



**CITY OF PACIFICA
CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**

**Council Chambers
2212 Beach Blvd
Pacifica, CA 94044**

Mayor Mike O'Neill
Mayor Pro Tem John Keener
Councilmember Sue Digre
Councilmember Deirdre Martin
Councilmember Sue Vaterlaus

**February 13, 2017 (MONDAY)
www.cityofpacifica.org**

Mayor Mike O'Neill called the meeting to order on February 13, 2017 at 7:00 PM

CLOSED SESSION - NONE.

7:00 PM OPEN SESSION

Call to Order

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Mike O'Neill	Mayor	Present	
John Keener	Mayor Pro Tem	Present	
Sue Digre	Councilmember	Present	
Deirdre Martin	Councilmember	Present	
Sue Vaterlaus	Councilmember	Present	

Salute to the Flag led by Councilmember Martin

Closed Session Report - None.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Introduction of New Poet Laureate - Camincha Benvenuto

Michael Mau, Chair of the PB&R Commission, introduced the new poet laureate, Camincha Benvenuto, who then read a poem about Pacifica for the Council.

Pacifica 4H Club - "Stow It Don't Throw It"

Mayor O'Neill stated that members of Pacifica's 4H Club would make a presentation. The students introduced themselves then did a presentation on "Stow it, Don't Throw it" which was a program to protect sea creatures by preserving our beaches from debris that flow out into the ocean and ultimately kill sea creatures.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that they did a wonderful job with the presentation, and she thanked them for all they do for sea life.

Councilmember Martin also thanked them. She stated that it was similar to the presentation they gave to the Pacifica Beach Coalition, she appreciated what they do because it is an issue that is near and dear to her heart.

Councilmember Digre thanked them for what they have been doing. She stated that they were on TV so they will be seen by many. She asked if they could get their posters on TV.

One of the students clarified a statement they made when they stated they made 3,000 containers in the past three years, but that was not true. He stated that they made over 3,000 containers in the past three years.

Mayor O'Neill also thanked them for joining 4H. He stated that it was good for the community, adding that his daughter was also in 4H.

The students then offered the containers to anyone who wanted one.

They then took a picture with Councilmembers.

Proclamation - Pacifica Sports Hall of Fame

Mayor O'Neill read a proclamation honoring the new inductees of Pacifica Sports Hall of Fame, Fred Hart of Terra Nova High School, Major League baseball player Greg Reynolds, Pacifica Sea Lions swim team coach Vicky Russo and U.S. Naval Academy track athlete James Rivera.

Horace Hinshaw, Pacifica, acknowledged the inductees, commenting on the program and ceremony and adding that Pacifica is the only city that has its own Sports Hall of Fame and he thanked the City for making this possible.

Councilmember Digre thanked Horace Hinshaw who has been involved in the program for the entire 27 years of its existence. She stated that those who attend the ceremony get a lot of Pacifica history.

Mayor O'Neill thanked Horace Hinshaw for the great job he has always done in advocating for maintaining sports in Pacifica.

Councilmember Vaterlaus also thanked him for his help with the Economic Development Committee on sports issues.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor O'Neill mentioned that they had to make several changes to the minutes, correcting a typo for correct spelling of Tom Lantos Tunnel, and the Mayor and City Manager went to Sacramento, not Washington and In-Sync was spelled incorrectly.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he had a comment on Item 5.

Mayor O'Neill asked if he wanted to pull it from the consent.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he just wanted to make a comment and did not need to pull it. He has questions about the city's long term debt, i.e., bonds. He stated that the numbers are available in the comprehensive financial report or the CAFR, stating that they are total long term

debt is \$55,800,000 principal and interest is \$21,600,000 for a total of \$77,400,000. He stated that those numbers were subject to the Asst. City Manager Hines' approval to confirm his calculation was correct. He also had questions about the annual payments to CalPers and was spelled out in the CAFR, approximately \$2,750,000 which includes the PARS, which is similar to CalPers but a significant fraction of the total.

City Manager Tinfow asked him to tell them the page he was on so they can follow along with him.

Mayor O'Neill asked if he wanted staff to respond.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he did not.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he asked because, if he wanted staff to respond, they should pull it off consent.

Mayor pro Tem Keener apologized for not making a note of the CalPers page number.

Asst. City Mgr. Hines asked if it was near note 8.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that it was, although he thought it was note 7.

Asst. City Mgr. Hines stated that note 8 was entitled pension plans, and he asked if that rang a bell, and note 7 was other post employment benefits.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that it was note 8.

Asst. City Mgr. Hines stated that note 8 starts on packet page 173, and he left it to Mayor pro Tem Keener to continue.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that the CAFR page was page 81.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was packet page 183.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that those were the payments directly to CalPers. He added that they have the taxable pension obligation bonds which total principal plus interest as \$2.2 million this year and the foreseeable future, adding that it is on page 66 of the CAFR. He stated that, if anyone else was interested in the numbers, they can drop him an email and he will send them with notes regarding which page they are on in the CAFR.

Mayor O'Neill asked confirmation that CAFR was available on the city website.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he found the 2015 CAFR on the website but not the 2016.

Asst. City Mgr. Hines stated it will be post immediately.

Mayor pro Tem Keener assumed he would.

1 - Keener
2 - Digre

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	John Keener, Mayor Pro Tem
SECONDER:	Sue Digre, Councilmember
AYES:	O'Neill, Keener, Digre, Martin, Vaterlaus

1. Approval of Disbursements for 01/01/17 through 01/15/17
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to approve attached list of disbursements for 01/01/17 through 01/15/17.

2. Approval of Minutes
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to approve the minutes of the special meeting held on January 4, 2017 and the regular City Council meeting held on January 23, 2017.

3. Proclamation Confirming Existence of Local Emergency of the Pacifica Coastline from Westline Drive to the End of Beach Boulevard.
PROPOSED ACTION: Accept current photos as of February 6, 2017 (Attachment 2) and move to continue proclamation confirming the existence of local emergency.

4. Amendment No. 1 to the Consultant Services Agreement Between the City of Pacifica and Freyer & Laureta, Inc. in the Amount of \$154,800 to Perform Additional Engineering Design Services to Complete the Design Phase of the Wet Weather Equalization Basin Project.
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to Approve Amendment No. 1 to the Consultant Services Agreement between the City of Pacifica and Freyer & Laureta, Inc. In the amount of \$154,800 to perform additional engineering design services to complete the design phase of the Wet Weather Equalization Basin Project and authorize the City Manager to execute Amendment No. 1.

5. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report 2015-2016
PROPOSED ACTION: Accept the 2015-2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, Independent Auditor's Report and other related letters/reports.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Laurie Goldberg, Pacifica, stated that she was glad Pacifica was getting involved with the president's government, but she felt Pacificans need to be aware and protest in Pacifica as well. She stated that she rented about 30 years ago and at that time there was no rent control. She thought, after 30 years, you would have rent control, but there is none. She stated that a lot of her friends have had to move out of Pacifica because owners sold the place in which they lived and had to move out, and they can't find affordable rent. She stated that it was sad as she has seen a lot of changes since she moved to Pacifica and now they have houses for \$1 million and more, but she questioned what was happening to the lower middle class and people who don't have a lot of money. She asked if they are going to be forced out of Pacifica. She stated that it also bothers her that a \$60 million library will not be able to be paid off when they have erosion along the coast, rent control issues, homeless issues, and more crime issues now. She thought there were more important matters than a \$60 million library, questioning where the money will come to pay for this. She thought we already have two nice libraries. She hoped Pacificans get

involved in wider politics but felt we have a lot of issues in Pacifica that they also need to get involved with and think about. She was happy that they were studying the signal lights for traffic. She thought it would be nice to have other public transportation options to get in and out of Pacifica. She stated that, in November, she talked to a lot of people who came to Pacifica because it was a quaint coastal community and don't want to have 80,000 to 1000,000 residents and don't want more traffic. She also felt Pacificans needed to think that they have Councilmembers who are either real estate agents or connected to real estate agents, but they want a quaint coastal community, not a million people living here.

Anita M. Rees, Pacifica, thanked everyone who attended their opening ribbon cutting on January 28, adding that they missed those who could not make it. She thanked the city and Planning Department for their assistance in making their new building possible, adding that there are also many others to thank, such as her board member who acted as a project manager and their landlords, Shirley Gibbs and family, who allowed them to make the expansion possible. She stated that, as part of the grand opening, they were planning to do a plaque installation, and people can get a plaque on the side of the building for a donation of \$100 or up to \$500, adding that they will have more information on the website and were thinking of doing something with whales. She stated that January 28 was also the opening of their tax season, mentioning that anyone earning under \$54,000 can get their taxes prepared free, including E-filing. She stated that they can schedule appointments on Wednesdays, 3:00-7:00 or second Saturday each month, 10:00 - 2:00. She stated that they have a drop-in service for those who cannot make it during those times, they can bring in the tax documents and staff will prepare them and call them when ready, usually within three days. She stated that they were continuing to offer a tax refund savings program to allow those getting a refund to save \$300 and the Resource Center will match \$300 over the course of the year so they can save \$600 with their tax refund in order to take advantage of the moment when they get an influx of funding and they were trying to support them in establishing some savings. She mentioned that they provided Thanksgiving assistance to 474 Pacificans and holiday joy to 211 Pacifica children through their adopt-a-family program. She stated that there were things for which they will update the city, one of them being a project to provide grocery delivery to people who can't make it to their office. She stated that they were looking at ways to increase the number of those they serve as they know there are more people who can use their services but cannot make it during traditional work hours.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she was happy to see that Jackie Speier was working on money to help Pacifica. She was out of town and didn't speak to her, but she did speak to Jerry Hill the week before in Sacramento, and he was looking to help Pacifica also. She stated that CCAG honored her with a position on the Countywide Water Committee which will help Pacifica to protect our homes, businesses and infrastructure from sea level rise. She stated that she had a lot of PG&E questions but thought they will be at the Council's next meeting.

Councilmember Martin thanked staff for the goal setting session and thanked the community for showing up and being active participants in the process. She stated that the Pacifica Beach Coalition reported on a surf movie the upcoming Friday, February 24, for their annual Earth Day fundraiser. She stated that the public can get tickets at Sunlight Surf Shop or Traveler or online at the Pacifica Beach Coalition website. She stated that they had a great clean up day the previous weekend, and they have had record numbers of people show up to help. She mentioned that Earth Day will be celebrated April 22, and they can pick a site or join her on her site. She stated that the next Library Advisory Committee meeting was cancelled and will meet

on March 8. She mentioned a joint study session on the cannabis laws on March 6 with the Planning Commission. She attended the Chamber of Commerce awards event and the Boys and Girls Club's "Where Great Futures Begin" luncheon, where one mother praised the program. She also attended the Council of Cities monthly dinner with a heartwarming presentation by the founders of America Supporting Americans, a partnership with seven San Mateo cities that support active duty troops at home and during deployment, reaching out to active duty military members to show support.

Councilmember Digre stated that they had expected to hear something at the last airport meeting, but by mandate the FAA doesn't have to respond to the items the committee was working on and presented to them until the end of February. They know they will keep their mandate, but there wasn't much to do yet as it will depend on what they hear. She stated that there has been a lot of input to the FAA from the entire Bay Area regarding the noise. She stated that they have asked for them to return to going up over the water and out towards the ocean rather than lower and down the spine of Pacifica and to the left by Pedro Point. She stated that airport personnel stated that they don't have to call in their noise issues because they get it, but she was encouraging them to do it anyway. She commented that it appeared to be dragging on forever but it was attempted and they would like to think something will happen. If not, she thought there would be a great uproar. She stated that the congestion management Commute.Org will be meeting on the 16th in the morning at Silicon Valley Community Center Foundation. She stated that the Open Space and Parkland Committee will be meeting the following week. She stated that the American Legion had its annual oratory contest, encouraging students to participate in an oratory contest. She stated that they were dealing on the subject of the Constitution which was uplifting to attend, adding that it will be on the 19th at the VFW Hall. She added that they experience research, and take what they learn and learn to speak in front of a crowd, and that enables them to go to national levels. She thanked those organizations for providing that every year.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he was at the team building, and he attended the Library JPA meeting, adding that it was interesting what the libraries were doing. He referred to their new idea about the Look Mobile which was a partnership with the Exploratorium. He stated that, according to the City Manager's secretary, it was a big hit with 3rd and 5th graders in Pacifica. He was also at the Boys and Girls Club, at the CCAG Board where they discussed traffic congestion and other matters, mentioning that Jackie Speier was there. He attended the Chamber dinner and spoke at the Rotary as mayor, doing a state of the city format with questions and answers. He was also present at the Resource Center's ribbon cutting.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she also attended Nick's 90th birthday celebration and ribbon cutting which was fun.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

City Manager Tinfow stated that her only announcement was that city facilities will be closed on Monday, February 20, in observance of Presidents Day.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

CONSIDERATION

6. Adoption of City of Pacifica City Facilities / Property Naming Policy and Renaming of Brighton Pump Station

PROPOSED ACTION: Adopt a resolution to establish the City of Pacifica City Facilities / Property Naming Policy and approve the renaming of Brighton Pump Station after former City employee Dave Davis.

City Manager Tinfow presented the staff report.

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments.

Don Davis, Pacifica, stated his dad worked for 44 years and his loyalty was amazing and he hoped they pass this as it would mean a lot to his family.

Mayor O'Neill closed public comments.

Councilmember Digre stated that there was a long history with a lot of data. She thought criteria of that nature was important. She thought some kind of a plaque should be on the building so that people seeing the name will know why the building was named after that person. She also thought there should be a record in City Hall in case the plaque is missed. She thought a case by case basis was a valuable criteria and she acknowledged that the idea was to enhance the values and heritage of the city and being compatible with community interest.

Councilmember Martin referred to one criteria regarding the requirements of the individual's exceptional contribution, and she asked for them to expand on that requirement, on the second policy, fourth bullet point.

City Manager Tinfow explained that it would be the majority of the value of the cost of the project which would be the trigger for Council to consider recognizing the donor. She stated that there was also a provision that allowed the Council to delegate the decision making about donor recognition if it was for a building such as the library, as it was common for the Library Foundation to make those determinations. She stated that in this case, to have a naming opportunity for a facility, they would be donating more than the majority of the money.

Councilmember Vaterlaus asked if that was for the whole building, and not the plaque and maintenance of the building.

City Manager Tinfow gave the example that, if someone offered to write a check for one of their new facilities, the Council could decide whether to name that facility after the person.

City Attorney Kenyon clarified that it would not just be the cost of construction, but also the cost of annual maintenance of the building.

City Manager Tinfow stated that there were other provisions to cover that. She stated that the one they asked about was having to do with the acquisition and/or development of the property or facility. She stated that there were other provisions that cover other cases where they might get donations.

Councilmember Martin concluded that it was "or annual maintenance budget."

City Manager Tinfow responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Martin thought she understood. She asked, if it were a room or wing, whether there would be a provision for that.

City Manager Tinfow stated that the way it was written gave the Council the full breadth of authority and decision making about recognizing donors.

Councilmember Martin agreed with that, and also agreed with Councilmember Digre's suggestion that there should be a plaque.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he would like to have this put on, adding that he knew the family and he thought it was nice to enter into the record an anecdote about why they were naming a certain structure for someone. He stated that Dave Davis worked for the city for 44 years and was in charge of the Brighton Pump Station. He stated that every day on his break, he would go to the 7-Eleven, have his coffee, sit on the bench at the Brighton Pump Station and watch over it for 44 years. He stated that he was approached by the wastewater treatment plant employees about doing that, and he thought after 44 years, it was nice. He explained that the reason he wanted to get a naming policy in place was that, at the school district, they had a group where an alumni of the school passed away and they wanted to have the library named after that individual, but they had no provisions for naming something. He felt that, if you want to name something after somebody, it was easier and personalized if you have a policy in place. He stated that they had an incident in that someone named the road to the wastewater plant Scott Holmes Way but it was never officially changed. Someone called the police dispatch and stated he was at Scott Holmes Way and the police did not know where to go. He felt this was a good move for the city, and as soon as it passes, he can congratulate the Davis family. He asked if there was a motion.

Councilmember Digre stated that she didn't know if he wanted to talk about the naming responsibility as to how this would be done. She stated that there were a couple of suggestions of how it should be done. She thought the naming should still be within the city commission, even if they made a committee of one or two members such as PB&R, Beautification, etc. She thought there were a couple of suggestions as to the naming, such as the City Manager may assemble a nomination review and another was a private fundraising on page 268, reading the specifics.

City Manager Tinfow added that, on page 269, there was a procedure laid out which Councilmember Digre was referencing. She stated that, when she puts together policies, she walks her way through it, giving the impetus for this. She stated that, for the employee to come forward and if this policy was in place, she would have brought it directly to them and made a recommendation and wouldn't need more input. She added that she could envision cases where it may be more complicated than that and a nominating committee may be appropriate or a committee made up of the components mentioned by Councilmember Digre might make sense. She stated that whatever the path, it will come to Council, and if they feel that more should be done to fashion the recommendation, it was up to them. She stated that they wrote it so that it was flexible and can take into account simple requests like what was before them now or more complicated requests. She stated that they can change the provisions, should they wish to do that.

Mayor O'Neill felt it would be nice to have a policy but keep it simple, like the gentleman who left money to Park and Rec, they were more familiar with it, knowing the history of who he was, and what they might do with the money. He thought it was best and the policy as stated was okay and adequate.

Councilmember Martin thought she heard that they were saying that, if it comes to them, they can say they are in support of this but would defer to PB&R.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he thought that they would approach the City Manager, who would refer it to the appropriate Commission, such as PB&R, Open Space, etc., and they would investigate whether the person did do something, and then it would finally come to Council and Council would vote yes or no.

Councilmember Martin asked if it would be a recommendation from the PB&R Commission to Council.

Mayor O'Neill thought it would be that way or from the City Manager's office.

City Manager Tinfow stated that, in that case, it didn't make sense that it would go to a Commission, but with the policy, it would go to the City Manager who would determine what information was necessary in order to make a good recommendation to the Council, and as such, it would always come to the City Manager's office under this policy.

Councilmember Digre stated that it was not clear. She asked if the process was to come to the City Manager's office, and she asked if it then went to City Council or would they direct it to some other committee.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it would depend on what it was, and as mentioned by the Mayor, if there was someone who wanted to leave a sizeable amount of money to the city and they had some interest in directing that money, she thought it would make sense to involve an agency, such as PB&R Commission, have the discussion and input as part of the recommendation that would come to Council. She stated that, if she was doing this particular request before them for an employee, she didn't think that would need to go to a Commission. She would have brought it to Council, mentioning the request and explaining that it made sense from their perspective and she would ask Council to decide whether to rename the facility in honor of the employee.

Councilmember Digre concluded that was part of what was meant by case by case.

City Manager Tinfow responded affirmatively.

Mayor O'Neill asked if they could possibly have two motions, one adopting the naming policy and another one specifically naming the Brighton pump station.

City Manager Tinfow deferred to the City Attorney.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that it was part of the resolution.

Mayor O'Neill concluded it was okay and he asked for two motions.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that it was not on the agenda to name it after Don Davis.

City Manager Tinfow stated that the item was to adopt a resolution to adopt policy and naming.

City Attorney Kenyon acknowledged that it was in the motion. She added that it does not have to be two motions but just one motion.

Mayor O'Neill thought, in deference to the family if they had a motion just for that, it made it better.

City Attorney Kenyon agreed that it was okay to have two motions.

Mayor O'Neill asked for the first motion.

City Manager Kenyon recommended that the first motion would be to adopt the resolution with the policy and the second motion would be specifically naming the pump station after Mr. Davis.

Councilmember Martin moved to adopt the resolution, for City Council to establish the naming and renaming Public Facilities Policy; seconded by Councilmember Vaterlaus.

5-0

Councilmember Digre moved to rename the Brighton Pump Station after former City employee Dave Davis; seconded by Mayor pro Tem Keener.

5-0

RESULT:	ADOPTED AS AMENDED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Deirdre Martin, Councilmember
SECONDER:	Sue Vaterlaus, Councilmember
AYES:	O'Neill, Keener, Digre, Martin, Vaterlaus

7. Adoption of the Council Goals and Draft 2017-18 Work Plan
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to adopt the attached set of seven (7) Goals and the draft 2017-18 Work Plan.

City Manager Tinfow asked the executive team staff members working on this to join her at the staff table. City Manager Tinfow then presented the staff report.

Councilmember Digre referred to Item 16 on page 277 and asked if the Milagra on-ramp and Manor overpass were together when they were submitted.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, when they submitted the application, it was submitted together because it was considered one project. He reminded her that Council adopted the resolution making the Milagra on-ramp a component of the Manor Drive overcrossing as they could use whatever money was spent on either one as a match which is good to have when competing for grants.

Councilmember Digre asked if there was a reason why they did not provide the funding.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that the project was not chosen and they will reapply.

Councilmember Digre concluded that they don't give reasons why they were not chosen.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that it didn't rank high enough but they reminded the Transportation Authority Board that this project was identified when the Transportation Authority went before the voters of San Mateo County asking for the reauthorization of Measure A and it was a reminder that they gave them.

Councilmember Digre approved, adding that they were worrying about the overpass collapsing and that was one reason why she was pursuing the question as to whether they were together. She thought we had a better chance of matching funds.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that the safety concern regarding the vehicular overpass was that as buses make that turn, they have to swing wide because of the tight radius or the radii on all the four corners, and why they occupy the opposing lane. This project would address that and they will also call for signalization of the intersection to facilitate traffic through faster.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he pushed for that, mentioning that the contact he had at CalTrans helped them out.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo agreed.

Mayor O'Neill stated that the city was contributing \$900,000 towards the design and were still turned down for city's over the hill. He thought that, in June when this was brought forth, it would be great if all councilmembers would solicit the MTC Board.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that it was the Transportation Authority.

Mayor O'Neill stated that they need to solicit the Transportation Authority to get this funding. He stated that it was listed in the ballot argument twice, once on the initial and once on the renewal.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo agreed.

Councilmember Martin asked clarification on the month, which she thought was in June.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that it could be as early as June.

Councilmember Martin stated that for clarification for the community, the one item consolidated was called out as a separate initiative or project for the repayment of the \$4 million interfund loans that will be called out as a line item in long term financial planning.

Councilmember Digre referred to page 274, Items 1a and 1b, regarding rent stabilization issue where it says agendaize a report, and she thought of staff time. She asked if the report would be something they want right away or do they want to agendaize discussion of 1a and 1b. She then referred to No. 4, and mentioned that, with the residents reading the item, it didn't indicate on No. 4 the climate action plan of transportation and mobility and sea level rise. She stated that transportation was involved, and she thought they might want to put that in parentheses. On No. 5, she thought they were talking about recreational marijuana then asked if they were talking about both.

Councilmember Martin stated that it was both.

Councilmember Digre thought the community needed to know that, by Prop. 64, the cities were mandated to do the recreational.

City Manager Tinfow stated that Police Chief Steidle was the lead and she would have him reply.

Councilmember Digre wanted to make it clear to the public about why this was on there.

Police Chief Steidle stated that Prop. 64 legalizes the possession of non-medical marijuana or recreational marijuana. He stated that the reason why they are discussing it and have brought it before Council was that they were trying to get direction as to what businesses, if any, that Council will want to allow in town, the businesses that cultivate medical marijuana but also non-medical or recreational marijuana. He stated that Prop. 64 does not automatically state that the businesses can open in our community, but provides a licensing scheme and gives them the authority to decide what the city wants to let open in our community.

Councilmember Digre asked if there was a mandated component and they have to do something by a certain date.

Police Chief Steidle stated that the state expects to begin issuing licenses by January 1, 2018, and that was why the city was trying to get this before Council.

Councilmember Digre was trying to come from the point of view of someone going on line and seeing this and figuring out what Council was thinking. She stated that, on Item 8, they don't mention rentals. She acknowledged that it was in A and B but was also under affordables. She referred to No. 10, stating that she would like to see a change on the right side, page 276. They were mentioning traffic signal timing but it has nothing to do with timing. She didn't know how they expressed it when they did the last meeting, and she asked if they could look at that. She stated that the old way was synchronization but that was not what they were talking about here.

City Manager Tinfow referred to the wording, mentioning an adaptive system.

Councilmember Digre stated that it says traffic signal timing.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she was asking Public Works Dir. Ocampo to offer some other description.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that they could say traffic signal adaptive system.

Councilmember Digre stated that timing gives the old fashioned thing, and this was more than that. She then referred to Item 11, stating that it sounds like nothing has been done, and she thought people would take offense to that. She thought it would be good to point out that they were waiting, as the FAA was mandated to respond to the request of the SFO Roundtable by February, and following that they will take action. She stated that there were a lot of people, Pacificans and elsewhere, and the select committees that have given a lot of help, and the FAA would take offense that they have not done anything. She agreed that it was not enough at this point. She referred to Item 14, page 276, and wondered if they need to say in the column on the right that we want to agendize the completion of the General Plan for direction.

City Manager Tinfow stated that, before she moves on from that last item, it would be great to have a little bit more information about that.

Councilmember Digre stated that it sounded like they just finished and were not going to do anything. She stated that she was thinking, in the box, and this would be agendaized for direction and it was not just sitting there. She asked if they could know where they are on Item 16, page 277, where they were thinking of the PDAs. She thought one was Eureka Square, but she asked if they have others that they have determined.

City Manager Tinfow stated that the Planning Director may want to respond as she has more information.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that they would confirm it to Council when it was time to make an application, but it was her understanding that it would include Eureka Square and parts of Sharp Park.

Councilmember Digre asked where was it meant by Sharp Park.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated West Sharp Park.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was the neighborhoods of Sharp Park.

Councilmember Digre asked if it was like Palmetto.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister responded affirmatively.

City Manager Tinfow recalled that there was a minimum of 40 acres and a site or area has to be at least 40 acres and to get something that big, it would include Eureka Square and Sharp Park.

Councilmember Vaterlaus referred to Item 1a and 1b, and stated that we have a rent advisory task force that has only met three times. She stated that Council did receive a letter from some one on that task force that stated that they didn't feel they had enough time to get into the rent advisory. She thought it should still be a consideration instead of totally changing the direction of the rent advisory committee.

Councilmember Digre stated that the way it was written didn't say the direction was changing at this point, although she acknowledged that they indicated that at the goal setting. She stated that as read it indicated a desire for further discussion.

City Manager Tinfow stated that staff was not clear about Council's direction on this topic and they want to bring it back and agendaize it to give Council a chance to study it and let staff know what they would like staff to do, as well as what they would like the task force to do.

Councilmember Martin stated that she saw 1b to keep the task force as is because there was a discussion about disbanding or redirecting it, but a Council member wanted to consider keeping them on task. She felt it should be revised to say something like that as it appeared to be off a bit. She asked staff if that made sense.

City Manager Tinfow thought that was what she had written, but she asked for suggestions on how to make it clear.

Councilmember Martin thought it was a difficult subject because they are related and backwards. She stated there was a task force and there was also a discussion wanted on actual rent stabilization and just cause. She thought, if that continues, there is discussion about

keeping it on task or redirecting it. She thought it was difficult to even verbalize and agendaize it. She understood staff's confusion. She then mentioned that Councilmember Digre brought up what will be in the report that will be agendaized. She thought they need direction from staff on what that was going to be.

City Manager Tinfow stated that staff has not had time to debrief it which was why she was not able to bring a date to Council, not being sure what it will take to have them be prepared to help Council. She added that they are listening intently to get it right and they will bring back what Council needs for the future date.

Mayor pro Tem Keener agreed that the rent advisory task force should go forward for now. He was disappointed regarding the letter addressed to Council, as the writer felt that it wasn't going to go forward and his effort would be wasted. He was in favor of going forward, as well as discussing rent stabilization and just cause eviction.

Mayor O'Neill looked at this as the two will be evolving in some form as they go forward, comparing them to conjoined twins. He thought they should have staff debrief and bring it forward as an agendaized item at a future Council meeting. He felt they were groping about something that no one has firmed up and it was an evolving process. He felt they have to go forward with the process.

Councilmember Digre asked confirmation that they had a contract with Peninsula Conflict Resolution.

City Manager Tinfow responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Digre asked if the contract mentioned a timetable.

City Manager Tinfow asked the Planning Director if she would like to respond to the question.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that she didn't recall the specifics of the contract.

Councilmember Digre clarified that she was stating that, if in a contract, you don't flippantly break it. She stated that she thinks highly of PCR. She stated that 1a and 1b was in a good place as she didn't think they wanted to delay these things. She assumed that the advisory board recognizes that it is an advisory board and one never knows how the outcome will be, specifically that whatever they conclude has to come before Council.

Councilmember Martin asked the City Manager if she felt comfortable with that.

City Manager Tinfow thought it would be helpful if Council would clearly say if they would like the task force to continue to meet and work on its charter or prefer that they hold off until they can bring it back for more clarity. She stated that she has heard different things. She asked that they discuss and give staff direction.

Mayor pro Tem Keener thought at least three of them have given direction of being in favor of the rent advisory task force going forward as is, asking confirmation on that from Councilmember Vaterlaus.

Mayor O'Neill asked that they use their lights.

Councilmember Digre stated that she was responding to a question.

Mayor O'Neill clarified that the question was directed to Councilmember Vaterlaus.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he may have put words in Councilmember Martin's mouth and he apologized and stated that she can speak for herself, concluding that at least two of them have said that they were in favor of the rent advisory task force going forward.

Councilmember Digre stated that she was voting on the charter and she asked what the charter actually says.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that the task force was asked by Council to prepare a rent advisory measure that would come back to Council for consideration.

Councilmember Digre stated that she was okay with that.

Councilmember Martin stated that, for clarification, the mission of the charter had nothing to do with rent stabilization, but an agreement between tenants and landlords that says they agree to act peacefully toward each other. She suggested that they read the charter if they haven't yet. She was not in favor of the charter as it stands. She loves that the city was empowering the community to come together on this issue, but she didn't think it was fair as it stands to have the task force as it was, i.e., two tenants working with some notoriously known opposition in the county on something for Pacifica that doesn't allow tenants to speak about actual rent stabilization, only an agreement to be peaceful towards each other. She stated that she was in favor of a) the rent advisory redirecting its charter or b) don't waste people's time and let Council figure it out with everyone.

Mayor O'Neill asked clarification from staff that there were two tenants, two landowners and two homeowners.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that the Council's direction was to have three groups evenly represented. That was 2 tenants, which staff understood were tenants of apartments, 2 landlords and 2 at large that would be considered to be neutral.

Mayor O'Neill thought they were starting to deliberate and he didn't think they need to get this far into it. He stated that there was one who wanted to change the charter as is, and three want to move forward with rent advisory.

Councilmember Digre stated that she didn't know the people involved, and if there was a situation where there were two dead set against any rent stabilization, then she was concerned about a couple of things, wasting time being one. She mentioned some may not be in favor of anything with teeth. She worries about staff time and advisory time wasted. She thought the PCR people were great but she did not know those involved and how they were chosen. She thought they wanted 3 but they have 2, and she felt that was concerning. She thought that, based on what was stated at the goal setting, they were more inclined towards stricter understanding of help because they don't want people displaced. She didn't feel comfortable with an advisory board that didn't sound like it would stop displacement. She recalled from goal setting that this should all come to Council soon, and she didn't feel like soon had a definition.

She stated that this worries her because it was a serious matter. She stated that we already had people displaced on Pacifica, and this was over a year old, and she was concerned.

Mayor O'Neill asked that she summarize her comments.

Councilmember Digre stated that she was rethinking and honing in on displacement.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it was not answering the question before her, which is does she want to leave the rent advisory board under its present direction or have it stop meeting.

Councilmember Digre stated that she was choosing to stop it because displacement is continuing and we have had enough of it, adding that she was allowed to give a reason for her opinion.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that all commissions and committees must be made up of a broad cross section of a community. She stated that you can't have a committee about a rent advisory with only renters, but all ideas as part of the committee. She thought they told Council that it was 2 tenants, 2 landlords and 2 at large. She thought they should let them try to continue and make a decision and let Council know their decision before they make a judgment about their committee, adding that they have not seen any reports on their decisions.

Mayor O'Neill asked City Attorney if they were getting too close to deliberation.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that this deliberation has to happen because she is understanding that Council is not satisfied with the description and the work plan, and staff needs direction on how they want that language revised.

Mayor pro Tem Keener felt that, if they want to stop the task force or revise the charter, it was a job for Council once it is agendized.

City Attorney Kenyon agreed.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that was part of his position that the task force should not be stopped now, although it could be one of the options once this was agendized. He stated that another option that should be up for discussion was rent stabilization and just cause eviction.

Mayor O'Neill asked if staff had clear direction yet.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she didn't think they did. She was hearing different things from different Councilmembers. She thought, for clarification, they need to know if they would like the rent advisory committee to continue working on what they have been working on and come back to Council, or would they like them to stop until they are able to have this conversation and decide whether they want them to continue or change direction. She thought that was the crux of it. She stated that, if they could give staff any indication of that, it would be helpful.

Mayor O'Neill asked Councilmembers for yes or no as to whether they heard the question staff has.

Councilmember Vaterlaus asked if the question was to go forward or not go forward.

City Manager Tinfow asked if they would like the task force to continue moving toward their current goal.

Councilmember Digre concluded that the advisory board was presently not supposed to go into any kind of rent stabilization and she asked if the work plan can be changed for that and if it could be made part of the charter.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that the Council was very clear in their direction for the task force, which was to create a rent advisory measure. The task force can't decide not to work on that and cannot decide to switch to recommend a rent stabilization ordinance to Council.

Councilmember Digre stated that it was a different Council and the goal setting was the previous week, and this Council had a different majority. She asked if she heard they can do something under the present charter.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that Council would need to change their direction if they do not want them to work on a rent advisory measure.

Councilmember Digre stated that she thought she heard that, under the present charter, they could do something.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they could develop a rent advisory only.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that it was important for the Council to understand that, with a rent advisory measure, the main difference was it was not an ordinance and not mandated. She stated that, in terms of the specifics of the advisory measure in other communities, it is that they contain some similarities to rent stabilization ordinances, only it was not mandatory. It was a principle that they hope landlords and tenants follow but there was no mandatory obligation to do so. She stated that they may see elements that they may see in a rent stabilization ordinance in an advisory measure, but it was not mandated. She stressed that was the difference between an advisory measure and a rent stabilization and just cause ordinance.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that the previous Council established this rent advisory task force. He stated that he did not vote for it, but he added that to change it requires action on the part of the Council when this item is agendized, whether it is to stop it or change the charter. His choice was to go forward with the rent advisory task force for now.

Councilmember Digre stated that this is on their decision regarding their work plan and she asked how Mayor pro Tem Keener's statement would fit into this.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that, if she was trying to draft some language that would reflect what he just reflected and what Councilmember Vaterlaus reflected, it would say something like Council indicates an intent to continue with the rent advisory task force and bring the task force results to the Council along with consideration of a just cause stabilization ordinance.

Councilmember Digre thought it would be acceptable, asking her to repeat it.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they were saying two different things. She asked if she was talking about an ordinance.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that she was talking about both the task force and the ordinance, because what she hears from at least two Councilmembers was that they think that the task force should continue but bring back to the Council both a discussion on a just cause rent stabilization ordinance and the results from whatever comes out of the advisory task force.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was basically changing the charter with the purpose of the committee to include developing an ordinance.

City Attorney Kenyon clarified that what would come back to Council was recommendations from the advisory task force on an advisory measure, as well as consideration of two options.

City Manager Tinfow asked if it was what the task force would develop for them.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that the task force would only develop the advisory measure which was their charter. It was not to develop a rent stabilization, just cause ordinance.

Mayor O'Neill stated that her verbage was accurate but it does not add a sense of urgency and he thought that was the matter from the majority of Council. He didn't know how long the rent advisory would take to bring forth an advisory ordinance. He was happy with the language but there was no sense of urgency.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he would not agree with that. He thought bringing the issue back to Council should not wait on the rent advisory task force coming to a conclusion, as they may or may not.

City Attorney Kenyon agreed that they may or may not. She stated that it should be clarified.

Councilmember Vaterlaus referred to the fact that they have only met three times and she asked if they were close to establishing some sort of rental advisory.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that they were still several months away based on the anticipated schedule.

City Manager Tinfow stated that staff was clear on the sense of urgency which was why they were present now to make sure they were capturing what Council would like them to capture on this.

Councilmember Martin stated that she was confused with that language, and she asked that it be phrased differently. She doesn't want the community to come together if she didn't believe in the charter as it stands being what the community has expressed to them over the last few months. She stated that, if the question is to proceed as is, she would vote no. She asked again to have it phrased differently to get her to think about it in a different way. She thought she heard that, under that language, there would be no revision of the charter. There would still be a rent advisory task force slated to present an advisory measure and it could include rent stabilization.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that it would not. It would only involve discussion of an advisory measure.

Councilmember Martin concluded that nothing changes under the new language.

City Attorney Kenyon was trying to provide language to reflect what she heard from Mayor pro Tem Keener, Councilmember Vaterlaus and Mayor O'Neill. She stated that was the direction she heard but her language does not reflect that the Council wants the issue of rent stabilization and just cause ordinances brought back in a short period of time, along with whatever results exist from the advisory task force. She concluded that Council would be looking at allowing the charter advisory task force to move forward at whatever pace it chooses to move forward, but Council was going to be moving quickly to consider just cause stabilization ordinances and would like to have before them when it does come back in a very short period of time the results from the advisory task force along with consideration of just cause, rent stabilization ordinances, adding that staff would like to know what the time frame was.

Councilmember Martin stated that it doesn't change anything for her. She didn't think the City Attorney wasted her breath explaining things to her and thanked her for the clarification, but it was two different issues of the cart before the horse or the different sides of the same coin.

Councilmember Digre stated that they were present to vote on the present Council's work plan. She heard they were mentioning urgency, but she questioned where the urgency was for rent stabilization and just cause. She asked how that was established. She stated that, if it was tied to the advisory, that will be months away and she didn't see urgency there.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that she was right. She stated that they have to discuss that in terms of when they want that just cause rent stabilization ordinance to come back to Council for consideration. She stated that would be the driving factor. She thought the Council was facing whether they want to direct the advisory task force to hold off on their proceedings. Because they can't even change the charter as mentioned by Mayor pro Tem Keener, she asked if Council wanted to give staff direction to hold off on continuing with task force meetings until they bring back a just cause rent stabilization item for consideration or do they want to allow them to go forward with the charter and task they were given last year and bring back their results at the time that staff brings back a just cause rent stabilization ordinance. She concluded that was the basic question for Council.

Councilmember Digre thought she heard that the advisory committee would take several months before they got to the point of coming to Council.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister stated that they have laid out a tentative agenda, and they have 2-3 more months to go and, if they needed more time, they could go longer as there was no set end date determined by Council.

Councilmember Digre stated that she was confused when they say it is an urgency thing, as she didn't see the urgency language.

Mayor O'Neill stated that was what they have asked to have inserted.

Councilmember Digre asked what the urgency was as she was hearing that it will be months.

Mayor O'Neill stated that was the next step Council will talk about.

Councilmember Digre asked when they will be doing this.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it was not up to him, but up to a consensus of the Council. He stated that she was asking for something that they were going to talk about next.

Councilmember Digre asked if he meant at this meeting.

Mayor O'Neill responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Martin stated that she heard the City Attorney say they cannot change the charter.

City Attorney Kenyon clarified that they cannot do it at this meeting.

Councilmember Martin understood that, but she asked if they can change the charter.

City Attorney Kenyon agreed that they can change it but not at this meeting.

City Manger Tinfow reiterated that they can change it but not at this meeting.

Councilmember Martin stated that her answer still stands.

Mayor pro Tem Keener also stated that they cannot change the frequency with which they meet at this time.

City Manager Tinfow thought they established that themselves.

Planning Dir. Wehrmeister agreed, stating it was based on their schedules.

City Manager Tinfow thought it was an unusual formation and was not typical direction from the Council.

Mayor O'Neill asked if everyone was clear on the yes or no part. He asked staff to restate what Council was looking for and they could respond yes or no.

City Manager Tinfow stated that the simple statement was whether Council wanted the task force to continue to meet and work toward the goal that the Council established last year. If the answer is no, because they are thinking they want time to discuss, that was all staff needs and they will let them know and pause and bring back the full discussion and Council may decide at that time to give them a different direction. She stated that, if they want them to continue to meet, they will and stay focused on the direction in place when the task force was formed. She stated that the ordinance activities would be a separate track. She concluded that the simple question was do they want them to continue.

Councilmembers Martin and Digre said no.

Mayor pro Tem Keener, Mayor O'Neill and Councilmember Vaterlaus said yes.

Mayor O'Neill then mentioned urgency and asked guidance from staff as to this issue, which requires a lot of research and staff time, and when they felt they could bring a good report back to Council for consideration.

City Manager Tinfow asked if the Council would be willing to entertain having a special meeting on this topic. She stated that, as she looks at the upcoming agendas, with highway topic back on March 13, airport noise agendized in March, and there are also other things scheduled along

with those two big topics. She stated that, if they want a stand alone meeting on rent control and just cause, they can do some polling in the next few days and get it going fairly quickly.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that she would also need clarification on what the Council would be looking for when the item was brought back, such as a fully drafted rent stabilization, just cause ordinance or just consider whether or not to give direction to staff to prepare that ordinance, adding that it makes a difference in timing as well.

Mayor O'Neill asked Council if they were willing to have a special meeting, probably on a Saturday.

All Councilmembers stated that they were willing to have a special meeting.

Mayor O'Neill asked if they want to have a fully drawn up ordinance or just taking public input.

City Attorney Kenyon added that they would be giving direction to staff on what they would want.

Mayor O'Neill understood that, after the public input, they would give direction to staff.

City Manager Tinfow added that after speaking to the Planning Director, with any direction on that, the Planning Director would put together a recap on all the work done last year and give to Council to be part of their discussion.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated she would like a full public discussion without actual ordinances first, as she felt the last public session was where the advisory task force was developed.

Councilmember Digre asked if she was referring to the fact that they were presented with an ordinance language at one time, and she asked if that was what they were referring to.

Mayor O'Neill stated that they were never presented with an ordinance.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they did not have ordinance language prepared by the City Attorney's office, but prepared by outside parties.

Councilmember Digre asked if there was some law that prevented them from looking at that.

City Attorney Kenyon stated there was none.

Councilmember Digre stated that people have been displaced already. She stated that she was the vice mayor when they had several public meetings, after she became mayor there were more public meetings, and they now have a new Council and she heard urgency from everybody and she would prefer to get it going.

Mayor O'Neill asked if she was willing to have a special meeting.

Councilmember Digre stated she was, adding that she was allowed to give her reasons. She would like to have an ordinance present so they can move on.

Councilmember Martin stated that it was a big question. She thought about the ordinance already drafted that no one looked at from the city and never considered, and she suggested that they at least look at that and maybe have it revised and presented.

Mayor O'Neill asked confirmation that she wanted a full ordinance presented at the special meeting.

Councilmember Martin asked if there was an in between choice, such as consideration of a full ordinance.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that, no matter what direction Council goes, she will review the ordinance submitted to determine whether or not that ordinance would satisfy legal challenges. She will also give an opinion whether that ordinance will survive legal challenge or whether she has issues with certain areas. She stated that would not take a long period of time to accomplish. She stated that, if they were asking her to draft an ordinance that is ready for adoption, that is different. She stated that it was difficult for her as City Attorney to know exactly where the members of Council are going to go in terms of different possibilities and options with regard to rent stabilization. She questioned, based on her experience, even with direction to prepare an ordinance for adoption at this special meeting, whether it will be ready for adoption because it may have to be revised, depending upon the discussion they have that night.

Councilmember Martin asked if it would be possible to suggest an ordinance for consideration, and they can add input.

City Attorney Kenyon responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Martin would recommend that, with consideration of the proposed ordinance that was put together by an attorney.

Mayor O'Neill asked if she wanted an ordinance brought forward, written by the City Attorney.

Councilmember Martin stated that she would like that for them to consider.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that he was in agreement with Councilmember Martin to have the City Attorney carefully consider the ordinance that was not considered previously and bring forward an ordinance based on that.

Councilmember Vaterlaus asked what the ordinance was, where it was from, where can she read it. She stated that she was a member of the public at every meeting and no one was presented with an ordinance.

Councilmember Martin stated that there was a copy on fairrents4pacific.com.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she could not find it.

Councilmember Martin stated that she can send it to her.

Councilmember Digre stated that they had a public City Council meeting and it was presented during orals.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it was presented to Council but he didn't think everyone got a copy.

City Manager Tinfow stated that a member of the public presented it, but it was not officially presented.

Councilmember Digre stated that you can get the recording and hear it, but it was better if she will send a copy to her.

Mayor O'Neill stated that there were three Councilmembers who want a full ordinance brought forward.

Councilmember Digre asked who the three were.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it was Councilmembers Digre, Martin and Keener.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that the mayor has not offered his opinion.

Mayor O'Neill stated that it didn't matter as there were three. He was uncomfortable in spending a lot of money on drafting their own full ordinance. He was okay with the city attorney reviewing the one that was presented to Council and making suggestions on it. He appreciated specific points that say Council direction is needed in specific areas and they can hone in on areas of needed clarification.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that was clear, but she thought she heard from some, if not three, that they would prefer to base the ordinance for adoption on that sample that they received in 2015, and if that was the case, she could do that and provide additional comments. She thought they may need more clarity. She understood the mayor's take was a little different, but three Councilmembers were prepared to have her look at the ordinance supplied in 2015 from the Poverty Law Center.

Mayor O'Neill stated it was Daniel Savor.

City Attorney Kenyon went on to state that those three would like her to put that ordinance into a form that was ready for adoption by the Council, and she felt that can happen.

Mayor O'Neill stated that he was concerned about an outside lawyer, no matter who, writing an ordinance for Pacifica that they will have to defend in court if there is a court case. He would like to have a full review by the City Attorney and if there are portions where she wants direction from Council, then he agreed.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that she can do that.

City Manager Tinfow thought they could figure out a process to gather their feedback, based on a draft that the staff puts together and they can highlight the areas where they would need clarification or confirmation from Council.

Mayor O'Neill asked if the Council was okay with what they decided.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they still need to know when they want that back.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated no.

Mayor pro Tem Keener thought they established that.

Mayor O'Neill asked if they were prepared to move forward with a motion to adopt the work plan as is.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that she has to say no on that last issue.

Mayor O'Neill asked if she would like to make a motion to accept the work plan for everything except Item 1a and 1b.

City Manager Tinfow stated that the work plan was designed to capture what actually happened on February 4 and, as long as they agree that this was generally what happened, they can have a chance to vote differently when this comes back to them at the special meeting.

Councilmember Vaterlaus stated that her no was for the development of a full ordinance, as she felt it was premature.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that was not a vote but direction to staff.

Councilmember Digre stated that they lost her. With all the discussion, they were going somewhere and now they were going back. She asked if they had an original motion or what was the motion. She asked if they were going to adopt the plan as written.

Mayor O'Neill stated that the revisions made are part of this.

Councilmember Digre asked if they were talking about 1a and 1b.

Mayor O'Neill thought the City Attorney has their directions. He asked if she wanted to clarify what was in that square.

City Manager Tinfow stated that, as long as they are comfortable that this was what happened on the 4th and this was the direction, they know Council wants to talk about this in more depth as soon as they can get it scheduled. She stated that the item on the work plan does say they are going to bring it back to them at a future time to talk more in depth about it. She stated that it was clear what they would like that meeting to include based on their conversation and staff has direction. She hoped the five would vote to approve this with some of the word changes that have been suggested that she has captured and they can move on to scheduling the session to dig into the rent stabilization and just cause eviction.

Mayor pro Tem Keener was ready to offer a motion if it was okay with everyone else.

Councilmember Digre stated that it sounds like they threw everything the City Attorney said about an ordinance out the window.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was direction to them when they bring it back to them at the meeting they discussed having. She stated that they can't tell them the date as they need to figure out calendars.

Councilmember Digre stated that, as long as they are clear about that. She stated that they are going forward saying they accept the work plan they discussed, rather than what was read at

the meeting and relatively soon there will be a meeting on a Saturday and they will have something from staff that they looked at that other ordinance to see where it was legal.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they will have an ordinance for adoption at that special meeting.

Mayor pro Tem Keener moved approval of the Work Plan; seconded by Councilmember Martin.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	John Keener, Mayor Pro Tem
SECONDER:	Deirdre Martin, Councilmember
AYES:	O'Neill, Keener, Digre, Martin, Vaterlaus

8. Appointments to the Beautification Advisory Committee, Illegal Fireworks Task Force, Library Advisory Committee and Parks, Beaches and Recreation Commission.
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to appoint one (1) applicant to the Beautification Advisory Committee; five (5) applicants to the Illegal Fireworks Task Force; one (1) applicant to the Library Advisory Committee; one (1) applicant to the Parks, Beaches & Recreation Commission.

City Clerk O'Connell presented the staff report.

Mayor O'Neill asked them to present their ballots on the Beautification Advisory Committee.

Mayor pro Tem Keener stated that, on the Illegal Fireworks Task Force, they need six members and there were only five applicants.

Mayor pro Tem Keener moved that Council appoint them all to the Illegal Fireworks Task Force; seconded by Councilmember Vaterlaus.

5-0

City Clerk O'Connell stated that they have a tie on the Beautification Advisory Committee of two applicants receiving two votes each. The two ties were for Avelino Pombo and Mara Higdon.

City Clerk O'Connell stated, following a second vote, Avelino Pombo received three votes and asked for a motion for the BAC.

Mayor pro Tem Keener moved that they appoint Avelino Pombo to the Beautification Advisory Committee; seconded by Councilmember Martin.

5-0

Mayor O'Neill asked them to turn in the ballots on the Library Advisory Committee.

City Clerk O'Connell stated that Kellie Samson received the most nominations.

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments and, seeing no one, closed public comments.

Councilmember Vaterlaus moved to accept the nomination of Kellie Samson on the Library Advisory Committee; seconded by Councilmember Digre.

5-0

Mayor O'Neill stated that the next committee was Parks, Beaches & Recreation Commission.

City Clerk O'Connell stated that Kevin Kellogg received the most nominations for the PB&R Commission.

Mayor O'Neill opened public comments and, seeing none, he closed public comments.

Councilmember Digre move to approve nomination of Kevin Kellogg to the Parks, Beaches Recreation Commission; seconded by Councilmember Martin.

5-0

Councilmember Vaterlaus mentioned that there are other commissions and committees that will have openings in the future and she asked that they reapply.

Councilmember Martin agreed, and she hoped that they put in an email when they are rejected that they please come back because they were not selected for any other reason than that there was only one slot.

City Clerk O'Connell stated that she does put it in the letter, encouraging them to apply as vacancies occur.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	John Keener, Mayor Pro Tem
SECONDER:	Sue Vaterlaus, Councilmember
AYES:	O'Neill, Keener, Digre, Martin, Vaterlaus

ADJOURN

Councilmember Digre moved to adjourn, seconded by Mayor pro Tem Keener.

5-0

Mayor O'Neill adjourned the meeting at 9:36 p.m.

Transcribed by Barbara Medina, Public Meeting Stenographer.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy O'Connell, City Clerk

APPROVED: 5-0 2/27/17

Mike O'Neill, Mayor