stating that ISO was one rating across the board. He stated that they are very thorough.

Local Business Presentation Royal Bee Yarn Company - Kelly and Tony Corten

Kelly Corten of Royal Bee Yarn Company, thanked Council for giving them the opportunity to talk about their business. She gave a brief background of their original dream to open up a coffee shop but didn't because of the competition of Starbuck's, and then 28 years later they have opened up a yarn shop.

Tony Corten of Royal Bee Yarn Company, stated that they came from England and Wales and they fell in love with Pacifica. He stated he was a third grade teacher at Ortega and his wife works for a high tech firm. He explained how one of her projects inspired them to open the yarn shop.

Kelly Corten explained their desire to create a space for people to enjoy each other and they will have classes and children craft parties. She stated that they have been embraced by the crafting community.

Tony Corten explained further their future goals.

Mayor O'Neill asked where they were located.

Kelly Corten stated that they are in Eureka Square between the yoga studio and nail salon, mentioning their sign says "the right to bear yarns."

Mayor O'Neill asked their hours.

Kelly Corten stated that it is Wednesday to Sunday, 11 am to 6 pm but they extend hours on Wednesdays and Thursdays for people to bring their projects.

Mayor O'Neill referred to their mention of discovering Pacifica on a foggy, rainy day, and he asked if that reminded them of Wales.

Tony Corten stated that it did. He mentioned that they were encouraged to visit it and it was the first place they could call home in 27 years.

Councilmember Vaterlaus wanted them to read the sign, "the right to bear yarns."

Mayor O'Neill asked them to reiterate the name of the store and their times.

Tony Corten mentioned the name and hours.

Mayor O'Neill then asked them to mention their website.

Tony Corten mentioned that it was www.theroyalbeeyarncompany.com, [<http://www.theroyalbeeyarncompany.com.>](http://www.theroyalbeeyarncompany.com) adding that they were also on Facebook and Instagram.

Mayor O'Neill thanked them for being the second business to give a presentation, adding thanks for opening their store in Pacifica.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor O'Neill stated that he had a card for Consent Item 5 and it would be pulled off the consent calendar.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he had questions or comments on Items 6, 7 and 8.

Mayor O'Neill asked if he wanted to pull them off.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated it was not necessary. He stated that Item 6 was a waiver for the fees for Pacificans Care fundraiser. He thought this might raise the question as to whether other charitable groups want to have a waiver. He thought they should make a new category of reduced fees for charitable organizations and place it on the budget for the next year.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he thought they have a separate schedule for non-profits.

Mayor pro Tem Keener agreed, adding that he thought it should apply to Pacificans Care. He stated that their fee is still around \$1,200. He stated that they will be giving away everything they make and were asking the city to waive the fee. He supported their request and asked that they consider having a category of fees for rental of the community center that fits the waiver request.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it was not on the agenda and they can't discuss it.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that this item was on the agenda.

Mayor O'Neill agreed but they could not discuss the policy change.

Mayor pro Tem Keener agreed, and suggested that they deal with it during the budget process. He then referred to Item #7, and asked PW Dir. Ocampo if this was part of a special tax district to provide revetments years ago.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that the initial rock revetment was installed in 1997/1998 El Nino and was paid by FEMA at that time. He stated that this project will replenish the rocks lost during the last disaster.

Mayor pro Tem Keener mentioned that this was described in the staff report to City Council with start date of March 13, 2017. He stated that, on the project costs, the construction management

and inspection was estimated at 10% of the construction cost, and he asked who did the construction management and inspection for this.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that Assoc. Civil Engr. Ryan Marquez sent out an RFP and three companies submitted. He stated that the next step will be for staff to come back to Council to award the contract for the construction manager for this project.

Mayor pro Tem Keener assumed it was outside companies.

PW Dir. Ocampo responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem Keener referred to Item #8, stating that he tried to read the work plan. He asked if this work plan was due September 30.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that it was actually due June 2017 but the Regional Board said they could submit it with the plan in September.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked, if it was possible, if staff could get it to the Council two weeks earlier. He assumed it was a plan to locate green infrastructure which could be anything but this was to intercept storm water.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that was part of it.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that CCAG and the city had funding source analysis and recommendations and he couldn't find it so didn't know if it was published on line yet. He also mentioned that this was only a work plan and he thought the actual green infrastructure plan will be developed over the next couple of years.

PW Dir. Ocampo responded affirmatively, and stated it will be returned to Council for approval. He stated that this was just the work plan to create the actual plan.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he would like to move Item #14 up to #13 and #13 would be #14.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked him why.

Mayor O'Neill thought, since most people would be leaving after the sanctuary city item, it would be prudent for everyone to hear the financials of what was going on with the city. He stated that the Asst. City Manager said it was a 20-minute presentation. He stated that he needs a motion to approve the consent calendar with the change in the agenda item, minus Item #5.

City Attorney Kenyon asked if he wanted to approve consent. She thought he was dealing with the agenda.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it was consent and agenda.

Mayor pro Tem Keener assumed they were two separate items.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they should be separate motions.

Mayor O'Neill asked for a motion for the consent agenda minus Item #5.

| | |
|------------------|---|
| RESULT: | ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS] |
| MOVER: | Sue Vaterlaus, Councilmember |
| SECONDER: | John Keener, Mayor Pro Tem |
| AYES: | O'Neill, Keener, Digre, Vaterlaus, Martin |

1. Approval of Disbursements for 09/01/17 through 09/11/17.
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to approve attached list of disbursements for 09/01/17 through 09/11/17.

2. Approval of Minutes
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to approve the minutes of the regular meeting held on September 11, 2017

3. Response to San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury Report: "A Delicate Balance: Privacy Vs. Protection."
PROPOSED ACTION: Approve the letter responding to the July 12, 2017 report by the San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury entitled "A Delicate Balance: Privacy vs. Protection," and authorize the Mayor to sign and send the letter on behalf of the City Council.

4. Adopt Resolution Adopting the Job Description for Recreation Manager
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to adopt Resolution adopting the job description for Recreation Manager

5. A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Pacifica Adopting the Senate Bill (SB) 1 Proposed Project List
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to Adopt the resolution next in order A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Pacifica Adopting the Senate Bill (SB) 1 Proposed Project List.

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments.

Tygarijas Bigstycck, Pacifica, apologized for not spending enough time to read the work packet. He thought this item seemed a parallel with all the talk about national politics at the previous meeting and there needed to be a refocus on city politics. He stated that one thing that interests him was the potholes in the roads. He then decided to ask clarifying questions on SB1 to understand what it meant. He hoped some things could be explained as he wasn't sure if they were furnishing the state with a wish list or if they were identified projects already under way and asking for help with them. He stated that it looked like only four items and they were items already under way, mentioning the four and adding that the pavement rehabilitation project for 2017-18 seemed to only take into account a portion of Milagra Drive and a portion of Linda Mar Boulevard. Since he was interested in all the potholes throughout the city, he asked if this was supposed to be a comprehensive wish list or just a matter of identified projects already underway which justified the request for money. He felt an explanation would be good since he thought he was not the only one interested in the city infrastructure and this was the opportunity to know what projects are underway and what SB1 means for Pacifica.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated he would ask PW Dir. Ocampo.

Mayor O'Neill asked the City Attorney about the speaker asking for information on SB1 and Mayor pro Tem Keener wanted to ask a question but it was in oral communications and not on the agenda. He asked if it was okay for him to ask the question.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that it was not oral communications.

Mayor O'Neill understood it was a consent item and apologized.

Mayor pro Tem Keener suggested they go over the list quickly, mentioning the Manor Drive/Paloma Avenue bridge deck repair project, citywide curb ramp project, Palmetto sidewalk project and pavement rehabilitation projects, including Oceana Blvd. from Milagra to Monterey and Linda Mar from Adobe to Alicante. He asked if these were part of a wish list or on the list because they were set and the funding would come to the city via SB1.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that SB1 provides for additional funding to cities for the purpose of local street and road rehabilitation or overlay projects. He stated that it is not supposed to pay for existing programs but to pay for new projects. He stated that part of the requirement for SB1 is to adopt a resolution indicating and describing the actual projects to which the money will go. As the budget has already been approved, this was for Council to adopt a resolution describing the actual projects. He stated that the projects they have were projects they need to get done within the period for which they have money, particularly Linda Mar Blvd., as the pavement from Adobe to Alicante is in very bad shape. He stated that the ADA requirements for sidewalk repairs require a path for individuals with disabilities to get between places safely. He stated that Sr. Engr. Donguines wanted him to add that these projects were already in the CIP.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked how long before there is a new funding for which they have to apply again.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that SB1 is an ongoing thing with the amount increasing each year. He stated it was almost like doubling the gas tax they are going to get. He explained that for the first year, it will be for the first half, January to June, and the following year in 2018-19 will be the full fiscal year.

Mayor pro Tem Keener assumed it was January to June of 2018.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that it was to June 2017.

Mayor pro Tem Keener concluded that for the next year we will see more projects.

PW Dir. Ocampo stated that for fiscal year 2017-18, just half the year, they estimate receiving \$213,607 and for the next fiscal year, 2018-19, they estimate the city will receive \$640,785.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked if that will be spent on these four projects.

PW Dir. Ocampo agreed, adding that as part of the next fiscal year, depending on the economy, if they have additional money, they can add projects to it. He stated that the goal is to ensure that SB1 money does not go toward ongoing expenditures by the city, only paying for new projects.

Mayor O'Neill asked that they vote.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked if they need a motion.

Mayor O'Neill acknowledged that they needed a motion.

Mayor pro Tem Keener moved that they approve Item #5; seconded by Councilmember Vaterlaus.

5-0

Mayor O'Neill asked if he could have a motion on moving Item 14 to Item 13.

Councilmember Digre stated that she preferred that they not change it but they could "market" that it was very important to stay for that.

Mayor O'Neill asked if she wanted to make a motion.

Councilmember Martin stated that she could not hear what she said.

Councilmember Digre stated that she preferred that they not change the agenda but encourage people to know what the next item was and stay for it.

Mayor O'Neill asked if anyone wanted to make a motion.

Councilmember Vaterlaus thought it was very important for people to listen to their budget and not get up and leave as so often happens. She stated that, for this issue, it was important to hear the budget.

Councilmember Vaterlaus moved that they move Item 14 to Item 13 and Item 13 to Item 14; seconded by Mayor O'Neill.

4-1 (Digre voting no)

6. Pacificans Care Fee Waiver Request for Community Center Event Usage.
PROPOSED ACTION: Approve the request from Pacificans Care to waive most of the fees related to their special event fundraiser at the Community Center.
7. Award of Contract for the 500 Esplanade Coastal Protection Rock Revetment Repair Project
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to Authorize Award of Construction Contract to Michael Roberts Construction, Inc. (Attachment 1) for the 500 Esplanade Avenue Coastal Protection Rock Revetment Repair Project; Authorize the City Manager to execute all necessary documents associated with the project; and Approve Budget Authority in the amount of \$1,425,534.28 from the Disaster Accounting Fund (Fund 38), 90% of which to be reimbursed by combination of the City's insurance and California Disaster Assistance Act (CDAA) Funds.
8. A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Pacifica Approving the Workplan to Develop a Green Infrastructure Plan for the City of Pacifica in Accordance with Provision C.3.J of the Municipal Regional Permit and Approving Budget Authority in the Amount of

\$50,000 from the Stormwater Funds (Fund 16) to Commence Development of the Green Infrastructure Plan

PROPOSED ACTION: Move to Adopt the Resolution next in order A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Pacifica approving the Workplan to develop a Green Infrastructure Plan for the City of Pacifica in accordance with Provision C.3.j of the Municipal Regional Permit; and Approve Budget Authority in the Amount of \$50,000 from the Stormwater Fund (Fund16) to Commence Development of the Green Infrastructure Plan

9. Approval of Amendment No. 3 to the Consultant Services Agreement Between the City of Pacifica and Terraphase Engineering in the Amount of \$20,000 to Perform Further Environmental Impact Evaluation to Complete the Environmental Phase of the Wet Weather Equalization Basin Project

PROPOSED ACTION: Move to Approve Amendment No. 3 to the Consultant Services Agreement between the City of Pacifica and Terraphase Engineering in the amount of \$20,000 to perform further environmental impact evaluation to complete the environmental phase of the Wet Weather Equalization Basin Project.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Victor Spano, Pacifica, member of Chamber of Commerce, stated that they have upcoming events, OctoberFest on September 30 at the Sharp Park Golf Course and golf tournament on October 14. He then referred to Beach Boulevard, stating that they have heard comments that the idea of transforming the site from a hotel site to an ocean discovery center was being seriously considered. He was intrigued by that. He felt they should look at it but it should be brought to the community as that community thought there was going to be a hotel and library. He stated that AF Canta Company, Eileen Canta, the project proponent that was not selected for the site, was also intrigued by an ocean discovery center and might want to be an investor in it or integrate such a center into her project should she become the Beach Blvd. hotel developer. He stated that she was still very interested and loves the site and, if the city's negotiations with Friend Group fall through, she was standing by to talk to the city.

Suzanne Moore, Pacifica, stated she was a Pacifica homeowner and member of the Fair Rents steering committee. She stated that she spent several hours at the FogFest for Yes on C for fair rents. She stated that she was impressed by those who stopped by and by their questions. She stated that the questions fell into two categories, those afraid based on what they had seen and experienced and those afraid based on recent misinformation. She stated that people have experienced sudden high rent increases of \$500 to \$1000 monthly and families are separated as children stay with grandma or aunt and parents go to a new town seeking affordable housing and new jobs. She stated that people are evicted without cause and the financial burden of an unplanned move is sometimes devastating. She stated that their volunteers explained the ordinance, the cost of the program which is cost neutral to the city with no new tax. She stated that the tenants protected by the ordinance are current neighbors and the most vulnerable people in our community for displacement. She stated that the ordinance clearly states that the number of people in an apartment is defined by the rental agreement. The spirit of the state law referred to in the ordinance is to protect families, like a couple with a new baby, from being evicted because a newborn is not on the lease. She stated that 16 people in a two-bedroom apartment is a bizarre interpretation of that state law. She stated that the absurd ad was created for one reason, to manipulate and engender fear. She stated that Measure C protects tenants at multi-unit buildings built before 1995 from unfair sizeable rent

increases and evictions without cause and displacement from the community that they love. She asked that citizens vote yes on Measure C.

Ellen Hage, Pacifica, stated she has been a Pacifica homeowner for 40 years. She stated that for over two years, she and others have volunteered countless hours in an effort to support an ordinance that will provide rental protection for families and individuals living in apartments in Pacifica. She stated that they have conducted themselves in a respectful, peaceful and honest way but were disheartened, demoralized and very offended by the false information in the Pacifica Tribune ads as well as the campaign for No on C that Mayor O'Neill and Councilmember Vaterlaus support and attempt to defend. She stated that the renters in Pacifica have no lobby in Sacramento to protect them from unfair and unjust rent increases and evictions. She stated that renters have no trade associations like SAMCAR, the California Apartment Association or the National Real Estate Association going to bat for their interests. She stated that the last time she checked Pacifica's website the National Real Estate Association had kicked in over \$200,000 to defeat Measure C. She stated that renters relied on Mayor O'Neill and Councilmember Vaterlaus who were both realtors and whose responsibility it was to address the needs of the whole community with fairness, honesty and justice but both voted against renters in Pacifica when they voted against a temporary moratorium on rent increases until the November election. She stated that everyone was entitled to their opinions but as elected officials they were not entitled to make up facts and misrepresent the facts and stated the ordinance attacks and raising the fear of Pacificans who cannot afford increased taxes was unconscionable. She stated that Pacificans have a right to the truth and not to be misled by their elected officials. She didn't enjoy speaking at the Council meeting but her faith tradition teaches that all people have dignity and are deserving of respect and justice and at the very least a roof over their head that they can afford.

Carolyn Jaramillo, Pacifica, stated that she was a Pacifica homeowner and stated that Measure C will protect renters from exorbitant rent increases and will be paid for by a \$19/month fee on each apartment plus a \$6/month fee the first year to cover startup costs. She stated that these fees may be passed on to the tenants by apartment owners. Renters see the fees as a type of insurance providing stability and preventing greedy increases. She stated that Measure C does not create a new tax. She felt that, due to the misinformation being spread by their opponents, it was necessary to repeat that Measure C does not create a new tax. She asked that residents read the ordinance and see that there is no tax provision. She reiterated that Measure C will be paid for by the renters. She stated that their opponents learned from focus groups that the greatest fear people have about any measure is that it will cost them. She was saddened that they are using that fear to spread falsehoods and angry that Mayor O'Neill and Councilmember Vaterlaus are endorsing the falsehoods which implies that the campaign for Measure C is lying. She was proud to serve on the steering committee of the campaign and she resents the implications that they are lying. She urged all Councilmembers to publicly acknowledge that Measure C does not create a tax. She stated that it is now endorsed by many unions and associations, including teachers, public health nurses, the San Mateo Labor Council, San Mateo County Democratic Party and the Sierra Club because they feel that fair rents is a moral issue. Yes on C means standing with renters who are willing to pay for the ordinance. She asked that they vote yes on Measure C.

Gloria Stofan, Pacifica, stated she was a Pacifica homeowner for 50+ years and a member of Faith in Action, a nonprofit interfaith grassroots group. She stated that it was not easy for her to face them. She was stunned to see the ads in the Tribune the last few weeks and had to write a letter to the Tribune. She stated that the ads referred to Measure C as a hidden tax. She stated that the measure was crafted by the Council and City Attorney where the objective of the

ordinance was to be fair to both tenant and landlord. She stated that there was no mention of a tax to Pacificans. She stated that the creation of a rental housing commission was just that, with the City Council appointing the housing commission, two tenants, two landlords, three members at large. She stated that these positions are voluntary, not paid positions. She stated that the fees to run the commission was mentioned by Carolyn Jaramillo. She stated that the ads with misinformation circulating in Pacifica were wrong. She asked that they let the voter decide in November. She also urged Councilmembers to publicly acknowledge that Measure C is not a hidden tax.

Lynn Adams, Pacifica, stated that two weeks ago the Beach Coalition was active with the citizens of Pacifica, including community groups, in Coastal Cleanup Day. She thanked Public Works, PB&R and Pacifica for all their support and help. She stated that it takes a while for results with the FogFest following the Coastal Cleanup Day, but 20 of the 30+ sites reporting they have 1,241 volunteers who picked up over one ton of litter, 2200 pounds of trash, 500 pounds of recycle and approximately 100 pounds of rigid plastic. Included in the trash, but going to be recycled, were 15 gallons of cigarette butts. She stated that they are packaged up and ready to go but it stinks. She then stated that, if you went to Muscle Rock and came down along the highway to Pedro Point Firehouse, everything was cleaned up, and mentioning all the other areas. She hoped everyone notices how clean so many areas are. She thanked all the agencies who helped, as well as volunteers. She thanked Councilmember Martin for running the collection site.

Fred Howard, Pacifica, stated that he has been a Pacifican for over 50 years and served on the Council for eight years, and he has never before seen a Councilmember put a phony ad in the newspaper that they know is wrong. He stated that a tax has to be voted on with the specifics of how much, where it will be spent and how long it will be active. He stated that Measure C is not a tax, but an issue between the apartment owners and the renters. He feels that the Council should sanction the two councilmembers who did that because it was a lie. He felt they need to put it on the agenda of the next meeting to show it was not true. He reiterated that he has served on the Council for two terms and has never known anyone who would scare the homeowners. He was at the booth at Fogfest for five minutes, and the first thing he heard was a couple saying that the city was not going to raise their taxes. He stated that many feel that way and the people who did this work for a real estate company. He stated that there was a house with one bedroom and one bath up for rental at a cost of \$3,033/month which he feels is awful. He recommends that they put it on the agenda at the next Council meeting and talk about it publicly.

Thursday Roberts, Pacifica, thanked Council and City Attorney for investing so much of their time and effort creating Measure C. She wondered how they feel about the accusation that this measure will bankrupt the City. She felt it was an insult to the Council and City Attorney who worked to make sure the ordinance was in the city's best interest. She believes the City Attorney is competent and she was offended that outside real estate interests with big money would suggest otherwise. She thought it was interesting that Mayor O'Neill was openly opposed to the ordinance claiming it was a tax that will bankrupt the city when he voted in favor of putting it on the ballot. She thought, if he believed that, he should have voted against putting it on the ballot. She stated that we have well paid, cynical, out of town marketing people strategizing on how to scare Pacificans to defeat this measure. She stated that Mayor O'Neill and Councilmember Vaterlaus were front and center on these deceptions. She felt the insane part of the bankruptcy argument was that it was an unspoken announcement that the real estate lobby intends to sue the city to \$1 or \$1.5 million. She stated that they learned in Mountain View and Richmond that they don't have a case and dropped their suits and asked if they were

thinking about throwing their money away. She stated that the City Attorney felt the ordinance is defensible and estimated costs were reasonable. She stated that, if they need more money, the fee to apartments will be adjusted. She stated that No on Measure C was not putting any truthful arguments against the measure but only lies to motivate people through fear. She hopes Pacificans are smart enough to see through this disgraceful behavior. She thanked everyone in the city working to keep our most vulnerable citizens from being displaced. She believes that Pacifica wants to take care of its own and reject out of town money to maintain the egregious rent increases citizens have been enduring. She concluded that no one objects to apartment owners having a fair rate of return.

Anita Rees, Pacifica, stated that the Pacifica Resource Center does not endorse legislation. She stated that they have been pulled into the Measure C debate on several occasions without their knowledge or support. She stated that they have not endorsed for or against Measure C campaigns, but added that they provide support to any community member who is struggling to pay their rent and will continue to do so before, during and after the vote in November. She stated that, if they decide to endorse legislation or be involved in a policy issue, it will be clear and not implied with a statement by the board Chair, as well as by her as the executive director of the PRC. She asked that anyone wanting the PRC to consider getting involved to contact her so they can explore how they might be involved if at all.

Delia McGrath, Pacifica, stated she is a 40 year resident and homeowner of Pacifica. She felt it was becoming increasingly alarming to see the No on C ads in the Pacifica Tribune supported and endorsed by Mayor O'Neill and Councilmember Vaterlaus. She felt the ads were deceitful and fear mongering. She asked why they would put themselves in the position of setting fear ablaze in Pacifica residents with false statements about new taxes and the need to spend the money on potholes. She stated that the ads are misleading and the dishonest propaganda was disrespectful and unconscionable. She stated that the Fair Rents 4 Pacifica campaign was committed to work peacefully and with full integrity to influence fellow Pacificans to vote yes on C. She stated that housing is a human right and renters need to have predictable costs for their housing and protected from outrageous rent increases and no cause evictions. She stated that the well written ordinance spells out clearly that the fees to implement the ordinance will be borne by the renters and no new city money is required. She asked that they stop these unprincipled statements and ads from proliferating which is causing harm to our city and residents. She stated that, along with thousands of compassionate Pacificans who care about and have concern for renters in our community, she will joyfully vote Yes on C on November 7.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she attended CCAG water committee meetings with presentations on sea level rise and flood planes. She stated that they were planning a water summit for all cities in the coming year to educate people on sea level rise and the flood zones and flooding funding. She attended three days of League of Cities meetings in Sacramento on classes on fireworks, housing, economic development and loans after redevelopment with lots of education. She was in the FogFest parade and attended the grand opening of a new business, Sean's Quality Floors. She stated that the Democrats and Coastal Cleanup were at the same time and she hoped they calendar the events on different days. She attended the debate on rent control and stopped briefly for the Coastal Cleanup, adding that they had Rancho Days that day. She attended the Success Summit about housing and jobs. She reminded everyone about OctoberFest on September 30.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he attended the Peninsula Clean Energy board meeting which was about solid agenda items. He stated that the main message he had was that the board approved a 200 megawatt solar development which will be located near the junction of Highway 1/52 out of Gilroy toward I5, less than 100 miles from San Mateo County. He thought it was an ideal location for Pacifica as we will not be as subject to curtailment costs which are becoming more of an issue for solar power. He stated that so much power is generated during the day which was not the peak time of consumption and sometimes the state regulatory agency has to have solar power producers curtail their production of power based on how far they have to ship it. He mentioned developments in Southern California and we have not chosen to go ahead with those. He felt this was a good project at a good price. He stated that when Councilmember Martin substituted for him at a meeting, they approved 100 megawatt solar installation at a site about 50-60 miles further away, and that totalled 300 megawatts which he stated was very significant. He then mentioned noticing a Public Works worker going to different telephone poles tearing down signs for garage sales. He asked PW Dir. Ocampo about it and was told that they have to do that every Monday. He stated that it was a small reminder of what PW does to keep our town clean. He stated that this was Interim City Manager Breskins' last meeting and he thanked him for a job well done.

Councilmember Digre added that it was a pleasure having Mr. Breskin here. She stated that she meets with him every week at least once and has learned a lot from him. She appreciated his listening ear and good comments. She stated that he hasn't had much time to be in the public but when citizens requested help they got immediate help. She appreciated his efforts for us, learned a lot from him and wished him well. She stated that the SF Airport Roundtable legislative subcommittee met and they were hoping that the lawsuit that got good results from Phoenix would spread forward to our city, but they were told it was not likely. She referred to the lawsuit including that the FAA was supposed to contact cities that had a lot of parkland. She stated that Pacifica has a lot of parkland and she was not aware that the FAA has ever contacted Pacifica. She thought that might be an ace in the hole. She stated that we are not giving up. Because the lawsuit is over, the FAA can talk to us more so she was still optimistic that we will be able to do something with public pressure. She stated that she met with the County Office of Emergency Services. They were organized with fire and police and many of them on the committee who were on city councils, after seeing Harvey and Maria, etc., questioned whether they will be able to be supportive of each other. She stated that they were assured that we are doing fine. She stated that Colma Creek meets quarterly, and there was a small amount of Colma Creek here. She stated that the meetings are run by engineers and water specialists and she was fascinated by all the information, stating that they are working on issues such as trash in our sewers going out to the Bay rather than the ocean. Their connection with many government agencies was impressive. She referred to the Open Space and Parkland Committee working on staying well connected with the Economic Development Committee and tying in with the County that owns the Sanchez Adobe with information shared on the history in that area. She mentioned that they will be increasing their commitment to the Sanchez Adobe site and creating a tour area rather than classes, with additional educational buildings planned for the site with present sites maintained and enhanced. She also referred to working with both county and city regarding getting natural trail connections and they will be ready in 2019 with the most precise historical trails for excursions. She also attended the congestion management group, highlighting that bicycles are becoming the thing and they now have a GPS bicycle where you can use them, leave them, and the company picks them up and regularly refurbishes them.

Councilmember Martin stated that mention of bicycles was perfect timing because they made the Parks, Beaches and Rec an advisory group for bike and pedestrians and they can use them.

She stated that she was also the rep for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee with CCAG. She stated that they were reviewing applications and Pacifica has submitted two and she was looking forward to seeing the presentations. She thanked PW Dir. Ocampo and his staff for looking out for grants and working with her. She had a meeting with the Emergency Preparedness Commission, and they were giving a presentation at this meeting. She reminded everyone that September was suicide prevention awareness month, adding that the Police Department updated their website with additional resources. She stated that CERT members attended an urban shield program and won a recognition award. She thanked PB&R and Public Works for their help with Coastal Cleanup Day and Recology who donated the dumpsters every year. She stated that they had a great team this year, adding that it was one of her favorite events. She congratulated the Pacifica Beach Coalition for winning the best float in the FogFest this year.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he attended the library JPA which had a book mobile at FogFest and the book mobile where kids can go in and do an obscured camera. He stated that he and Mayor pro Tem Keener attended the articulation meeting between Jefferson and Pacifica School District and the water district. He stated that he also attended the League of California Cities conference. He thanked Public Works employee, Roy Jackson, who was hit by a car on Palmetto while doing his job, taken to the hospital, released, and was okay. He reminded everyone that sometimes these employees put their lives at risk doing their job. He reiterated that he thanked him for his diligence and glad he was okay and is at home with his family.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

10. Introduction of an Ordinance to Repeal and Replace Article 4.5 of Chapter 4 of Title 9 of the Pacifica Municipal Code (PMC) and to Amend Various Other PMC Provisions to Conform with Amendments Enacted by the California Legislature to Government Code Section 65852.2 Concerning Accessory Dwelling Unit Permitting by Local Agencies (Text Amendment TA-105-17), and Finding Adoption of the Ordinance Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); the Planning Commission Recommended Approval of the Ordinance by a Vote of 4-1 on August 21, 2017.

PROPOSED ACTION: Introduce, waive the first reading, and read by title only, the ordinance related to accessory dwelling unit (ADU) development standards and permitting.

Senior Planner Murdock presented the staff report.

Councilmember Digre thought the Planning Commission met for three sessions on this. Commissioner Clifford stated that they had one study session and three public hearings so heard about it four times.

Councilmember Digre thanked them for their hard work.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she was questioning the 26 feet which limited certain neighborhoods from having any accessory dwelling units at all. She wondered why that happened.

Senior Planner Murdock stated that the street width component of the prohibition applies to attached and detached accessory dwelling units but would not prevent the establishment of an accessory dwelling unit within the existing floor area of a single family residence or accessory structure. He explained that, if they convert one of the bedrooms into an ADU, that prohibition would not apply. He agreed that it limited the type of ADU that may be constructed but it does allow them to construct ADUs throughout the city. He stated that, with respect to the Planning Commission's desire to include that standard, he deferred to Commissioner Clifford to explain further, adding that the basis for the conclusion was concerns about public health and safety.

Commissioner Clifford stated that came about at the next to the last meeting, brought up by Commissioner Campbell. He stated that it refers to those streets without pavement and/or 26 feet or less in terms of public safety for fire trucks and/or ambulances to get into the street. He mentioned that they have had incidents in the past where someone has died because medical service couldn't get to him in time because the street was blocked. He stated that they wanted to make certain that the public was safe when they allow for the ADUs and are trying to reduce the impact from additional parking on those streets with accessory dwellings in addition to the existing home.

Councilmember Vaterlaus asked how many neighborhoods that eliminated, such as Rockaway, Vallemar, etc.

Commissioner Clifford stated that the Planner can give the exact locations.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that there are also one-way streets that are not 26-foot streets, and she asked if there are variances available on those parcels.

Senior Planner Murdock stated that there was information about the impact on those neighborhoods in the packet and he acknowledged that some neighborhoods are affected more significantly than others by the street width standard, but on a citywide basis, the impact was less dramatic. He agreed that it would have the effect of preserving public health and safety regarding the Planning Commission concerns in the neighborhoods with narrow streets, but it would not on a citywide basis frustrate the development of attached or detached ADUs.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that the Commission did a yeoman's work sorting through it all, and applying wisdom to these considerations. He thought it was important for the public to note that, if they try to adopt standards too stringent that will result in few or no ADUs, the state would declare their law invalid and they will be back to the state law which they do not want. He asked if there was a maximum size on the ADUs.

Senior Planner Murdock responded affirmatively, clarifying that the ordinance was written with a set of general standards that apply to all ADUs and then breaks down to three types, detached ADU, attached ADU and ADU created with an existing floor area. He stated that the size limitation in the proposed ordinance for a detached ADU was 1200 square feet, size limitation for attached ADU is 1200 square feet or 50% of the existing dwelling units floor area whichever is less, and a 1000 square foot home would only be able to have a 500 square foot ADU, but a 3000 square foot home could have a 1200 square foot ADU, and for ADUs created within the existing floor area, there was no explicit limitation on the size but they have a backstop to make sure that the ADU is not so large that it renders the primary unit nonconforming with the city's zoning standards, which for a single family residence was 850 square feet.

Mayor pro Tem Keener referred to the packet where there was a discussion of the street widths, stating that the California Fire Code prohibits parking on both sides of streets that are 26 feet or less and he wondered if that applies in Pacifica's code.

Senior Planner Murdock stated that the Fire Code sets that standard and the Council has adopted by reference the California Fire Code and made certain local amendments. It was an applicable standard but there were other ways to address it with existing built streets. He stated that there are neighborhoods with narrower streets that don't meet the fire code requirements where other parking restrictions have been applied such as no parking within 6 feet of the center line, etc., to balance the limitations of the existing built environment with the modern code standards and modern fire requirements.

Mayor pro Tem Keener thought it was on a practical basis in Pacifica as to whether it was wide enough for fire trucks to get through.

Senior Planner Murdock stated that seems to be the approach for the existing single family environment, but in this case they are considering additional development intensification and the Planning Commission sought to do what they could to preserve public health and safety from further development impacting on street parking in those areas.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated he wanted to highlight the neighborhoods that have the greatest percentage of streets less than 26 feet wide and would be limited in their ADUs allowable to ADUs within the floor plan in the existing house. He then mentioned the various areas with the percentages, stating they were the expected neighborhoods where the city inherited the streets from the county and weren't built to codes then and have never been improved.

Mayor O'Neill opened the public hearing.

Amy Caplan, Pacifica, stated that she lives on Manor Drive, and she and several others met with city staff and discussed the possibility of allowing tiny houses in Pacifica. She wondered if this new ADU state mandate would allow any consideration for allowing tiny houses on wheels, stating they never heard back from the city. She stated that her back yard faces Milagra Ridge, is very narrow and has a slope down to the canyon. She wondered if the city would make any exceptions or have a discussion about exceptions for people like her who don't have back yards to have ADUs or sheds.

Remi Tan, Pacifica, thanked Council for considering this. He felt the ADUs were an important way to get affordable housing in Pacifica. He felt it was a shame that places where they might want to add ADUs would be restricted by the street size. He thought it was unnecessary given that the fire code regulation says you can't park on one side of the street and he urged them to amend it to allow the ADUs on those streets and enforce the one side parking throughout the city. He stated that he lives on one of those streets and when they park on both sides, he didn't think the fire truck can get through and it was a safety hazard now. He also suggested that the short term rentals might allow some people to put in an ADU with short term rentals at times as well as longer term rentals. He felt it would enhance the amount of ADUs. He felt we could use all the housing we can get as there is not enough affordable housing in the Bay Area and felt it was a great opportunity to provide that.

Lynda Martyn, Pacifica, stated that she was a long term home owner. She thought this was important in terms of trying to get more affordable housing. She referred to the statement that it has to be within the existing structure of the house, and stated that she lives in an area with

narrow streets but they have big back yards but you probably couldn't build another structure. She asked if the existing floor plans was inside the outside walls, such as if you have space that has not been built, could you build in that.

Mayor O'Neill stated that, after she was done, staff will answer that.

Ms. Martin stated that any way they can have additional small rentals as they have friends and if they could build and have them rent it would be nice.

Mayor O'Neill closed the public hearing.

Mayor O'Neill asked staff if they can answer her question as well as the question from the previous speaker.

Planning Director Wehrmeister stated that she could. She added that she wanted to clarify that, on the 26-foot street wide issue, for the public, the reason the Planning Commission was deliberating on this issue was because the state law does prohibit the city in certain instances from requiring on site parking. She stated that, depending on your point of view, that requirement could be a benefit or a hindrance to their neighborhood but that was the reason why the 26-foot wide streets were being discussed, and not an arbitrary effort to single out neighborhoods. She stated that the ordinance for short term rentals recommended by the Planning Commission would prohibit that ADUs may be used for short term rentals. She explained that the reason was that the state law intent was to create more affordable housing not more vacation rentals. She stated that this was a point where the Council may decide to change that, but that was the thinking behind the Planning Commission's recommendation. She referred to mention of tiny homes on wheels, and stated that they were still not permitted under the California Building Code and this ordinance would not permit tiny homes on wheels.

Councilmember Martin stated that you could have a tiny home and drop it.

Planning Director Wehrmeister stated that, if they met the building code, they could based on size requirements.

Mayor O'Neill referred to the question of small backyards.

Planning Director Wehrmeister stated that you could put an ADU in the front yard if you had a large front yard and you met the setback. If you didn't meet the setback, you could apply for a variance but she stated that they would be looking at whether you can make the findings for a variance. She stated you cannot build an ADU in your backyard.

Mayor O'Neill referred to the question of ADUs within the structure.

Planning Director Wehrmeister stated that it was the existing footprint within the walls.

Councilmember Digre referred to the footprint inside the house, and asked if you could portion off part of your house.

Planning Director Wehrmeister responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Martin clarified that there were three types of ADUs, one within the existing structure, one was semi-attached to the structure and the third was completely detached from

your structure, as outlined in the agenda packet. She stated that she appreciated all the hard work by the Planning Commission and Senior Planner Murdock did an amazing job. She referred to how the short term rental will play out eventually. She felt they need more affordable housing and this was a step in the right direction for now. She reiterated that short term rentals were a different topic.

Mayor O'Neill referred to someone applying and getting a permit for an accessory dwelling unit, and they cannot use it for a short term rental, but he asked if it was appropriate to put something in there that they cannot use it for two or five years or the outright ban.

Planning Director Wehrmeister didn't understand the question. She stated that now there is an outright ban on it.

Mayor O'Neill concluded there was no need to add a condition, or he asked if they could apply for a variance and make it a short term rental.

Planning Director Wehrmeister stated that they could not, but added that, as the Council will be considering short term rental regulation in the future, if he felt it was appropriate to change their position on this they could go back and amend the ordinance, if the Council ultimately decides to do that.

Councilmember Vaterlaus thought they discussed it at the study session, but she thought there were certain homeowner associations, such as Fairmont, who have stated that they do not want to allow any accessory dwelling units. She asked if that takes precedence over this accessory dwelling unit ordinance.

Planning Director Wehrmeister stated that CC&Rs are separate and distinct and the city does not regulate or monitor CC&R provisions. She stated that, if a homeowner's association has a provision stating second units are not allowed, it would be up to them to enforce those regulations through the remedies within their CC&Rs. She stated that, because it would be part of Pacifica's ordinance if adopted, they would be required to approve them.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that they have limited all these areas from having accessory dwelling units when we have a huge issue in Pacifica with housing. She thought the small units are so beneficial to people and it seems restrictive to her if the CC&Rs say no if they don't use 26-foot streets, asking if they were real streets or encroached upon street, as she stated that there were wider streets that are now much smaller because the neighbors have encroached. She thought it was very restrictive and limiting a lot of places where they could have additional units for people to live.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that the other side of that is the parking issue. He stated that most places in Pacifica have a serious parking problem and this ordinance tries to minimize the impact on parking while not eliminating it as they know additional parking will be required for each accessory dwelling unit. He felt that was the balance that the ordinance was trying to achieve. He stated that he was ready to make a motion if they are ready. He asked the City Attorney if he has to read the entire recommended action.

City Attorney Kenyon responded that he did not and he could paraphrase.

Mayor pro Tem Keener moved to introduce, waive the first reading and read by title only the ordinance entitled "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Pacifica Amending various

articles of the Municipal Code and Finding Adoption of the Ordinance Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act; seconded by Councilmember Martin.

| | |
|------------------|---|
| RESULT: | ADOPTED AS AMENDED [UNANIMOUS] |
| MOVER: | John Keener, Mayor Pro Tem |
| SECONDER: | Deirdre Martin, Councilmember |
| AYES: | O'Neill, Keener, Digre, Vaterlaus, Martin |

CONSIDERATION

11. Emergency Preparedness and Safety Commission 2017 Annual Report
PROPOSED ACTION: Accept the report and provide feedback

Police Captain Chris Clements stated he was present with Emergency Preparedness and Safety Commission Chair Rhonda Shaw to present the Commission's work over the past year.

Chair Rhonda Shaw presented the report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked where their website was as he has never seen it.

Chair Shaw mentioned it was the Pacifica website and asked Captain Clements if he wanted to explain it.

Police Captain Clements stated that it was under the Commissions website and has its own site just like other commissions.

Councilmember Vaterlaus asked that she explain Map Your Neighborhood.

Chair Shaw stated that Map Your Neighborhood was a program where you might go into your neighborhood to get a group of no more than 20 neighbors to provide for the needs of their neighbors in a disaster, such as homes with latch key children who wouldn't have a parent home, a disabled person or a neighbor with needed skills like plumbing, etc. They meet in their homes. She stated that the best part of the program was the booklet they get where they have information for needing help.

Mayor O'Neill thought a brief description of what the CERT program was and how it works would be helpful. He thought that Pacifica has a waiting list to get in classes whereas Daly City was begging people to get into it.

Chair Shaw stated that Pacifica's CERT program was fantastic. She stated that Pacificans were so enthusiastic. It stands for the Community Emergency Response Team and it was your neighbors who have a seven-week course at the Police Department to learn about terrorism, team building, medical disaster medicine, etc. She stated that it was simple and they have a lot of resources. She stated that they have a scenario at the end of the course where they perform in an imaginary disaster location. She stated that, if you don't want to be involved in the community, at least you know what to do with your family, adding that it was free.

Mayor O'Neill asked if they get to learn first aid.

Chair Shaw stated that they get two weeks of medical first aid, splinting, triage, etc.

Police Captain Clements added that his predecessor, Captain Spanheimer, did a tremendous job of growing the CERT program and they definitely do have a wait list. He stated that the eight-week course was offered twice a year and on their final exam they are put to the test. He stated that Chair Shaw teaches the two-day course on emergency medicine.

Councilmember Martin stated that some of the CERT members were activated last year when they had the mudslide. She stated that it helps because they are local and know what they are doing and know the police and neighbors. She asked how many were deployed.

Police Captain Clements stated that it was 5-10.

Councilmember Martin stated that the earthquake preparedness class was coming up in October and that was a big hit. She stated that, if you signed up, make sure you show up as it was a popular class and everyone signs up but on the last minute you sometimes have five people who don't show up.

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments.

Amy Caplan, Pacifica, stated that she was a CERT member and loved it. She encouraged everyone to join. She stated that she was at the FogFest and reminded kids to walk their bicycles, etc. She stated that, after the latest heat wave, it occurred to her that her neighbors didn't have air conditioning and she didn't have enough fans for the people in her house and there were no fans available. She asked the Commission to include heat waves in their idea of what was considered an emergency as elders or disabled people can be really sensitive to heat. She asked that the Commission consider a cooling center for vulnerable people to have a place to cool down if they don't have air conditioning.

Chair Shaw stated that this subject was brought up at their last meeting and it will be in future meeting discussions.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he got a phone call as mayor as to whether they were opening any emergency shelters but he couldn't think of any of the buildings that have air conditioning. He asked if the Community Center had.

PB&R Dir. Perez stated that they just bought a swamp cooler two weeks ago. He stated that it works fantastically and would be good for that.

Mayor O'Neill assumed the Police Department was air conditioned.

Police Captain Clements responded affirmatively.
Mayor O'Neill knew City Hall, schools, etc. were not.

Mayor O'Neill called a short break, then resumed the meeting.

| | |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| RESULT: | NO VOTE REQUIRED |
|----------------|-------------------------|

- 12.** Annual Report to City Council from the Economic Development Committee (EDC)
PROPOSED ACTION: Receive and Accept the Annual Report from the Economic Development Committee, and provide direction on its activities.

Economic Development Committee Chairman Kevin Cubba began the presentation.

Committee member Samantha Houser continued the presentation.

Vice Chair David Leal continued the presentation.

Chair Cuba completed the presentation.

Councilmember Martin thanked them for the detailed presentation and all their hard work. She asked what was meant when they said the EDC has been funding small projects, such as coming out of their personal pockets.

Chair Cuba stated that he owns all those websites.

Councilmember Martin asked that he present his receipts.

Chair Cuba stated that they were relatively small.

Councilmember Martin thought it was great and nice and they can consider it a donation, but she stated that he was also donating a lot of his time.

Councilmember Vaterlaus asked if the three people leaving were applying to come back on to the Economic Development.

Chair Cuba stated that one turned down a request for reappointment but he was not sure on the other two.

Mayor O'Neill stated that they just got the applications at this time.

Councilmember Digre asked if, when they say outreach, they take off from their jobs and walk the streets to go personally talk to the managers of the small businesses, which she thought was greatly appreciated by the small businesses. She thanked them for that.

There were no public comments.

Asst. City Manager Hines thanked Kevin, Samantha and David for their tireless efforts to move the city's economic development activities forward. He also thanked the other members as well and appreciated their efforts. He also thanked Anne Stedler who has moved on to another city and was the former Economic Development Manager and shepherded the process.

Mayor O'Neill thanked the entire committee. He stated he attends most of their meetings and they do a great job. He hears a lot of ideas with some of them moving forward. He thanked them for their inroads, especially educating businesses.

| | |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| RESULT: | NO VOTE REQUIRED |
|----------------|-------------------------|

13. Council Consideration of an Ordinance Adding Chapter 16 to Title 4 of the Pacifica Municipal Code to Make Pacifica a Sanctuary City.
PROPOSED ACTION: Following deliberations, move to waive the first reading and introduce an Ordinance adding Chapter 16 to Title 4 of the Pacifica Municipal Code to Make Pacifica a Sanctuary City.

Item #14

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they will have a tag team approach to the staff report, and she will start out with a background report and structure of draft ordinance and then will turn it over to Interim City Manager Breskin to talk about the fiscal impact and close with Chief Steidle providing information regarding how the police department is handling issues surrounding this community at this time. She then began the staff report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener referred to mention that the federal ordinance stated that they cannot prohibit voluntary dissemination of a person's immigration status. He asked if the strategy was to not ask what a person's immigration status is at all so they can't tell anyone.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that it was limiting the city's collection of personal immigration status information. She stated that, in order to comply with federal law, they have a provision that mirrors a provision in SB 54 that allows voluntary dissemination of information. If the city does not have the information, it is hard to disseminate it.

Interim City Manager Breskin continued with staff report.

Police Chief Steidle completed the staff report.

Councilmember Digre moved that they finish this item even if it takes them to 1 am.; seconded by Mayor pro Tem Keener.

5-0

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments

Melissa Ambrose, Wellness Counselor at Oceana High School, read statement of student, Ambika Nuggihalli, Pacifica. Ms. Nuggihalli stated that they all live in Pacifica and they all deserve to live here regardless of immigration status. She stated that making Pacifica a sanctuary city fosters closer and better relationships within the community between police and immigrants and fosters trust, which means immigrants will more likely report crimes they are victims of or witness to. She stated that trust was a two-way street and asked if we want to work towards building that street, maintaining it and filling in the potholes or put up walls. She referred to constant talk of walls, and she concluded that enriching our community was thinking in terms of us and who we are, being compassionate neighbors to immigrants and love them. She hoped we can show that we are a caring, united Pacifica by passing this ordinance.

April Cotte, Pacifica, stated she spends half her time on the US/Mexico border. She stated that about 20 years ago when she was down there the border patrol was friendly with the people and treated them in a calm and gentle way and now it was completely militarized and a very different situation. She thought it was a beautiful story by our police chief, but she stated that was not how it will be if we don't protect our citizens in Pacifica so the police can continue their mission to protect all the citizens regardless of status. She stated that it is not the role of our police to ask questions the federal government was pushing them to ask as it will change everything in Pacifica and it won't be a safe place for children. She stated that the children are already thinking it was not safe and the parents cannot walk their children to school. They will not grow up trusting that police are safe for them to go to with problems if there is abuse at home or other issues. She felt we need to trust that our police are there for all citizens and she wants Council to vote for Pacifica to be a sanctuary city. We need to take a stand and show we

will not accept these kind of policies by the federal government and, if we don't make the stand now, it is just the beginning. She stated that there was documentation that it was not a financial risk at this time as the Supreme Court has fought against that. She stated that, if it becomes a problem later, the people in our country will stand up against that as it is completely unacceptable for the federal government to get involved in our cities in this way. She stated that Barry Young wanted all the children to feel safe and go to the police and get help.

Melissa Ambrose, San Francisco, stated that she spoke two weeks ago as the Wellness Counselor and hoped they remembered what she said. She was going to read a poem and hopefully a statement from a club at Oceana. She read the poem then read a statement from a student club from Oceana on the upcoming vote on Pacifica as a sanctuary city on whether our peers have the right to live without the worry of being deported and feel safe. They feel the step toward being a sanctuary city was important and will make Pacifica safer for everyone who was undocumented and limits the possibility of deportation and keeping families from being separated and prevents the likelihood of children being sent back to a country they have hardly ever known. She stated that, with the President's plan to repeal DACA, the fear of deportation was as high as ever. She ran out of time and asked if she should submit the rest to the Council.

Mayor O'Neill stated she can submit it to City Attorney.

Dan Evenhouse, Pacifica, stated he is a 20-year resident of Pacifica, eventful years as he has been raising his teenage sons, one at Oceana and the other at Ocean Shore. He stated that, after the national election, his younger son came to him and asked if his friends were going to be deported. He stated that it took him aback because his son has never had much interest in politics, but this got through to him, that at a national level people are not always supporting those of us residing here. He urged Council to vote to have the sanctuary city ordinance passed as it was important to support people regardless of documentation status but parents raising children want to show them it is a community they can be proud of where justice was an important principle. He was glad that both school districts supported a sanctuary city and other support for students regardless of documentation status. He urged Council to follow the lead and support those residing here.

Suzanne Moore, Pacifica, stated that two weeks ago she was privileged to be present when members of the community voiced support for a sanctuary city which reminded her of past eras and voices who bravely shared stories of experiences of the immigrant community, particularly the voices of students from Oceana. She was pleased that the bill for sanctuary state was on the desk of the governor and will likely be signed. It was the foundation on which Pacifica can echo support for neighbors and friends. She stated community well being was dependent on the well being of residents. When members of the community are fearful of deportation, they cannot fully participate as the fear inhibits their reports of housing problems, increases risk of victimization and reduces likelihood of reporting witnessed crimes, and fear impacts their ability to work, study and seek healthcare. She stated that Pacifica law enforcement entanglement with federal immigration potentially diverts Pacifica's limited resources and blurs the accountability between local and federal government and raises constitutional concerns in violation of the 4th Amendment's equal protection clause. She stated that adopting sanctuary city status declares support of our immigrants and reestablishes the community trust necessary for Pacifica's growth and resiliency. She asked that they adopt the sanctuary city ordinance.

Tygarjas Bigstyck, Pacifica, mentioned that when confronted by a man easily confused by the rules of checkers, it behooves us to engage him at the level of those who are masters instead of chess. He stated that two weeks ago he would have supported the idea of sanctuary city and

since that time, SB 54 which Kevin DeLeon made a point to alter it in such a way that it should be signed by Governor Brown but he thought we should put this off another two weeks to make sure he signs it. He was confident. He stated that with the support of the state, he was hesitant to pick a personal fight. He stated that we have state level power now to say this place is a place of safety for residents. He thought every human being in the U.S. should feel as though the country was a sanctuary. He stated that we got into this because a president was elected, but not by the people because the numbers don't match that, and he used fear to gain his support. He stated that it has brought about an opposite and equal reaction of fear. He stated that we are not rocks and can rise above it and use higher reasoning. He suggests to anyone listening, if they are not aware that there are 8 million non-documented workers working they might be aware that 3.4 million are paying taxes and paying into social security with no benefit and we need to focus on these now.

Chaya Gordon, Pacifica, acknowledged those earlier who had to leave and she was sorry that the Council was deprived of hearing their voices. She referred to police policy and she was glad to see that Pacifica Police Department has a policy of commitment to equal enforcement of the law and equal service to the public. She thought it was appropriate and provides a good basis but, because of what was going on in this country, it was way worse than it has been. She stated that the proposed ordinance was much more specific and the City Attorney stated in two staff reports that it was in compliance with federal and state laws to protect the rights of immigrants and stronger with the local ordinance. She stated that SB54 does not cancel out a local ordinance but acknowledges that a local ordinance may be stronger in some ways. She didn't have time to address how the local ordinance would implement SB54 as it applies to Pacifica but the information was available. She mentioned that a federal judge issued a nationwide injunction on restrictions, now with one Supreme Court decision, two federal injunctions and two clarifications from the Department of Justice that support Pacifica's ordinance. She stated that this was a time when persecution and criminalization of immigrants was becoming the law of the land. She stated that, since last spring, there have been numerous ICE raids in the Bay Area, usually at 5 am without a judicial warrant. She asked, when the history of this time is written, are they going to be able to say they were on the Pacifica Council and did everything they could.

Deeg Gold, Pacifica, stated that she sent the Council a letter calling their intention to another injunction mentioned by Chaya Gordon about stopping new conditions on federal funds and she would not mention that. She stated that SB54 doesn't preempt local ordinances despite what the interim City Manager said and does not make a Pacifica ordinance unnecessary. She stated the present ordinance will describe how Pacifica will implement SB54, such as including a procedure for how immigration agents who want to access city buildings will do that, as well as containing protections not in SB54 such as data privacy and a prohibition on providing information to any federal registry based on race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, immigration status, national or ethnic origin. She stated that, unlike a police policy, an ordinance would have a force of law and would not depend on the good will of any individual police officer and changes would have to be taken up by City Council. This was reliable protection for Pacifica's community and we need that. She stated that Trump's executive order has been stopped by federal courts because it doesn't define what a sanctuary jurisdiction is and doesn't give clear notice of what localities must do. She stated that it was unfounded speculation to say that somehow, despite the injunctions, supreme court decisions and the U.S. Constitution, it would cause them to lose federal funds. She stated that immigrants have been rounded up and incarcerated without judicial warrants, families torn apart and long term residents have been deported and only the hurricanes stopped them from rounding up 8400 people nationwide. She stated that what isn't speculation is that some Pacificans are living in fear. She referred to past

history, such as the incarceration and dispossession of the Japanese, and she urged them to vote for this ordinance and make Pacifica a sanctuary city and take a stand.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that the mayor has a bloody nose and he is taking over temporarily.

Araceli Martinez-Olguin, E. Palo Alto, stated she was a senior staff attorney at Community Legal Services in E. Palo Alto and was present to commend Pacifica for considering the sanctuary ordinance and remind them that the purpose of a sanctuary ordinance was to increase the trust between immigrant communities and the police. She thought they understand that but she is noting that, if the community is saying what they need to feel safe, she cannot understand why the police chief would oppose it. She has not seen anything in the ordinance that would contravene or run against the policy in effect. She stated that she heard his support for this policy. While he may not think you need to formalize it, she would echo Deeg Gold's comment that what it does is solidify and make it part of what becomes the fabric of the city. She also highlighted what several had commented on that there will be no reason to believe that there will be any federal money that Pacifica will lose as a result of passing a sanctuary city ordinance and she agrees with the community and encourage them to vote yes on this ordinance.

Deni Asnis, Pacifica, stated that she read the words of a student from Oceana High School, explaining why neither she nor someone she knows is able to speak directly tonight before the Council. She read the letter explaining that her friend was afraid to come and speak up for this ordinance and she did not want to risk anything that might take that person away. She then personally commented further on what a great loss it would be to her personally if her friend was taken away as they have seen done in videos. She considered the suggestion of some saying they should not stand up for them because of the possibility of losing money from the government in the future was appalling and she didn't think anyone on Council would want to go along with that attitude and she urged them to support this ordinance.

Susanne Jonas, Pacifica, stated that, as a proud member of Pacifica Social Justice, writer on immigration and a professor at UC Santa Cruz for 24 years, she was in contact with dozens of students coping with painful family separations through deportation and the constant worries of deportation of themselves or family members. She stated that they are the same stories they have heard at this time and at the previous meeting. She felt it was absurd to argue that people have nothing to worry about. She also thought it was not sufficient to fall back on California's SB 54 which has not been signed. She felt we need a Pacifica policy as an ordinance in Pacifica to do what is right to make Pacifica a better place for all of its residents and workers and affirm in language appropriate to Pacifica the values of equal protection and due process for all regardless of birthplace or document status. She felt that they will be joining hundreds of cities and counties nationally as well as in California. She stated that very few cities have failed to adopt sanctuary city and Pacificans supported the petition and Council should follow their lead.

Blue Murov, Pacifica, stated that she spoke two weeks ago and then read what she stated at that time. She stated that she was a resident of Pacifica and worked with Pacifica Social Justice. She stated that making Pacifica a sanctuary city would protect all immigrants, refugees and asylum seekers regardless of their legal status, and defends their rights and refuses cooperation of ICE on the basis of a person's immigration status. She stated that Pacifica's funds and resources would not be used to enforce or aid the discriminatory federal laws being enacted, adding that this ordinance would further the goals of making Pacifica a compassionate

city, showing justice and compassion and support from a city in which all people are included and supported in our city. She was glad that the police have a good policy toward immigrants but she felt if Pacifica declares itself a sanctuary city then everyone will know that is the police policy as she was not sure they do now as she didn't. She stated that they are aware of the financial responsibility that the Council has to the city but she would like Pacifica be made a sanctuary city. She didn't think it was a financial risk, and wanted them to think about the moral responsibility to our community, friends, etc. She stated a yes vote is a vote of courage.

Tory Becker, Pacifica, stated that she was a member of Pacifica Social Justice group which formed after the last election to fight racism and attacks on immigrant communities. She states that PSJ circulated the petitions in front of grocery stores and at the pier for five consecutive Saturdays during April and collected about 1,400 signatures in that time frame, with people citing heart wrenching stories of families being torn apart by ICE agents and wanting immigrants to feel safe and a part of Pacifica's community. She stated that recently the petition was circulated at Oceana High School and during FogFest they collected additional signatures from supportive Pacificans. She then read the petition urging Council to adopt the sanctuary ordinance and support any legislation that will prevent reporting or acting against individuals based on documentation status. She stated that immigrants are being rounded up, mosques assaulted, DACA under attack. She stated that people think it won't happen in Pacifica but it was racism which makes Pacificans ignore the problem. She stated that it was not enough to say that one is against racism but Pacifica needs to act against racism and pass this ordinance. She then gave them the petitions.

Julie Starobin, Pacifica, stated that she has been volunteering at the Pacifica Resource Center doing tax returns for people at no charge. Some who come in are undocumented and they file and pay taxes, legally sanctioned by the IRS even though they won't get any benefits from them as undocumented people do not get Social Security, SSI, Medicare or Medicaid and cannot receive food stamps. She mentioned one SS administration report stated that undocumented immigrants contributed \$12 billion to Social Security and another report noted that over \$11 billion is paid into state and local taxes every year by undocumented taxpayers. She felt the least that we can do is offer protection to those contributing so much to the economy of our city. She stated that there were no guarantees and it was important to do what we can to ensure the rights of all the people who live in Pacifica and to make a stand against the criminalization of people who live here and show our opposition to federal policies that we all disagree with. She urged them to vote yes on making Pacifica a sanctuary city. She referred to the woman mentioned by the police chief and stated that if she went to court now she would be deported and would not have the courtesy shown to her in 2005.

Lynda Martyn, Pacifica, stated that she moved to Pacifica in 1977. She stated that she has walked precincts for lots of City Council, and her law firm used to be the City Attorney of Pacifica and she stated that the people of Pacifica generally have heart and care. This ordinance was about helping and caring and doing what is right and not being afraid that maybe we might lose some money. She stated that the chances are small, but it was important to do the right thing. It will send a message to the people who live here who are totally afraid. She heard the testimony two weeks ago on how this was affecting their studies. She felt voting for this ordinance for a sanctuary city will do an enormous thing to help them. She stated that it was the right thing to do. She felt it was important that the city she loves so much votes to be a sanctuary city.

Janet Breger, Pacifica, stated that she didn't know what to say because she was against everyone present, and she wanted to walk out because she was scared. She stated one

woman was violent with her when she asked her if she was for sanctuary cities and she said no. She didn't understand going against our federal government. She stated that she is 78 years old and grew up in the 50s and she only knows obey and we have people coming here from Mexico and we don't know who they are. She loves Mexico.

Mayor O'Neill told the man shouting against Ms. Breger that she is entitled to say what she wants.

Ms. Breger stated that this is violent and unreal. She stated that they say it all over the United States where the Democrats are violent against people like her. It scares her.

Ellen Hage, Pacifica, stated that she was speaking on behalf of a young woman who is not able to be present and also speaking as a member of Faith in Action who champions the rights of immigrants in the community. She then read a message from Aida, a Dreamer, who is sharing her story to make Pacifica a sanctuary city. She stated that at 4 her parents applied for a visa in Nicaragua and came to the United States in 2001. Years after being in the United States their visas expired. She can't remember when that happened because as a child she didn't know what it was to be legal or illegal which changed when she went to middle school and high school, and she heard children call each other FOBs, wetbacks or rednecks and that made her question where she landed. She wasn't off a boat or come to the United States walking and had never had a redneck before. She asked her parents what she was and her parents stated that she didn't land in any of those categories and her job was to go to school, get good grades and become successful which she did. She was involved at St. Anthony's, during high school, etc. , and graduated with a 3.8 GPA while working part time. She is a full time college student and a full time employee at a sports store. She has dreams to own an organization to support low income families and give back to people. She asked that they make Pacifica a sanctuary city.

Carolyn Jaramillo, Pacifica, stated that making Pacifica a sanctuary city will recognize the contributions undocumented immigrants make to our nation and to our community and will offer them some protection and release some of the fear they now suffer. She stated that last year undocumented immigrants paid more than \$11 million in local and state taxes. Sanctuary counties have a lower crime rate and better economic conditions than counties that cooperate with ICE. She stated that every year for the past nine years she has met with recently deported immigrants in shelters in Nogales, Mexico, and they recount the misery of a virtual death march in the desert in order to give their family a better life. She stated that for the past 15 years she has met with farm workers, 70% of whom are undocumented. She has been to the labor camps and seen the inhumane living conditions. She has seen them work in the strawberry fields, citrus orchards, vineyards and tobacco fields across the country. She stated that the willingness to do that backbreaking work in conditions that most of us cannot tolerate so that the next generation can have a better life is what powers our agricultural economy. She stated that we are all part of a system that causes forced migration and benefits from the labor of these men and women who jumped an imaginary line because we want low cost produce and low cost services of every kind. She stated that their strong work ethic and fierce love of family are immeasurable contributions that enable us to enjoy a more comfortable life. She thinks we owe them and she urges Council to pass a Pacifica sanctuary city ordinance tonight. She asked that they not delay any longer as the fear in our community is real.

Tom Edminster, Pacifica, stated that he has lived here for 56 years and he felt the well-crafted ordinance is in order for consideration after having been delayed two weeks. He felt it stands on its own whether or not the bill is signed by the zen-austarian. He referred back to 1919 when

the attorney general of the United States engaged in massive raids along the east coast and deported 200,000 southern and eastern Europeans because of their ethnic and subversive possibilities in the face of the First World War and the revolutions of 1917. He didn't know who was pushing whose buttons in Washington, DC, but the selective raids by ICE around the country have done nothing except exacerbate fear. He stated that they have done damage to agricultural economies and put people in fear personally from Watsonville to Healdsburg. He stated that he has spoken two local Mexican and Mexican-American business owners, one in food services and the other an independent contractor, and they ask what is going on. One says he can't get workers he normally has because they are stuck in the Dream Act bureaucracy and everyone else is scared and staying in Mexico. Others are asking if the Council will take a stand. He urged support for the resolution and ordinance as proposed this evening.

Marsha Murphy, Pacifica, stated that this was a monumental thing. She stated it was a fantastic opportunity to do the right thing and the opportunity to draw the line in the sand and say enough. She urged them to make Pacifica a sanctuary city.

Art Sato, Pacifica, stated that he has been in Pacifica for 17 years and a retired public school teacher, US Army veteran honorably discharged in 1969 in the Vietnam era. His wife is a professor at San Francisco state and daughter of Mexican immigrants. He was in favor of sanctuary city. He felt it was critical that we make this statement now. He stated assurances from the chief of police to trust us is not enough for him. He stated that he has been around too long, seen too much and experienced too much. He stated that we need to combat the racism, xenophobia and ignorance that has been unleashed on this land with demonization of Muslims and immigrants coming from the highest office in this country. He was born in this time in this type of hysteria in 1944 in an American concentration camp. He corrected the previous speaker who called it a Japanese camp. He stated it was an American concentration camp for Japanese Americans who committed no crime. The crime was their ancestry. He stated that all his relatives who were US citizens would not dream of jaywalking, but if you walk to Mori Point there is a plaque that says in Pacifica this was a temporary assembly place in California where they incarcerated Japanese Americans before they sent them to permanent camps. He stated that he was born in one of those permanent camps in Amache, Colorado in 1944. He did not want to see any future plaques in Pacifica indicating that a gross injustice was committed in this city because people would not take a stance.

Eduardo Gonzalez, Daly City, stated that he works across the county and partners with young people in Oceana High School. He stated that they develop youth leadership skills so the next generation can be successful. They talk about youth development because research shows that young people have more opportunity to continue striving and moving forward in life. He stated that positive youth development sounds great but we often forget the material consequences identities have on people's realities. We forget that there are barriers that prevent youth from doing their best. He stated that not passing this ordinance will be another barrier that youth have to deal with. He stated that Pacifica has an opportunity to do something and lead the county on efforts to make Pacifica a sanctuary city. He stated that undocumented immigrants and legal immigrants have been tremendously helpful for our economy, but he was here to highlight their humanity. He stated that these times are hard, especially for the marginalized in our community. He stated that Pacifica has a real opportunity to stand against the separation of families and add a little more certainty to family security. He stated that the reason people end up in inhumane detention centers and deported are because of traffic violations and racial profiling. He stated that, by passing this local ordinance, Pacifica will be one step ahead of the federal narrative that vilifies and criminalizes immigrant communities. He

stated that young people should not be living with fear about losing their parents, their family or their home. If ICE and Pacifica police have not collaborated in the past, he questioned what the issue with passing the ordinance now. He stated that we want to be proactive and not reactive.

Peggy O’Grady, Pacifica, stated she was a resident of Pacifica for 20 years and raised three children. She stated that she was the daughter of immigrants. Her parents are from Ireland. She is a social worker, working in Catholic Charities immigration program for over ten years and now works in oncology in a hospital. She stated that she worked in the sanctuary covenant in San Francisco as a part of a coalition of religious organizations and human rights commission that helped establish the sanctuary ordinance in San Francisco. She stated that they do this on the history of other organizations and cities that have bravely stepped forward. She stated that this is a country of immigrants, a community of immigrants, adding that other than native Americans, we are all immigrants here. She stated documented and undocumented immigrants contribute far more to our communities than they can ever access and do not often get services that they are entitled to. She totally appreciates what the police chief said today and she knows dozens of those personal stories. She stated that the fear factor is extraordinarily different now and brings us to a very different moment in history. She knows of families who have fear of going to the doctor, picking up their children, bringing them to be vaccinated, who will pick them up at school, etc., because the climate is very different regardless of our personal policies. She stated we need to be vocal about what we stand for. She stated that families are not accessing benefits and that diminishes our entire community. She stated that we have the opportunity to be on the right side of history and this is the time to raise our profile. She wants to say to her immigrant parents and her children that at this time we were proud to raise our profile. Martin Luther King said it was time to stand up and do what is right regardless of what is political or expedient.

Valerie Lapin, Pacifica, stated that she is a 20-year resident of Pacifica and the proud granddaughter of immigrants who came to America to escape persecution. She was a documentary film maker and her most recent film, *The Long Ride*, is about the Civil Rights Movement for immigrant workers. She stated that when it was screened at Skyline College, nearly everyone in the audience was either an immigrant or the child of immigrants. She stated that the fact that there is a Dreamer Center at Skyline College is a testament to the vital need for immigration status services in our community. She mentioned meeting a Dreamer at Skyline and was impressed by her intelligence, maturity, poise and exuberance. She was the kind of young person who gives you hope for the future. She didn’t apply for DACA because her mother was too afraid. She misses her family whom she cannot visit in Mexico. She is tired of living in fear and not being able to tell her friends who she really is. She is enthusiastic about our sanctuary city effort but when asked if she knew anyone like her who would be willing to tell them about their experiences, she shook her head no. She was present to speak people who are too scared to speak for themselves, afraid of deportation, losing their job and being separated from their family. She stated that some people say this issue does not affect Pacifica but that is because you don’t see people who are living in the shadows. She stated that at FogFest she saw people from all over the world who contribute to our economic vitality and the cultural richness that defines Pacifica. She stated that the Trump administration’s plan to deport massive numbers of people whether they have a criminal record or not is already underway with immigration agents who already are arresting people in their homes, workplaces, courthouses and hospitals. She stated that Trump’s attack on immigrants is part of a concerted effort to undermine our civil liberties, the Constitution and our democracy and to divide us, adding that he refuses to condemn violent white supremacists and emboldens the perpetrators of hate talk, hate acts and hate crimes. She stated that Trump is a racist and bully. She asked that they not allow him to bully us and we must resist the hate mongers who are tearing our nation apart

because if we don't we are part of the problem. She asked that we make Pacifica a sanctuary city.

Mack Coby, Pacifica, reminded the City Council that two weeks ago many speakers spoke very movingly, particularly some of the high school students, about how important this ordinance was to them. He thought at that time and tonight that the easy thing was to treat this as a political issue that may or may not align with the politics of the Council as individuals and their positions as Councilmembers. He stated that the most important point of the evening two weeks ago and again tonight is the idea that this is the right thing to do for the affected population of immigrants who live in our community with and without proper documentation but also for the rest of us who count our immigrant neighbors and friends as valued members of our community. He asked that they do the right thing and vote to make Pacifica a sanctuary city.

Delia McGrath, Pacifica, stated that their yes vote to make Pacifica a sanctuary city will be a sign of their humanity and one of the most compassionate decisions they will have the privilege to make during their tenure. They will have a legacy of standing tall for social justice and join hundreds of city councils nationwide in making a commitment to our city's residents and workers who are so vulnerable. She stated that once the new administration in Washington, DC was installed our country began the downward spiral towards the bottomless pit of inhumanity and inequality. She reminded them of their civic obligation to protect all the people whom they serve. She asked that they not retreat in fear because they will play right into the hands of this corrupt federal administration and what they hope we will do. She stated that there are people in Pacifica who live moment to moment in fear, hard working people who contribute to our city just as hundreds of thousands throughout our state feel, they live in greater fear now than ever before. She asked them to imagine themselves living with that kind of fear that ICE would come to your home or work and arrest and detain you and deport you, think about it and vote yes on this ordinance.

Ruthe Offill, Pacifica, stated she was speaking in favor of the sanctuary city ordinance. She stated that she was two weeks ago when they had opened public comments and were talking about this same ordinance and it looks like California is voting in favor and she felt we should also. She recalled sitting through the comments at the last meeting and hearing heart wrenching stories from kids who were worried that they would be separated from their parents. She stated that the federal immigration laws are going to be bad for our community. She felt it was time to pick a side and support Pacifica residents in our community by supporting this sanctuary city ordinance. She referred to the comment by the police chief, and with the law as it stands now he was in a tough position with a friend and community member and she felt we should support laws that support residents of Pacifica as well as the officers and their ability to stay within the lines of the law while protecting the rights of the people. She urged Council to vote for sanctuary city.

Remi Tan, Pacifica, thanked Council for considering this. He stated that our country was founded on immigrants from the 1600s when the first settlers came here. He thought about why we have an undocumented problem. He stated our founding fathers came here to escape hardships in Europe and people are escaping hardships all over the world. He stated that his parents came to this country from a war torn southeast Asia. He stated that we should have an open immigration policy in this country and should not have barriers and horrible bureaucracy with the INS preventing people from coming here. He felt this ordinance gives our community, supported by the state, the opportunity to right the wrongs that are in our current immigration policy. He stated that, if we didn't have any immigration, we wouldn't have the United States, but just a few native Americans, no cars, no computers, etc. He stated that we are still the No. 1 nation in the world and this nation was built on immigration. He also reminded them that, in

1930s, people did not stand up to bullies, hatred and we ended up in a costly war that we nearly lost against Nazi Germany. He stated that we should not let our country go down that path. He asked that they adopt this ordinance.

Cynthia Gomez, San Francisco, stated she was the research analyst at Local 2, the hotel workers union, representing thousands of workers in the county including around 50 active members in Pacifica. She stated that it was on their behalf that she wanted to speak. She quoted some police chiefs around the country on sanctuary cities, Police Chief in Dayton, Ohio, and a member of the law enforcement immigration task force who stated sanctuary policies were designed to support community policing ensuring that the community at large trust state and local law enforcement and feel secure and reporting criminal conduct, and relying on state and local law enforcement to carry out federal immigration enforcement responsibilities was highly problematic, mentioning fears of reporting domestic violence may lead to scrutiny and deportation. She stated that they are in support of sanctuary city policies. She added that her grandfather fled Mexico as a young man of 20 to avoid forced conscription or murder. She didn't want to think about what the environment would be like if he had fled a similar war today or what it would be like to face fear for her grandfather. She also thought about the commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the possibility that some day Pacifica might need some help. She stated that Puerto Rico never declared itself sanctuary and has done nothing to anger the White House bully and was getting nothing in surviving a devastating hurricane. She stated that you don't let bullies dictate your morality, your policy and you certainly don't let them dictate your values.

Brian Young, Pacifica, stated he was a retired firefighter. He is for the sanctuary bill and all the reasons heard were all good reasons. He stated that, with a name like Pacifica, if you don't vote for this, we will be the laughing stock of the nation tomorrow with social media the way it is, they will be throwing gas on Trump's fire.

James Carr, Pacifica, stated that he is an alcoholic and Pacifica resident for 19 years. He stated that, if he could vote, he would vote no on this ordinance for sanctuary city. He appreciated what Keith Breskin had to say which was very thoughtful and complete. He stated that the main thing was that they have another alternative. They don't have to vote yes or no but could say that they put this before the voters of Pacifica. He stated that, if they have enough signatures to put it on the ballot, he asked that they put it to a vote. He felt it would be crushed in a ballot.

Adrienne Zanini, Pacifica, stated she was in support of the Pacifica sanctuary city ordinance. She stated that they got a visit from a local faith community representative and this time they brought a Spanish speaker and inquired as to how many Spanish speaking neighbors they had. She told them they didn't have a lot of neighbors who are Spanish speaking but you see all the people outside working, and those happen to be Spanish speaking people. She stated that they have young mothers in the area who rely on immigrants to take care of their children even at the expense of those immigrants having to leave their children. She stated that they have middle aged folks who hire immigrants to help their parents and grandparents in assisted living situations, plenty of immigrants work as roofers and gardeners and pave driveways. She stated that we want the immigrants to do much of our work so we can carry on our higher paying jobs for a life to which we have become accustomed. She asked how many ask the laborers and workers to prove that they have the necessary documents to work here or go to restaurants and don't give a thought to whom are in the back of the kitchen. She stated that they are here to improve their lives and those of their children, and they improve our lives and many of our children's lives and take care of our sick family members. Their children are friends of our

children and will grow up in the United States to be good citizens who contribute to our economy. She didn't want to break up families. She stated that, if her life was in Mexico without much opportunity for working, she would look to crossing the border to a country that was built by immigrants and gave so many refugees and poverty stricken people a second chance. She supports the DACA students and the immigrants who seek a better life in the country she knows and loves. She supports Pacifica becoming a sanctuary city, concluding that we all do better when we all do better.

Mayor O'Neill closed public comments.

Councilmember Digre referred to the City Manager's comment regarding our police department for years reflecting what Pacifica is. She appreciated all those who came for a second time and stayed so late. She stated that they had a few speakers who were against the sanctuary ordinance and she was confident that, before they leave the room, they will feel the compassion and concern as well and should not feel uncomfortable for an opposing opinion. She believes the staff report was done exceptionally well. She said to social justice folks who approached her a few weeks ago that her main concern was the well being of our community. She wanted to make sure that any ordinance was very strict to make sure that the wellbeing of all of us was protected while protecting another component of our community. She was proud of our community and our history and proud of the people present and proud of this well written ordinance and she was ready to make the motion.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that a sanctuary city was a city that does not cooperate with ICE, does not hold people for ICE, compassionate to all of the people who are here, and we are that city and are a sanctuary city, but she owes a fiduciary duty to the people who live here to protect the funds. She was afraid that, if we name ourselves a sanctuary city, our funds will be taken away and we won't get the calls back and they will hold our funds for the crumbling cliffs, for the seniors who need to have lunches, the children at school who have school lunches, and those are federal funds. She stated that to say it may not happen does have a bearing on this, and the finances they went through earlier does have a bearing on this. She stated that we don't have finances to cover the pension obligations and don't have finances to cover if the feds take away the money and she doesn't trust that they won't. She stated that, if someone wants to guarantee their houses to guarantee our \$6 million, they can but she cannot.

Mayor pro Tem Keener thought that the chief has been misunderstood in all of this. He stated that a few weeks ago he briefed him on the details of this ordinance and he believes he was in favor of it.

Police Chief Steidle stated that if you ask for a professional opinion as to whether he was for or against it, he was neutral in that he has the confidence in our policies that we are moving forward with all the good folks who have spoken, what their interests are and what he has seen in the last 25 years. What they talked about was what we have been doing. He stated that if they don't pass it, his policies aren't going to change. If they pass it, it still doesn't have any impact on the policies because it was what we already do, and if SB54 passes or doesn't pass it was still reflective of what we are doing already.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that, whether or not SB54 passes, this goes slightly beyond that law. He thought the data acquisition part of it was a little stronger. He believes the chief and knows him but most of the people who are illegal don't know him and what they do know is what a sanctuary city brings for them, which is a place where they are less fearful than they would be otherwise. He felt that was the crux of the issue for him, undocumented people are extremely

fearful now and probably will be for sometime into the future and this will make them feel a little bit safer here in Pacifica. Because of that, he will vote for it.

Councilmember Martin thanked everyone for showing up. She stated that it took a lot of courage for her to ask that they postpone this issue at the prior meeting. She thanked them for their patience and understanding. She wanted them to understand that she feels it is her job to build community and consensus and to look at our situation fiscally. She stated that, if waiting for a yes vote was going to send this ordinance back, she wanted to be sure that the ordinance had that yes note in there if the state were to vote yes. She stated that they put it in the ordinance. If the state votes no, she wanted to be sure that we are protected enough that we weren't going to have to revisit it again. She thanked everyone for their patience, dedication and showing up again and being here so late. She thought that most people knew that, if Councilmember Keener didn't ask to write this ordinance, she would also have suggested it. She appreciated the comments by the City Manager but she didn't like them. She agreed with so many of the statements made and she stated that it may be delayed and raise our profile but it was so small that what it creates is panic and fear. She liked Suzanne Moore for stating that it hasn't been formally signed yet but they were hoping that it will be. It was a foundation for Pacifica's ordinance. She stated that she feels confident even though there is a neutral stance, after talking in detail about this, we are already doing this which is wonderful, and the ordinance would just reinforce the behavior for all of them, and she would feel happy about that. She stated that, throughout the ordinance, it is consistent with SB54 and that makes her feel more confident as their job is to build community and consensus, not just at a local level, but beyond. She stated that waiting was important for her for that reason. She stated that she slept very well that night. She stated that, when she looks back on her term, she wants to know that she gave every single issue the thought, attention and time it deserved. She thanked them for their patience with her. She stated that she did not want to make decisions based on fear of what could happen and risk the opportunity to help people now. She was ready to make a motion.

Councilmember Digre stated that it wasn't just the fear of folks who are not documented but the fear of our own citizens of various ages, particularly the young people who have fear of losing their friend and not understanding what is going on. She thought, whatever the decision is for each person, they did not take this lightly. She was sure that all five of them have looked at every angle trying to be as fair and broad-minded as possible and even though they may come to different conclusions, she felt when you sit on Council, you were not here for your agenda or point of view but here to scrutinize every word in any document that comes before them. She stated that, regardless of the vote from each of them, they are all smart enough to take that into account.

Mayor O'Neill commented that he will be voting no because he feels that the state and county already do that as any prisoners they take go to the county and for Pacifica to raise the profile and become a bigger target, he hopes they don't lose the funding for the bluffs, repairs, etc., but he didn't feel comfortable risking Meals on Wheels as he has seen who gets those meals and they need it also as there are fears that they are shut-ins and people don't know if they are alive or dead except for Meals on Wheels volunteers. He stated that his grandfather came to the U.S. and was an immigrant and he walked down Market Street where signs said that Irish need not apply for a job. He understands where they are coming from. He stated that the DACA issue and the fact that the government compiled a list and may be using that to round people up was disgusting and horrible. He didn't vote for that man and doesn't support any of his policies and it scares him to have a wingnut in Korea and a wingnut in Washington with their fingers on the button. He stated that they risk more downside than they do potential upside, in explaining his vote.

Councilmember Digre moved to waive the first reading and introduce an Ordinance adding Chapter 16 to Title 4 of the Pacifica Municipal Code to Make Pacifica a Sanctuary City; seconded by Councilmember Martin.

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| RESULT: | ADOPTED [3 TO 2] |
| MOVER: | Sue Digre, Councilmember |
| SECONDER: | Deirdre Martin, Councilmember |
| AYES: | Keener, Digre, Martin |
| NAYS: | O'Neill, Vaterlaus |

14. Annual Impact of CalPERS Reduction in Discount Rate
PROPOSED ACTION: Receive a presentation on the impact of CalPERS discount rate reduction and the resultant increase in City projected expenses.

Item #13

Asst. City Manager Hines presented the staff report.

Doug Prior, Bartel Associates, continued the staff report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he had a question about the average benefit being around \$23,000 or \$24,000 in 2014 or 2015.

Mr. Prior stated that it was in 2015. He stated that it initially strikes people as very low. He explained that it was only a service attributable to the City of Pacifica, and someone who worked 30 years somewhere else and ten years for Pacifica would have a much higher benefit.

Councilmember Martin asked if it also meant that the average age of the person receiving that benefit was as listed.

Mr. Prior stated that they don't list the retiree's age, but the active employees' ages in 1993 was 41 and now it was 48.

Mr. Prior continued the staff report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked what AAL stands for.

Mr. Prior stated that it was actuarial accrued liability. He continued with the report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked what the assumptions used to generate this were, just decreasing the discount rate to 7% or further than that.

Mr. Prior stated that they were going further, to 7% and then they will continue on the schedule. He stated that one thing built into the model was when CalPers decided to drop their discount rate from 7.5 to 7% was because their investment advisors were saying that they expected to get about 6.2% over a 10-year period. He stated that they have done their own modeling and it was probably as good as anything else, but they assume 6.5% return for ten years. He stated that built in is expectation of losses going forward for a while. He also stated that it included the impact of new hires coming in with a "pepper formula" with the lower cost. He continued with the report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener wanted to repeat that. He stated that the city was somewhere around 33-38% of salary now for the Police and this projection shows that it will go somewhere to 75% of salary in ten years will be retirement. He thought it was staggering.

Mr. Prior stated that they have a lot of company as this is typical. He stated that the dollar amounts are more dramatic in terms of the increase from about \$1.4 million to almost \$3.6 million. If you look at the percentage of payroll there was relief but it was a long way out there. He then continued the report.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked if the agency itself makes the investment decisions on these trusts.

Mr. Prior stated that he was correct. He then completed the report.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that we were like many cities with large unfunded liabilities, and she asked if his suggestion was that they should do this trust to begin paying back the unfunded liabilities or is it in bargaining.

Mr. Prior thought it would make sense to have it part of the bargaining process. He thought that, as you look at the rates, you come to the conclusion that there is more money needed to be put in this down the road. He stated that the sooner they do it, the less they will have to put in long term, but it takes money today to do that.

Asst. City Manager Hines stated that he asked Mr. Prior to bring it up to let them know the option exists. He stated that they will be looking at it to see if it is the right option for Pacifica. He referred to the first bullet under parameters, where we get it, was the city's stumbling block now. He acknowledged that it was a great option but the question was how we move forward.

Mayor O'Neill stated that they had a few public comments.

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments.

Deeg Gold, Pacifica, stated that public pensions were a great interest of hers, adding that she collects one from PERS. She was angry that the Council put off the item she came to talk to them about as a lot of people have left. She stated that they put it two weeks off last time and now they put it off until almost 11 pm. She felt they should get to Item 13 and discuss sanctuary city and get it done.

Julie Starobin, Pacifica, stated she also registered her objection to switching the order of agenda as it was punitive. She resents the implication that Councilmember Vaterlaus made that CalPers budget talks had anything to do with making Pacifica a sanctuary city. She stated that many people went out of their way to share their views with the Council and postponing it made it harder for people and she felt it was not democracy in action if it becomes too late for people to speak. She hoped they can move this along and get to the agenda item that was supposed to happen an hour ago.

Mayor O'Neill closed public comments.

Mayor O'Neill stated that, as they were looking at an \$800,000 deficit, he would like staff to start a series of study session for doing the budget for the next fiscal year and, instead of being up

against it in May, spend time on it and get more information to avert the train wreck down the road.

Asst. City Manager Hines understood and he thanked Mr. Prior for coming to brief them.

15. Homeless Issues in Pacifica

PROPOSED ACTION: Provide Direction to Staff Regarding Homeless Issues in Pacifica

Police Chief Steidle presented the staff report.

Councilmember Digre stated that this seems so overwhelming. She stated that they are out there in the streets so much and are more in touch with needs, and she asked what would make working with homeless easier. She asked what path or something extra from Council that would help the situation.

Police Chief Steidle stated that he has pondered on this since they talked about it after the goal setting session, and what the police department needs to help with this issue, which is different from what the Resource Center may need, was just support. He didn't believe that there was one single path. He reiterated that they were dealing with the problem that was a cross section of our society with people with many different issues that lead them to where they are. He mentioned asking if he can put the \$30,000 into the program to have an affect on our community and they allowed him to do that which was a big help. It will provide them with more assistance in the near future. He stated that it is overwhelming at times, with the ratio of homeless and those available in the county, to work with this issue. He stated that, given the resources they have, he felt they were on the right path, properly training the officers to deal with the issue, dealing with the health issue, and they are riding the fine line of the delicate balance of necessary enforcement versus the primary concern of trying to help the homeless and give them the outreach they need.

Councilmember Digre stated that the county and VA organization have stepped up and there seems to be a lot available for veterans. She was wondering if veterans really know what is there and do they need a warm handoff. They can know these things are possible but ask where are they in Pacifica. She asked if veterans going and asking for that help was harder than it appears and what can they do. She wonders if she had cards with her of all the places a veteran could go, and she saw someone and asked if they are a veteran, could she give them a card and hope they take advantage of this. She stated that even with the Pacifica Resource Center, she sometimes sees people begging and she wonders if they know it is two blocks down the street and she would like to give them a card. She asked if that would help or not.

Police Chief Steidle thought not just the veterans but all the different resources available that they can offer are part of what the CIT officers are trained to do to ask these type of questions. He mentioned that he had the good fortune of sitting on an oral panel with a BART police department who were looking for a new deputy chief and he was one of the raters on the panel. He stated that one of the questions was about homelessness. He stated that they are a multi-jurisdictional agency that crosses the Bay Area and one of the candidate talked about a CIT officer that BART had who had asked the right questions and had talked to a person who had suffered from a mental illness who was a veteran who had no idea there were any veteran benefits available to him. He thought, because of his illness, he was incapable of understanding it. He stated that it was putting the right personnel in contact with these homeless folks to make sure they understand what is available to them.

Councilmember Digre asked if they have any gaps that they can fill. She stated that he was looking for direction and she was groping about what they can do.

Police Chief Steidle didn't want the Council to feel that they have to come up with direction and a solution to this problem as that is what they are doing now. He stated that the purpose of this report was that it was on the goal setting and work plan and he was present to tell them what they are doing and give them the commitment that, if they see things or grant monies, they will move toward it. He stated that they know they are a distance away. He didn't know if the problem will be solved, but they have to always be looking for a better way to do it. He stated that it was dealt with a lot differently than when he started. They have progressed but he felt they still have more work to do.

Mayor O'Neill asked if Anita Rees could speak at this time.

Anita Rees, Pacifica, thanked them for including homelessness as an issue in their 2017-18 work plan and Chief Steidle for developing a staff report that was a great introduction to this issue. She stated that it was very complex. She stated that the Resource Center has been working on this issue since their inception in 1974. She stated that Tyger was going to be her handout person. She stated that they created a homeless in Pacifica report they released in 2013 and she did a write up of a test of their roaming shelter which was one of the ideas in terms of a potential solution. She stated that this was not something new, with the numbers progressing from 2009, adding that now it was much more of an issue after the great recession and people having more difficulty staying housed but wanting to stay in their community. She stated that their services range from food to shelter advocacy to financial assistance for moving costs, access to health services, showers, and establishing trust with folks who don't always trust people anymore for a variety of reasons, because they have been homeless for a long time or because circumstances have led them to be untrusting. She stated that she has never met a homeless person who said they wanted to be homeless. She stated that sometimes they think they are choosing to do this. She thought, in some cases, they are because they feel they have a bit more control over their circumstances to be living outside instead of inside. She stated that the reality is that the services being offered didn't always meet the needs. She stated that, with someone with anxiety or mental health issues and their only option is shelter with a lot of other people and things happening, it was difficult to assume that it will be the fix for them. She stated that the bigger problem was that there wasn't enough affordable housing or supportive housing for people who have mental health issues. She stated that they want to be inside but they need support and there isn't enough resources out there to provide that kind of housing. She stated that the county did release an RFP for a seasonal rotating coastside shelter specifically to address the fact that there are no shelter beds on the coast. She stated that the county decided not to move forward with a contract to provide this service because the one proposal that was presented didn't meet the needs of our community. It was limited to one month, based in Half Moon Bay, they couldn't clearly see a lead to housing as a result of this one month stay. She stated that, for that reason, the county decided surprisingly not to move forward with something like this because it didn't meet the needs of the community. She thought that was great on the one hand and awful on the other hand because it means another year is going to go by without any kind of a potential solution for those sleeping outside. She stated that a few years back they proposed a safe parking program given the high number of vehicularly housed people. She stated that it happened in 2013 when they had their highest number. She stated that dropped in 2015 and at the same time the county was doing a redesign of homeless services which froze everything and that didn't get any play. Now when the full one day homeless count report comes out, she imagines they will see an increase in the number of vehicular housed

again. She stated that they have already said that was the case, but they don't know what it looks like in Pacifica. She imagines, based on how they have been providing services and to whom they have been providing services, it will likely show an increase in Pacifica specifically and that might be another thing to consider, a safe parking program that addresses the garbage etc., which they tested when they did the rotating shelter earlier in February and the writeup was to prove that there were people who would show up and there was community support for something like this. She thought that, in general, whatever the solution was going to be was not an easy solution but one that will require quite a few people coming together and figuring out what was in the best interest of not only the homeless but the city in general. She stated that they will know more hopefully within the next month when the final report is done, but she can say there were people who wanted to help and community support, but a lot of work needs to happen to make that possible. She stated that they need more potential sites for a rotating shelter in Pacifica, a safe parking program or any of those things. She stated that she also wanted to mention that one of the responses to the fact that there isn't going to be a rotating shelter pilot this winter is that they hope shelter in East Palo Alto has Dignity on Wheels which is a trailer system that has showers and laundry facility. They have established a site on a weekly basis in Half Moon Bay and are looking to do the same thing in Pacifica. She stated that could address some of the concerns for those who have been seen washing their clothes in the creek if they know they can come to Dignity on Wheels and do laundry, that could avoid some of those issues and concerns in addition to the fact that they provide money for people to go and wash their laundry. She stated that they need to let them know that this is possible. She then mentioned that they have resources. If you see someone who is homeless, you can feel like you can approach them to refer them to the Resource Center. She then told Councilmember Digre that she would be happy to give her a bunch of cards and she can hand them out. She stated that when they hear about someone at the grocery store who is outside asking for money, they generally send staff there to talk to them and offer their support. She stated that they often find that they aren't necessarily homeless in those cases but asking for money to pay their rent. She stated that it opens a whole other issue for them which could be that they might be able to help them pay their rent. She stated that if it is something more and not something where they can actually speak to them, she stated that the homeless outreach team member was Sabina Juner and her phone number was 650-315-9034. You can call and leave a message about a location, a concern, etc., so she can plan to be there. If she gets more phone calls from the community, maybe the HOT folks will provide more support in Pacifica. She stated that one concern was that, if anyone was fearful for their safety or the safety of the person they see, they should call the police who will send a CIT person who can help calm the situation and it won't escalate. She stated that sometimes they see people and they don't want to do that but the reality is that sometimes that is the best option.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked about mental health facilities or consultants or experts in Pacifica.

Anita Rees stated that one of the great things was that the CSA for Behavioral Health and Recovery Services have same day appointments and they can get someone to see them on the same day and can send them by taxi. If they have the ability to get them to that service that was usually their first option because they can get in pretty much right away. She stated that another potential was if they need to call someone to come out and provide mental health services immediately, the homeless outreach team has a street medicine team that also works on street medicine with a psychiatrist on staff and could come out to the site if needed.

Mayor pro Tem Keener asked if Behavioral Health was in Pacifica.

Anita Rees stated that they were in Daly City. They would have to transport them. She stated that they have talked to them about potentially having someone on site at the Resource Center at least once a week or something like that so they can try, but the problem was that when something is happening, it was not on that day and that time and they need to have other options for them as well.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she had heard about the church and she saw a woman who was excited about doing the church, but it didn't last very long.

Anita Rees stated that it was a test for one night to show again that there was support in the community and people would show up if they had something. She stated that it was after the feasibility study was done on the rotating shelter by the County and to ensure that it didn't fall away because it happened during the fall of the previous year and they didn't want it to go away since they couldn't make it happen in time for the winter and they opted to do a test to try to say that there was interest, issues and concerns and led to an RFP being released for a rotating shelter. She stated that the biggest challenge was having enough community support from faith based communities to provide a space because one of the main reasons that a rotating shelter is one of the best options for the coast was the high cost of maintaining a shelter and the fact that there wasn't funding from the Department of Housing to maintain brick and mortar shelters because their focus is on rapid rehousing. She stated that, if you can catch someone early on in their homeless stint and get them immediately housed within two weeks they were more likely to continue to be housed longer term. She stated that the longer it takes to get them housed, the longer it will be before they can get housed because you don't have a history with a landlord. She stated that the things they are addressing with some of the chronically homeless who have been homeless for 25 years or so and have no history renting and mental health issues and other things become a challenge for people to take a chance on someone who hasn't been housed for a long time.

Mayor pro Tem Keener thought there were a lot of problems that can cause homelessness and there will be a lot of different solutions depending on the problems they encounter. He thought it was positive that the police department has CIT trained staff which is a big step forward. He stated that it was hopeful that they will get more money and support from the county. He thought they have been short changed on that. He stated that he didn't have any specific recommendations because both of them have done an awful lot of thinking about this and have come up with the best possible ideas.

Councilmember Martin agreed that they were doing a lot. She thought the last count was 15 encampments in the city, but they were not camps now but some formal structures being built and they are building shelters. She stated that some of the issues they see are hazardous. She stated that they can't bust up someone's home without offering them something. She stated that we have the Resource Center, Public Works, Police and the Pacifica Collaborative that invited them to their upcoming meeting. She stated that all of these things take time and money for them to do. She stated that the people are very important. She stated that Ms. Rees was a very good resource for that. She suggests that they set aside time to look for grant money. She mentioned what they did last time about the RV parking and proposing an ordinance. She liked that proactive thought process. She thought they could set time aside to look for grants, etc., and she was sure they have looked at all the grants but maybe there is something special for a government agency. She stated that it was not a Pacifica issue but is countywide and nationwide. She asked what other places are doing that was innovative and try to think outside of the box. She thanked them for the detailed presentation.

Mayor O'Neill assumed this was the 2017 report. He stated that it was interesting that there were several cities with fewer people counted and an almost equal number where the homeless population increased. He thought it was more like they didn't help homeless but they all just moved somewhere else. He asked if that was true.

Ms. Rees stated that she heard from some people who were in different parts of the county that felt like their numbers were lower than they would have expected and part of that had to do with the new way of counting. She stated that every year they come through with a different methodology. She was sure some people have left the county and one of the strategies of the county was, if you cannot afford to live here, move to someplace you can afford to live. She stated that, in some cases, they have been using rapid rehousing funds to house people in Stockton or further away because they can afford to live. She stated that the challenge there is that their children's schools are here and their jobs are here and it was a back and forth. She stated that it was cheaper rent but the cost of everything is basically the same unless they can get jobs locally. She stated that has been a strategy of the county to move people out. She thought that was a challenge because it changes the dynamics of a community when they are moving all the people with lower incomes out of the community. She stated that it has been a long time issue for the other core agencies that work with the Center on Homelessness in San Mateo County because they have to get used to the idea that any housing is good housing even though it is not the best quality of life. She agreed that people are moving around to where they can. If they are in cars, it was a lot easier for them to move to another location when things get a little bit too difficult to stay.

Mayor O'Neill thanked them for coming and staying so late. He stated that was their final item on the agenda.

ADJOURN

Councilmember Digre again thanked Keith Breskin. She will miss him but gave him best wishes.

Councilmembe Vaterlaus dittoed that sentiment.

Mayor O'Neill adjourned the meeting at 12:39 p.m.

Transcribed by Barbara Medina, Public Meeting Stenographer.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy O'Connell, City Clerk

APPROVED: 5-0; 10/23/17

Mike O'Neill, Mayor