



**CITY OF PACIFICA
CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**

**Council Chambers
2212 Beach Blvd
Pacifica, CA 94044**

Mayor Sue Digre
Mayor Pro Tem Mike O'Neill
Councilmember Karen Ervin
Councilmember Mary Ann Nihart
Councilmember John Keener

October 10, 2016 (MONDAY)

www.cityofpacifica.org

called the meeting to order on October 10, 2016 at 7:00 PM

CLOSED SESSION - NONE.

7:00 PM OPEN SESSION

Call to Order

Mayor Digre called the meeting to order at 7:08 p.m.

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Sue Digre	Mayor	Present	
Mike O'Neill	Mayor Pro Tem	Present	
Karen Ervin	Councilmember	Present	
Mary Ann Nihart	Councilmember	Present	
John Keener	Councilmember	Present	

Staff Present: Lorie Tinfow, City Manager; Michelle Kenyon, City Attorney; Van Ocampo, Public Works Director; Christian Murdock, Assoc. Planner; Dan Steidle, Police Chief; Chris Clements, Police Captain; Rich Johnson, Deputy Fire Chief; Anne Stedler, Economic Dev. Mgr.; Manuel Ferrer, Systems Specialist; Kathy O'Connell, City Clerk.

Salute to the Flag led by Mayor Digre

Closed Session Report

None.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Proclamation - Art Guild of Pacifica/Sanchez Art Center

Mayor Digre read a proclamation honoring the Art Guild of Pacifica/Sanchez Art Center.

Cindy Abbott, Exec. Director of the Sanchez Art Center, accepted the proclamation and introduced Jennifer Alpa, President of the Art Guild of Pacifica, and several board members.

She gave a brief description of the creation of the Sanchez Art Center, and invited the public to the first of several 20th anniversary celebrations on Friday with a reception.

Jennifer Alpa, President of the Art Guild of Pacifica, stated that she was one of 30 studio artists at the center. She stated that there were 165 Art Guild members. She thanked Council for the resolution.

Arthur Takiyama, President of the Sanchez Art Center's Board of Directors, thanked Pacifica and the City Council for their support of the Center. He hoped they have a fruitful future together.

Mayor Digre stated that her family and friends were also involved in the mural. She stated that she tries to attend the center once a month. She then mentioned that there was a difficult situation because Pacifica's night to entertain the councilmembers from the League of Cities at the Council Chamber was the same evening. She asked how early they start.

Cindy Abbot responded that they start at 7:00 p.m.

Mayor Digre didn't know how they could work that out. She stated that they have to figure out a community calendar, as she found it painful to host others when a local event was going on. She stated that it was a wonderful experience to attend their monthly activities and she suggested that the public add it to their calendar.

Councilmember Nihart mentioned that, several years ago when they were discussing the lease, she called other cities, looking for them doing similar kinds of things, and she was fascinated that so many people in the Bay Area knew about the Sanchez Art Center, how successful it was, as well as the artists and expressed the opinion that Pacifica was fortunate to have it. She wanted to share that with them, mentioning that it takes a village to make this happen. She stated that it was a community effort then and ongoing.

Councilmember Ervin thanked them, stating that it exemplifies everything that was so special about Pacifica, and they have made our city the special place that it is. She looked forward to seeing the exhibits and she extended her regret that they can't attend on the 21st, but they will be there to see the exhibit with family and friends.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that he has visited it several times. He stated that it was an interesting place to see, mentioning that he always goes during the Christmas season to see what is available for gifts. He congratulated them on their 20th anniversary.

Mayor Digre thanked the community for making this a wonderful and vibrant event every month, and she encouraged everyone to visit it.

Councilmember Keener congratulated them on their 20th anniversary.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor Digre stated that they have seven items and two will be pulled.

Councilmember Keener stated that it was three, Items 4, 5 and 6.

Mayor Digre stated that she was pulling #4, lease agreement, and #5 was the illegal fire works task force and she asked what the other item was.

Councilmember Keener stated that it was #6, sewer consultant.

Mayor Digre reiterated that #6 was the consultant services with Bartle Wells for Pacifica's sewer rate. She stated that Items 5 and 6 were pulled for discussion and she pulled Item #4, Chit Chat Café on the pier, and asked if they could hold it for another meeting.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill moved to approve Items #1, 2, 3 and 7; seconded by Councilmember Nihart.

5-0

Councilmember Keener suggested they take public comments on Item 5 before they discuss it.

Mayor Digre thought they should do Item #4 first.

City Attorney Kenyon asked if there were comments on any of the other consent items.

Mayor Digre stated that there was a comment on Item 4.

City Attorney Kenyon concluded that they pulled all the ones with comments, and she agreed that they should start with #4.

Mayor Digre asked Mayor pro Tem O'Neill if he went through the cards and they were all orals. Mayor pro Tem O'Neill responded affirmatively.

Mayor Digre asked the City Attorney to repeat her question.

City Attorney Kenyon asked if the comments were on the items they pulled.

Mayor Digre responded affirmatively.

City Attorney Kenyon agreed that they should start with #4.

Mayor Digre opened public comments, mentioning cards for Items 4, 5 and 6.

Councilmember Nihart questioned whether they should take them individually.

City Manager Tinfow stated that you take them individually, starting with #4, and if you have questions for the staff, they will answer them, then comments from the public.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Mike O'Neill, Mayor Pro Tem
SECONDER:	Mary Ann Nihart, Councilmember
AYES:	Digre, O'Neill, Ervin, Nihart, Keener

1. Approval of Disbursements for 09/16/16 through 09/30/16
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to approve attached list of disbursements for 09/16/16 through 09/30/16.

2. Proclamation Confirming Existence of Local Emergency of the Pacifica Coastline from Westline Drive to the End of Beach Boulevard.
PROPOSED ACTION: Accept current photos as of October 6, 2016 (Attachment 2) and move to continue proclamation confirming the existence of local emergency.

3. Adopt Resolution Amending the City's Conflict of Interest Code to Revise the List of Designated Employees
PROPOSED ACTION: Adopt the resolution amending the City of Pacifica Conflict of Interest Code to revise the list of Designated Employees.

4. Adoption of a Resolution of the City Council of Pacifica Approving the Lease Agreement Between the City of Pacifica and Paul and Amy Kukielka, Dba Chit Chat Café for the Premises Located at 2100 Beach Boulevard (Located at the Pacifica Pier).
PROPOSED ACTION: Adopt the resolution and authorize the City Manager to execute the lease agreement between the City of Pacifica and Paul and Amy Kukielka, dba Chit Chat Café.

Paul Kukielka, Pacifica, stated that he received the new lease after FogFest and it had to be back in by last week, and it didn't give him time to consult with anyone or even read it over thoroughly. He felt pressured and signed it anyway and turned it in. He stated that, after being advised from people, he concluded that there are things in there that are questionable and he would like more time to figure it out.

Mayor Digre closed public comments.

She then stated that she pulled it because she read the lease, noticing some things which would not be the wisest thing to try to deal with this evening but to put it on an agenda to talk about it or possibly in a closed session.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill moved that the Council table Item #4 to a future meeting, whether closed session or open to be determined by the City Attorney; seconded by Councilmember Keener.

5-0

5. Resolution Creating an Illegal Fireworks Task Force and Designating Member Composition
PROPOSED ACTION: Adopt the attached resolution creating the Illegal Fireworks Task Force and designating member composition.

Mayor Digre opened public comments.

Toni Boykin, Pacifica, stated that she was happy to see they have a resolution on the agenda, but she noticed something in the language that was not what she recalled as part of the discussion at the last meeting, specifically that it says the task force will sunset in one year. She thought they discussed that it would sunset in a year with a provision that it can continue after a report to the City Council.

Mayor Digre closed public comments.

Councilmember Keener stated that he would like to add, after the last whereas in the resolution which reads that the task force will automatically sunset one year after the first publicly noticed meeting of the task force, and he read the additional text, subject to Council's pleasure, "unless its term of existence is extended by the City Council."

Mayor Digre asked him to read it again.

Councilmember Keener reiterated that the resolution says the task force will automatically sunset one year after the first publicly noticed meeting of the task force, and his proposed addition is "unless its term of existence is extended by the City Council."

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that he did want a sunset date but he had no problem with having an option for the Council to renew it should the committee feel it needs more time or there was a consensus in the community to do so.

Mayor Digre asked if he was seconding it now.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Nihart stated that was fine. She remembered the discussion, and they were talking about the pros and cons of continuing it in this resolution. She stated that was always something the Council can do. She thought, making it explicit doesn't change that they can always do it.

Councilmember Ervin thought the City Manager had a comment.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she was going to make the same point that Councilmember Nihart did, which was that the Council always has the prerogative to extend a task force if they wish to do that, but they were happy with the language if that makes them feel more comfortable.

Mayor Digre stated that they have a motion and a second.

5-0

6. Approval of Consultant Services Agreement with Bartle Wells Associate for the City of Pacifica Sewer Rate Study
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to Approve the Agreement for Consultant Services with Bartle Wells Associate for the City of Pacifica Sewer Rate Study in the amount of \$57,700.00; Approve a total project budget of \$69,300 (includes 20% contingency); and authorize the City Manager to execute the contract.

Mayor Digre opened public comments.

Therese Dyer, Pacifica, stated that she was going on record as opposing any more money spent on rate studies for the sewer plant. She stated that, as a 56-year resident of Pacifica, she was told on moving here that she only had to pay for one sewer and now she was paying for another one. She commented that we have two funds, maintenance fund and rainy day fund, she assumed for the new sewer plant because the state of the art is not always working right. She asked why they were spending \$69,300 and asked if they were planning on giving them an

increase in the sewer rates, which were one of the highest in the county or are they going to lower them. She stated that, if they are going to be lowered, they don't need a consultant, and that tells her that they are looking to increase them. She felt that the whole city of Pacifica should protest that. She stated that they have been borrowing money from "Peter to pay Paul" for several years on the sewer which she felt was against the law. She mentioned that she gave every councilmember a copy of that litigation, including City Manager Tinfow, and nothing has ever been done. She stated that, if this goes through, she will be sending it to the Fair Political Practice.

Mayor Digre closed public comments.

Councilmember Keener asked if they could research other sewer rates in the county, along with all the other things they are going to do in the sewer rate study and present that information to City Council.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they could collect information. She asked if he could give her a sense for more direction. She asked how many he would like to see or do they want staff to use their judgement to give them a scope of things that look comparable to Pacifica. She wasn't sure that there were very many in the county that were comparable to Pacifica.

Councilmember Keener stated that he didn't know how many sewer districts there are.

City Manager Tinfow asked if he wanted them to use their best judgment to come back with some comparisons.

Councilmember Keener agreed that they use their best judgment with something from cities that are comparable to Pacifica.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they can do that.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that they dealt with this in LAFCO, and they may be challenged to find something similar to us because there are a lot of water districts in the county, and some are very small with less than 1,000 subscribers and some that do both in and out of the house and some like Pacifica that just do in and some that just do out. He thought they also have to get into the fact that Pacifica has a plant that is newer tertiary and cost Pacifica \$60 million to build and other cities are going to build it and it is \$120 million. He stated that they are starting to join forces because it was so expensive. He agreed that it would be nice, but he didn't know if they could really compare apples to apples or are they talking apples to oranges.

Councilmember Keener thought they may be comparing apples with oranges and, if staff wants to tell them that they are comparing apples and oranges, they are free to do that.

Councilmember Ervin asked if he was asking for that information as part of the report.

Councilmember Keener responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill asked for clarification as to whether it was staff's responsibility or the consultant's responsibility.

City Manager Tinfow didn't know.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that he was asking Councilmember Keener.

Councilmember Keener stated that he would assume the consultant.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill asked, given the scope of work in the contract, if this would be an added cost to the contract.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it may be, but she would be happy to do a little digging and see what she can come up with as a reasonable cost that will not make the contract more expensive.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she will save them the trouble, adding that BACWA actually has all the districts, comparative in terms of what they cover, what the challenges are and they have been tracking the lawsuits on the bayside related to sewer problems. She stated that they can also get it from the Regional Water Quality Control Board, giving them two easy places to get that information.

Mayor Digre stated that this was on the consent calendar, and she asked if they want a motion and a second.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill moved that they approve Item #6 as amended; seconded by Councilmember Nihart.

5-0

Councilmember Nihart made one comment about Item #6 for information, stating that she thought they were required to do the study every five years anyway.

City Manager Tinfow stated that the Council adopted five-year rate adjustments four years ago, and they do need to do it to present current information to Council.

7. A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Pacifica Approving the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for a Subdivision at the Northeast Corner of Brighton Road and Scenic Way, Assessor Parcel Numbers 016-345-020 and 016-345-200
PROPOSED ACTION: Adopt a Resolution of the City Council of the City of Pacifica approving the Subdivision Improvement Agreement (Attachment 1) for the construction of on-site and off-site improvement related to the subdivision at northeast corner of Brighton Road and Scenic Way (Assessor Parcel Numbers 016-345-020 and 016-345-200); and authorize the City Manager to execute said Subdivision Improvement Agreement.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Therese Dyer, Pacifica, stated that she wanted to speak about the Library Foundation. She referred to the news regarding the Clinton Foundation and Trump Foundation, stating that we might all want to start our own foundation. She stated that she found a lot of loopholes in this foundation. She thought it was all cut and dried, asking how they would dare allow the Library Foundation to put a political sign in 2003 and say this was the new site of the future library when the people have not voted on it. She stated that she knew it was a fact as she ran for City Council, and asserted that you can't put up any political signs six weeks before the election.

She stated that signs for the quarry are being destroyed every day on Fassler. She asked why they weren't going after those people which was a crime. She stated that it cost the developer a lot of money to put those signs up. She then referred to public records, stating that she has not been able to get a lot of answers on the Library Foundation. She stated that she has written letters to the editor, asking the same questions, and hasn't gotten answers. She stated that they have to file certain reports, and she went to the IRS and got her own. She concluded that she will have to go to the library because she was told by the president that it was on the website. She stated that they don't have a list of the donors which she thought might be a conflict of interest. She stated that the people who want to loan us the money are the County Supervisors, one of the richest counties in California. She stated that there are many buildings going up in Redwood City. She stated that they have 25 lawyers on their payroll, adding that she didn't understand why we don't use them as the school district uses them, and cut out funds. She stated that, if she doesn't get these answers from the relevant organizations, she will file another report with political practices.

Eric Tassio, Pacifica, stated that he was a Pacifican as well as the business agent of the plumbers' and steamfitter's local 467 in San Mateo County. He reported that the owners of the quarry site and the San Mateo trades council have reached an agreement to ensure that all development at the quarry will be done at the highest quality with local workers with good quality jobs, good benefits and good pay, with organizations that have strong training in apprentice programs. He mentioned the parameters of the development, adding that the footprint was smaller than previous proposals and will provide economic benefits to the city generating \$3 million per year in new revenue. He stated that it will increase the General Fund and can be used for important city services, such as public safety, parks and street repair. He stated that the developer also reached an agreement with the Teamsters' union and Unite Here so jobs inside the hotel and restaurants will also be jobs with livable wages and preference for Pacificans. He stated that the project was supported by the San Mateo Building Trades Council, Unite Here, Teamsters, San Mateo Central Labor Council, adding that they will be actively campaigning for this measure. He urged all Pacificans to support Measure W.

Dan Stegink, Pacifica, suggested that, if the sewer information was easily obtainable from BACWA and the Regional Water Quality Control Act, we save \$69,300 and get the information from them, and then have sewer rates comparable to the rest of the county. He then referred to a Tribune article on the 4th, which referred to a new twist in City Council race, specifically talking about Councilmember Nihart's candidacy for the City Council. He thought there was a federal investigation of Councilmember Nihart through the office of Special Council. He thought she was an ineligible candidate and no longer able to continue the race. He suggested, if they believe otherwise, that they tell the public. He asked that she drop out and give the other candidates a fair chance. He felt it perverts the process when they are an ineligible candidate but are on the ballot and continue with the election. He reiterated that he would like her to say she is an eligible candidate if she is, but if not, he would like her to withdraw from the campaign.

Mike Lagomarsino, stated that he was echoing the sentiments of his brother from the trades, and he was confirming that Teamsters Local 56 and United Here Local 2 have both signed agreements with the developer of the quarry for car check neutrality and they will remain neutral in the process of unionizing the workers at the hotels. He stated that they have been cooperative and they negotiated a mutual agreement and they will be supporting Measure W and looking forward to the project being started and the hotel opening and negotiate a first contract for the workers that will give them dignity on the job and collective bargaining, including retirement and health and welfare.

Mary McCall, Pacifica, stated that she loves the Sanchez Library, mentioning kids doing homework or on the computers. She didn't have a television and she goes to the library to get books and reads. She stated that she will be very upset if it were closed. She didn't know how she would get to a new library location. She would hate to see it closed, stating that there are so many people who use it.

Anna Boothe, Pacifica, stated that she was present with news from Pacificans United to Defeat the Library Tax and to give them their weekly quiz. She asked how many know that the city will close their neighborhood libraries, Sanchez and Sharp Park, if they vote yes on Proposition N. She stated that they know but the average Pacifican voter has no idea what the library bond or tax was. She stated that they have to let people know that, if they vote for Proposition N, they will close the libraries, and she felt we can't afford to lose the neighborhood libraries. She stated that they have filed a civil suit in San Mateo Court against this library tax/bond proposal. She then read from the proposition, adding that it does not mention where the library would be located.

Samuel Ewing, Pacifica, stated that he was speaking for Martin Anaya on behalf of PCT. He stated that Martin Anaya had to leave and he asked him to spread the word and share that tonight's footage will be covered along with the upcoming election forums and they can access the footage on their website, www.pacificcoast.tv. <<http://www.pacificcoast.tv>> He referred to flyers available for access to the website.

Roger Medler, Pacifica, stated that he still has a problem with communication. He stated that he wants someone to communicate with him. He met with the City Manager, and he stated that she fails to communicate. He didn't understand passing the mayor position from one councilmember to another. He referred to going to meetings and being told they can't mention names or talk directly to a member. He asked what they are trying to hide. He suggested a town hall meeting to communicate like regular people. He stated that he filed a complaint with the police department for false statements on a police report by Mary Ann Nihart. He stated that, if she doesn't think that's true, she can tell him what kind of door she thought he had on his garage. He stated that Julie Lancelle was there at the same time and he would like to see her say whether she thought he had guns in his garage. He stated that they didn't accept the complaint.

Tom Richardson, Pacifica, stated he has always been proud to talk to his friends about Pacifica, natural beauty, sense of community, but lately his friends from across the country and the Bay Area have been asking him the question of why Pacifica does not protect itself. He stated it was a good question as we have a lot to protect. He stated that we are a strong community and must not allow our community to be eroded inch by inch, either by ideology or by nature. He mentioned the analogy of a frog cooked slowly or dropped in hot water where he will jump out, then referred to our community letting the ocean eat at us little by little and divide them.

Mayor Digre stated that she has to stop him because oral comments were for items not on the agenda, and this evening they were discussing that subject on Item #8.

Mr. Richardson asked if he should wait until item #8.

Mayor Digre responded that he should, reiterating that Oral Communications was only for something they are not discussing but they are discussing his subject.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

Councilmember Keener stated that he attended the ceremony flipping the switch for Peninsula Clean Energy. He stated that it was a grand occasion, adding that more than half the board was there. He stated that the CEO, Jan Pepper, presided, along with Dave Pine, who was probably more responsible than anyone for getting this underway. They were celebrating that they were shipping power to customers, 20% residential and all the municipal customers, and small commercial customers, mentioning that everyone else will receive Peninsula Clean Energy next April. He briefly explained the process.

Councilmember Ervin stated that she attended the Beautification Committee meeting, and reminded everyone about the wonderful work they are doing in Pacifica and they can contact the members if they want to adopt a site. She briefly mentioned their future plans. She suggested that anyone interested can nominate a home that has better landscaping, etc. She stated that the Economic Development Committee was meeting Tuesday at the Resource Center. She stated that the Library Advisory Committee meeting was Wednesday, and she encouraged anyone interested in information on the future plans for a new library to attend the meeting. She will be attending a focus group for San Mateo County, the Look Ahead Project, on October 22 in partnership with climate access, FEMA, etc.

Councilmember Nihart stated that the water committee for CCAG will be sending recommendations to the full board, specifically an interconnecting network for the cities to work together and not get ahead of each other in terms of protecting the bay versus the ocean. She stated that keeps them thinking about all the issues on both sides of the coast in San Mateo County. She stated that working together was one of the effective things they can do in the county. She stated she attended the Transportation Authority meeting the previous week, and she mentioned a 20-year process for a grade separation in San Mateo which she saw them make happen. She reminded people to take a look at some plans, specifically public comment for the San Mateo County transportation strategic plan, specifically asking them to take a look at the issues in terms of cross county which they have been working on for a better voice, mentioning that they are not good yet at cross county connections. She then mentioned the alternative fuels readiness plan, stating that she was interested in having all the cities be more engaged in using alternative fuel. She was proud of Pacifica's involvement by putting in a grant. She then mentioned that there wasn't anything about her work, etc., that has anything to do with eligibility as a candidate for the state of California and Pacifica, and she asked the City Attorney if that was true.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that all that was required in order to be a Council candidate was that she is a resident of the City of Pacifica.

Councilmember Nihart agreed, adding that her eligibility was not remotely in question. She was running, hasn't been told that she was not running and she stated that it was about her work and nothing to do with anything else. She stated that it was all gossip, rumor, etc.

Mayor Digre suggested that she clarify that she works for the federal government.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she works for the federal government and the state before that.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that he and the other councilmembers attended the sea level rise meeting and he attended a meet and greet for Carol Gromm in Pacifica. He also attended the

League of Cities conference as a representative of Pacifica, and he wasn't ready to make a report on that yet.

Mayor Digre stated that the sea level rise meeting was excellent. She stated that the one on whales was also awesome, adding that there was one coming up on sharks. She stated that there weren't that many people, and she thought they need to analyze how they report things coming up. She stated that it was CCAG and the Transportation, and the comment period was until the end of October. She stated that she found them eager to listen to what they had to say. She stated that public transportation was a huge issue and the more they see the names of Pacifica residents, the better. She then referred to the Economic Development Committee meeting at the Resource Center. She stated that there wasn't much going on with the airport, but they were having meetings again at the airport. She stated that the SFO Roundtable will meet on November 2 by the library in Millbrae, hoping that something positive will come out of it. She asked SFO to take a dual role, taking the FAA initiative and the legislative scope of the electeds on SFO and she will hopefully get that answer and how they were moving forward on November 2, adding that they feel the noise is definitely a health issue.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

City Manager Tinfow stated that she has nothing to announce today.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

CONSIDERATION

8. Report on City Response to Sea Level Rise and Climate Change
PROPOSED ACTION: Accept report and provide direction on actions related to sea level rise and climate change.

Mayor Digre commented on the use of cell phones in connection with notes for the meeting, stating that they are not texting about the game, etc.

City Manager Tinfow presented the staff report.

Councilmember Ervin thanked her for the report. She stated that it was a great overview of everything that has been happening. She referred to the project of the revetment of the bluff on 200 Esplanade. She acknowledged that it was private property but they were looking at doing something because we can't insist or the property owner was not able to take on the project and she asked if it will affect utilities and roads.

City Manager Tinfow responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Ervin assumed that the \$4 million for the revetment was entirely different than the \$3.3 million they will need for the other projects.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was different, adding that, if successful, it comes from a different funding source, a hazard mitigation grant versus the other which are disaster assistance grants.

Councilmember Ervin asked if we had any ideas about where we might be able to get those other funds.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that they were looking at the Coastal Conservancy to help Pacifica if they are able to convert the property above into a trail that would connect with the coastal trail.

Councilmember Ervin stated that they have done an incredible job trying to get grant funding for many of the projects, and she was grateful. She referred to project 2 and asked what it would mean not to replace it with an actual sea wall versus a retaining wall.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they would continue to have the kinds of failures they have been having over the years, adding that Dir. Ocampo can speak more knowledgeably about this topic. She stated that it seems like they are getting worse and she thought they could expect it to get worse as different points fail. She referred to her first question of millions of dollars of additional funds. She stated that, in talking with the Army Corp of Engineers, they could be a potential funding source for some portion of that if they decide that the project was one they want to fund. She stated that they have applied for that for both Esplanade and Beach Blvd. sea wall replacement, and they were waiting to hear from them. She stated that they were exploring any avenue that they think can produce results for them.

Councilmember Ervin asked if they had any idea when they might be hearing from them.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they didn't. She stated that she heard they were waiting for the federal government to pass its own budget and figure out what funding they will have to put into this.

Councilmember Ervin referred to the retaining wall, and stated the Moffatt & Nichol analysis said that, with the replacement of the retaining wall north of the pier, they can expect it to hold until 2100.

City Manager Tinfow stated that their study was focused on the parcel on which they are standing, 2212 Beach Blvd., and they didn't analyze the entire length of the sea wall.

Councilmember Ervin asked if they knew how long that might last without the repair.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo asked if she was referring to the north end.

Councilmember Ervin stated that she was talking about Moffatt & Nichol's estimate that the retaining wall should hold up until 2100, and she was wondering how they can compare that to not taking care of that sea wall. She asked what they would be looking at.

City Manager Tinfow clarified that they said that, as long as the sea wall was maintained, the southern section and the beach remains that the sea level rise expected through 2100 would result in overtopping of the promenade with the street getting wet, but no serious flooding of the site. She stated that was the scope of what they asked them to look at in terms of this site only.

Councilmember Ervin referred to working with a lot of state and federal agencies, and a lot of support they have received, and she asked if they can summarize some of the support they received from state and local agencies.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, with regard to the El Nino disaster and damages incurred, they have received support from the state through the California Disaster Assistance Act or CDAA. They also are about to receive work regarding the wall they are proposing at

Esplanade through the hazard mitigation grant program. They were also seeking help from the Army Corp of Engineers for three sites they can study.

City Manager Tinfow added that they have had visits from every level of elected officials who are eager to come and see for themselves the challenges Pacifica is facing and offer any help that they can, stating that it has been wonderful. She stated, as a side note, for the grant application for the Esplanade revetment, the California Coastal Commission submitted a letter of recommendation for our grant request. She stated that it was a nice sign that they are recognizing the need.

Councilmember Ervin thought it meant a great deal to us as a city. She referred to the Local Coastal Plan and the grant they would potentially be receiving from the California Coastal Commission, and asked if they would have input into the Local Coastal Plan and be involved in any way.

Assoc. Planner Murdock stated that the Coastal Commission would have an integral part in the LCP update. He stated that it has two parts, land use plan and implementation plan, and they come together to form the LCP. He stated that, ultimately, those documents have no legal effect unless certified by the Coastal Commission. They rely heavily on the Coastal Commission staff in order to make a recommendation of whether to approve it or not. He stated that they have been working with them and will continue working with them throughout the process extensively.

Councilmember Nihart referred to the picture of Esplanade, and she wondered how they secured the foundation, stating that it was a significant hole that appears to weaken the top of the cliff. She asked if she was misunderstanding the picture.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that she was looking at what was the area between 310 to 330, and the rock revetment seen at the bottom was put in by the property owner which was just rocks piled in there. He stated that the one on the 500 block was the one they did similarly.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she wasn't talking about that. She was talking about the top of the cliff, the hole in the ground for the foundation, and she asked if that weakened the top of the cliff.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo responded that it would not, explaining that they graded that, filled the area and even put plastic on top of that. They also installed rocks. He stated that now, the top of the bluff was actually sloping toward the street so it does not drain towards the bluff and erode it. He stated that it goes over the sidewalk and into the street cutter.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she wanted to make sure they talked about that. She referred to that particular picture and asked if they had any sense of the impact of these pictures going out nationally over and over again, that this was Pacifica. She asked if they had any clue about what impact that has on Pacifica's economic base.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she wasn't sure there was a way of calculating that, adding that it was a very good point. She stated that she got that picture off the internet, and it wasn't one they had. She agreed that there was a whole collection of pictures.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she travels a fair amount, and that was what she usually hears. When she says she is from Pacifica, they say they saw us on the news. She thought it

was an interesting piece of this, and she wanted to be sure they were talking about it. She referred to Esplanade and where they lost the houses, and stated that, when they were doing the water committee, it became apparent that flooding and storm water and all of these things work together on erosion. She stated that her recollection of Esplanade in the lower end, across from the Post Office, was that there were some underground streams or what happened by the firehouse, the drainage that opened up and got out of where it should be, and contributed.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that she was correct, explaining that it was a presentation done by Mr. Ken Lejoy during the El Nino of 1997 and 1998. He stated that it was ground water that was coming from the hills and making its way down. It oozes out of the face of the bluff, which was primarily made out of sand. He stated that, what they have done on the wall at the 500 block of Esplanade was a combination where they dug up about 2-3 feet and put clay on top of it as a cap. If she recalls, the wall itself has built a fabric behind it so the sand gets held up by the rocks.

Councilmember Nihart thought she was making this case and asking about these things because one thing that became clear to them countywide was a number of cities don't brush up against the water, much like parts of Pacifica are not in the coastal zone, and they began to think it was not their problem. She stated that what an uphill development or project might do to the coast has to be considered. She thought that this becomes an all city plan.

City Manager Tinfow agreed, adding that she was trying to get to that point that they were all sharing the risk. She was accurate that these pictures and news stories go out and they give a reputation that was not helpful.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she was talking about the economics, and she was referring to the water end of it. She stated that one of the pieces they have been dealing with was San Pedro Creek over the years and it ended up with widening of the bridge, road, etc., and some planned retreat that happened at Linda Mar Beach. She asked if that was correct.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that she was correct, with two properties.

Councilmember Nihart then referred to Beach Blvd., and the two walls, which she watched being built, and she gets everything they are talking about. She stated that they have been reminded that it failed shortly after it was built the first time. She stated that one might think they are protecting houses, but she asked what else was along that area. She asked if they could talk about some of the infrastructure.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she would defer to Dir. Ocampo but as a side note, the grants they have applied for are not available for private property, only to protect public property. She stated that our streets and utilities were what they were focused on.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that the sea wall itself protects the promenade, the street. He stated that all utilities are underground, referring to a sewer main about 9 feet from the wall itself or about 1 to 1 1/2 feet from the curb itself on the western side. He stated that it was all the utilities they have, storm drains, etc.

Councilmember Nihart stated that they have learned that, when you put in one revetment, the erosion occurs worse around other revetments. She asked what contribution the pier had to all of this.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, if you looked at the pier underneath the Chit Chat building, it was angled in such a way that it allows for the water to be deflected, and it does have an effect and does two things, pushes the sand out, referring to the sand buildup in the north and south of the pier, and he stated it was because of the way it was structured. He stated it was supposed to be structured like that and deflect it.

Councilmember Nihart stated that, when they think about that whole area, particularly the Beach Blvd. property itself, there was a major pump station there.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that she was correct.

Councilmember Nihart asked him to describe what the pump station does.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, prior to the construction of the new treatment plant at 700 Coast Highway, this used to be the old sewer treatment facility and all of the pipes they have come to this location where it gets treated and, once it gets treated, it gets released to the ocean through the outfall that was underneath the actual pier. He stated since they moved the wastewater treatment plant south, there has to be a way for them to push all the sewer collected in the area to the new plant and the reason why they have the force main and the pump station. They have to convert it into a pump station in order to push the sewer down south.

Councilmember Nihart asked if it would be fair to say that all of the sewer from the north end of town and wastewater from the north end of town was coming through this pump station to go to the south end of town.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that she was correct.

Councilmember Nihart asked, if it were to flood in any way, shape or form, what would happen.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, if there was a malfunction on the pump station, they will then have raw sewage that will flow towards the ocean through the storm drains we have in this area, and looking at quite an amount of sewer.

Councilmember Nihart stated that, at the very least, the city has to protect that.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that she was correct.

Councilmember Nihart asked if he had any sense of what it would cost to move that.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, if they moved that, they would have various challenges, such as to topography of the land, and they will still need a pump station elsewhere, and that would be relocating all the lines they have currently in the street to that new pump station. He stated that, if they were to move it a couple of blocks or to the east side of the highway, that means that all of these pipes that are headed or slope towards this area will have to be rerouted and intercepted elsewhere and the area's residences and all the commercial establishments west of the highway will have to pump their sewer elsewhere. He stated that it would be quite an expensive plan and, in some cases, it may not be possible without the use of pump stations. He stated that you either put small pumps or you put the big pumps but, either way, you will use pumps because it is force of gravity.

Councilmember Nihart referred to the drainage for most of east Sharp Park such as the archery range, San Francisco-owned land, Fairway Park, and asked where the drainage ends up.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that there were a couple of locations where water from the east crosses the highway, one by Clarendon and Francisco where there was a head wall that collects some of the water from the freeway as well as from adjacent community north of Lundy Way and it flows along the edge of Lakeside and into Clarendon where they are trying to pump it. He stated that eventually it will flow down Clarendon into the outfall. He stated that there was another outfall on the other side which was by the golf course berm.

Councilmember Keener asked them to go back to the Esplanade slide, then he asked what was the nature of the revetment or cliff stabilization they were considering.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that staff met with staff from the Coastal Commission to see what they will accept as a way to protect the bluff. They suggested that Pacifica pursue a wall similar to the one across Land's End where it was actually a wall, and that won't reduce the width of the beach. He explained that, every time they put a rock revetment similar to what they are seeing in the photo, for every foot of height, there was about two feet of width of the beach which they lose because the rock are sloped at 2-1.

Councilmember Keener asked if the rock wall will extend all the way or part way up the bluff.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that it will not be a rock wall, but like shoot creek.

City Manager Tinfow pointed out where the red pointer was on the wall, and explained that they were envisioning extending that along the bluff edge.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo further explained that the only difference was that the wall in the picture extends all the way to the top of the bluff. Their project would be shorter than that because you are not really protecting the private property but the street. He stated that from the top of the wall, the slope was naturally inclined at a 2-1, and even with that inclination, they will meet the back of the sidewalk. He stated that was the maximum height the Coastal Commission will allow, including the grantors, because the grant was supposed to be used to protect the street, not the property.

Councilmember Keener assumed that it would be half or two-thirds of the way up the bluff.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo agreed, adding that it depends on what was left of the blufftop. He stated that was why it was rays. He stated that, the sooner they get a wall in there, the more of the blufftop they will be able to preserve and the lower the wall. He stated that, once the bluff retreats, then they will need a higher wall in order for the top of the wall to project the bluff, and it will still be at the back.

Councilmember Keener referred to ownership of the land, stating that it was still owned by Millard Tong, the property razed and the one toward the bottom of the picture.

City Manager Tinfow stated that 330 and 320 Esplanade were owned by Mr. Tong, 310 Esplanade as a different owner, adding that 310 has already been demolished.

Councilmember Keener stated that he actually paid for the demolition, and the city paid for the other one.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was 320.

Councilmember Keener asked if they have to wait and take title to the land before they can make the shoot creek wall.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she would defer to the City Attorney in terms of legal issues. She stated that they were pursuing the grant. There were no restrictions in terms of being considered, given that the city does not currently own the property. They were moving forward without restriction, but he was right that there were other steps they need to take that they haven't yet.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that, to the extent that there are conditions on that private property that impacts public health and safety, the city has procedures to address those. If any conditions create hazards or health and safety concerns, the city can address that without regard to ownership of the property, and they have procedures to follow through with that. She stated that the complication with that property was that it was in bankruptcy and, at this point, even the private property owner does not have full control over the property, but now in bankruptcy court and they are waiting to see what the court does with that property and all of the holdings for that private property owner.

Councilmember Keener asked if it would be fair to say that the city was trying to get title to that land.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that, because it was in bankruptcy, the city does not have any ability other than to wait to see what transpires. The city has the cost associated with the demolition to date, and they have been trying to get in line as a creditor for that bankruptcy. Whether the city will ever see that come to fruition, she did not know the answer. She stated that they don't know if they will ever get title to the property. They do know that, if they can determine that there was a hazard or health and safety concern, the city can take whatever procedures available to correct that and that was what they have done to date.

Councilmember Keener assumed that the hazard and public health and safety issue would be interruption of the utilities and the street above on the bluff.

City Manager Tinfow stated that would be part of it, adding that, if some debris were falling onto the beach, that was another consideration and they were monitoring both.

Councilmember Keener asked what the deductible was for the insurance.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that it depends on the nature of the damage. He explains that you have ABAG and Aliant, and there was a certain amount that ABAG covers and what was beyond that was covered by Aliant Insurance. He stated that the first \$5,000 was the city's portion. He stated that, if he was referring to the list of projects they have, there were certain portions of the repairs that are not covered by insurance or the city will have to justify asking them to cover it. He gave the example of the repair of the Milagra outfall, stating that when staff met with the Coastal Commission staff, the city was asked to add a stairway for that. He stated that was not an infrastructure that got damaged, and the insurance will not cover that. He stated, because they need a permit, that was why they have to construct them.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that some of his questions were covered. He asked, if they have to tear another building down, can they do it so they aren't doing it in the rain. He asked if it was 310 that was condemned.

City Manager Tinfow responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that winter starts in January, and he asked if they can do some action or wait until it was literally falling in like they did with 320.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was 320, and she added that they have to wait until an expert determines that the bluff was not safe and they have Cotton Shires monitoring it very closely. She reiterated that they can't do it before they get some direction by an expert opinion that it was an increased hazard and they were monitoring it, but they can't go in at this point.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill referred to all the things they were looking at in terms of cause, effect, etc., and mentioned that they had written a letter because the BCDC granted a license to affirm to mind silt which was part of a current but then dropped the sand and replenished the beaches from Pedro Point up to Ocean Beach in San Francisco. He asked if there was any point in the city trying to see if BCDC can cancel that license which had been renewed for ten years, and can they legally ask to have it revoked.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they can ask for anything, but whether it will be given or they would succeed, she did not know. She deferred to staff to determine whether that was something they would want to pursue through their counterparts to see if that was possible. She stated that she did not know enough about the specific license to tell them if there was an option for that. She stated that, given the circumstances, they can always ask.

Mayor Digre felt they were all excellent questions and she thought all of hers were covered, except she gets stuck on wanting to have property owners comply with their other property owners, and she questioned what consequences there can be. She stated that by not doing what was necessary, this property owner put another one that had to take it down. She thought it was affecting north and south of it and she gathers there was nothing they can do about holding people's feet to the fire for behaving responsibly for their neighbors. She stated that they are in bankruptcy and somehow become immune to being part of the solution.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they pursued code enforcement activities related to Mr. Tong and the other property owner, holding them accountable. She stated that, as mentioned by the City Attorney, recouping costs was uncertain because of the bankruptcy.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that they not only pursued code enforcement, but also criminal actions against the property owner. She stated that they were successful in those and have received awards for monetary damages. She stated that the problem was collecting through the bankruptcy court.

Mayor Digre asked if it was for that one building or for the present one they are monitoring.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that, so far, they have only gone to criminal court for the building that was already demolished.

Mayor Digre stated that she didn't want to belabor it, but she hoped they learned some lessons so they don't get stuck with a total bill and be waiting in line for bankruptcy for the second unit.

City Attorney Kenyon explained that the second unit was included in that bankruptcy as well.

Councilmember Ervin referred to the California coastal sediment management group that did an assessment, and they mentioned concerns about some of their conclusions because they didn't use data to make their assessment about sand movement. She was curious as to how they made their assessment, and asked if that data was anywhere. She was surprised, with all the years of knowing about the effects of sand movement, that no one has done these assessments before. She wondered about the implications.

City Manager Tinfow stated that staff asked that same question, and their understanding was that the data does not exist and has not been collected. The reason they were given was that the system was very dynamic and difficult to do a measurement. She stated that she will defer her first part of her question to Dir. Ocampo who attended the meeting and may be able to answer more completely the first part of her question.

Councilmember Ervin wondered how they actually did the assessment if they didn't have that data and what the implications were of their assessment.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo asked if she was referring to their recommendation, given that there was no data.

Councilmember Ervin responded affirmatively.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that they identified all the possible solutions and left it to the community to decide which ones they want to apply to that particular location. He stated that each site can be considered unique from each other and if a certain solution was being used, it may not apply. They were leaving it up to the jurisdiction and they gave all their recommendations they feel applies.

City Manager Tinfow added that they created some recommendations as mentioned, alluded to by Dir. Ocampo, and they did economic analysis. When she read the report, she was concerned about making recommendations based on values that were then based on lack of data for what was going on with the sediment. She stated that got their attention and caused them to voice concerns. She stated that Pacifica wasn't alone, with other agencies and elected officials who voiced similar concerns to those by the city.

Councilmember Ervin asked if there was a plan going forward to get that data.

City Manager Tinfow stated that not at this time, adding that they were working on data already collected and they did not have funding to generate new data which was partly why it was developed as it was.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill asked clarification about the city spending \$250,000 for tearing down 320, and they were having problems because of the bankruptcy case and they went to the level of criminal charges, and he decided that Pacifica moved up to almost first in line for creditors.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that the city was not first in line, which was the problem. She stated that they were higher than other creditors, but the banks were No. 1 in line. She stated that it was her understanding that the banks were seeking more than he has in all of his estate. She stated that it was not likely that the city will recover through the bankruptcy estate.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill understood but he wanted to be sure that everyone knew that they made the effort and were as high in the food chain for bankruptcy as they could get via the courts.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that it was more accurate to say they were second in line.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill agreed that they were better than unsecured creditors.

City Attorney Kenyon stated that he was correct.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill wanted everyone to know that they were trying to be good stewards of the money, not just tearing buildings down gratis but were trying to collect the funds.

Councilmember Nihart stated that her understanding was that the data used was the same data set that Moffatt & Nichol used. She asked if she was not correct.

City Manager Tinfow stated that they relied on similar studies.

Councilmember Keener referred to Councilmember Ervin's comment and possible confusion regarding the sea wall, and he stated that the sea wall protecting the Chamber property and building was the southern portion of the sea wall and it was considered to be good. He stated that it was north of the pier where it becomes more of a retaining wall. He stated that there was also more sand protecting this sea wall than the one north of the pier.

Councilmember Nihart thought that was a good point. She asked if we have done any sand management, other than trying to keep it off the grass and out of the street. She stated that there used to be a 12-foot drop from the ledge down to the sand and over time, that has been pushed up. She asked if it would be possible to push it back out as they do at Ocean Beach.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that they manage it. He stated that, if the sand has built up to the point that there is a possibility of the waves being carried over and eventually going over the wall, at which time they push it back, making use of the water district's bulldozer to push it back out. He stated that it was seasonal and there were times when the sand goes down, especially after the winter, and it was transported south.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she wasn't talking about that, but more about actually having the beaches deepened and we haven't been pushing the sand back out away from the sea wall. She stated they do that all the time at Ocean Beach during the winter. She concluded that Pacifica has not been doing that.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that, if she was asking if they do similar to what San Francisco does which was dedicate a person just to do that, Pacifica doesn't have that resource.

Councilmember Nihart stated that it wasn't a blame, but for the public to know how that sand get up there. She stated that it was piled up there because we don't have the resources to push it back out, doing sand management.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that they try to balance and prioritize the work needed in order to be able to come up with the maintenance needed.

Councilmember Nihart thought that, under the pile of sand, there was a railing, concrete header, etc.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo agreed, especially near the Clarendon area.

Councilmember Keener asked what side of the pier they were talking about or both sides.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she was talking about all of it south of the pier. She stated that it was a 12-foot drop when it was put in with very steep steps down to the beach. She stated that there was a break at the end of Clarendon with a piece of wall set out with railings that was now under sand.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo agreed, stating that there are a series of stairs just north of the pier and another access stair between Clarendon and the pier on the south side.

Mayor Digre opened public comments.

Tom Richardson, Pacifica, stated that he hadn't realized this was an agenda item. He was glad it was. He wasn't going to repeat what he said before, but the gist was that Pacifica has a lot to be proud of in this community. He stated that we also have a lot to protect. He stated that we can't let ourselves get divided ideologically. He stated that it was in the City Manager's report, but we have a lot of infrastructure to protect, i.e., businesses, private property, public property, affordable rental housing, coastal access, residential, recreation areas, tax base and our own self respect to protect. He was present asking for action, and he hoped they intend to have action at this meeting, going forward. He asked that they ask staff to proceed with a pathway to funding some of the projects. He urged them to make some decisions and vote at this meeting. He believes Pacificans want them to take action, and he hopes they do the right thing.

Brent Ritz, Pacifica, stated that he used to watch a show, Columbo, about crime, investigation, etc. He referred to what they know about the area, i.e., the Beach Blvd, retaining wall has failed with no maintenance. He stated that the duty of the Council was to protect property, citizens and take care of negligence. He stated that Pacifica was known for being dysfunctional. He stated that, when he brings the topic with other public leaders in other fields, they don't want to discuss Pacifica because they don't think it is a functional community. He felt we can make a change, which was the Council's burden but he also felt it was an opportunity. He stated that all the people on Beach Blvd. realize they contribute over 80% of the revenues in the city with tax base and property values, and they want to see something done. He stated that, if not done, some of them who are executives will be taking action of some sort. He stated that they will not let billions of dollars of property not be taken care of. He stated that taking care of the situation was a very minor cost over a long period of time. He stated that, in this case, they have the discovery by Moffatt & Nichol that they have 100 years and he hasn't seen any change in studies from FEMA to see insurance adjustments. He concluded that we must get the job done.

Peter Loeb, Pacifica, thanked Council for their questions as it helped him understand the current status for a lot of things. He felt this was very complicated. He stated that he participated in the sea level rise meeting, and his group talked about the need for a plan for the whole coast of Pacifica. He stated that people are talking about Beach Blvd., which was important, as well as Esplanade, but he stated that there are whole sections of the coast which will need their own specific plan for sea level rise. He mentioned the pump station at this site, but there was a pump station at Linda Mar which, if it fails, we are done, and it would cost more money than there is to move that plant. He stated that there needs to be a plan for sea level rise for Linda Mar Beach. He stated that there are houses on Linda Mar Beach that is private

property, and he stated that those houses will not be there forever. Sea level rise will take them out. He asked what the plan was for that as well as Shelter Cove, which is private property. He asked what the plan was for Rockaway Beach where he lives. He stated that there was a sea wall there but it was not for the whole cove, and part of the cove was unprotected. He stated that he has seen, over the years, what happens to that cove because there is a sea wall there. He stated that it eats into that cove. He then mentioned Sharp Park Beach that was in front of the berm. He then referred to part by the Chamber site, stating that they interact with each other and what you do in one place interacts with the adjacent part and there needs to be a plan for the whole Pacifica coast. He stated that, north of Beach Blvd., there was a chunk of Palmetto up to Esplanade that no one was talking about. He stated that Chris Porter told him that they have lost 60 feet from the recycling yard. He stated that coast was retreating. He then pointed out un-managed retreat on Esplanade, where the houses are gone and one house where they have moved it back, which was managed retreat, adding that they were looking for another lot on which to put that house. He stated that there was rock revetment placed to protect those homes but they are gone. He stated that they need a plan for the whole town.

Richard Harris, Pacifica, stated that he was speaking for the San Francisco Public Golf Alliance. He stated that they have about 6,500 members, many in Pacifica. He stated that their focus for several years has been on the Sharp Park Golf Course. He thanked Council for their support of the golf course, and he encouraged the Council to continue that. He stated that they support the local coast plan process in which they are engaged. He stated that they hoped to participate with the city in obtaining a consultant. He stated, while that was being studied, the city has existing processes and policies, the local coastal plan, which specifically deals with the Sharp Park Golf Course, reading specific wording regarding protecting the golf course from inundation of sea water and ensures perpetuation of the fresh water habitat, as well as a need for berm protection for the continuation of the golf course. He stated that they encouraged the city to be aware that, during the period of studying, they have a policy and property at risk, and they ask that the city be aware of that as to the golf course specifically. He mentioned that the city has many letters from them. He stated that the golf course was one of Pacifica's major historical architectural and low cost public recreational resources, known worldwide, and they ask the city to protect it and their neighbors.

Robin Runneals, Pacifica, stated that she supports Mr. Harris. She stated that, as a neighbor of the golf course, they have an integral relationship with each other in terms of concern over what happens with the ocean and encroachment into their properties. She stated that, if they didn't have the levee at the golf course, they would be at jeopardy from water entering the golf course and to their homes in West Sharp Park and Fairway Park. She stated that, on behalf of her neighborhood, businesses, historical district, etc., she supports staff's recommendation to deal with the most urgent needs to be addressed now in obtaining funding and pursue a long term study of what to do to support all the neighborhoods within the coastal zone. She stated that there was a previous comment made at one of the candidate forums by a candidate who stated that we could not armor the beaches, could not protect the neighborhoods, or anything in terms of walls because the creation of walls, her vision of 30-foot tall walls needed to protect us from the ocean, would mean the loss of all of our beaches, and we wouldn't want to be anything like Holland. She then stated that a prime example of a community and a country that has done something to deal with the ocean is Holland. She asked that they pursue engineering from that country as they have been doing it for over 600 years. She then stated that she has a report for them about some of the best beaches they can find in the Netherlands.

Cindy Abbott, Pacifica, stated that she lives in West Sharp Park and was delighted to tell people that she lives on a sand dune. She stated that, during winter, she gets to see two

different sides of the area. Her house was built on all sand and has fabulous drainage with no flooding. She stated that nature takes care of things. She then stated that when she looks at the street there was water storming down and waves crashing at the end of the street on the rock revetment. She stated that nature knows how to deal with a lot of things. She stated that we can engineer our way out of a lot of solutions, but it was not always the best thing to do. She was delighted to hear that there was a plan to move forward with doing repairs to the sea wall. She lives there and wants it repaired and hopes that Council begins to move forward with city staff. She stated that at the same time, her brain takes over and tells her to think beyond that. She stated that, as they discuss the need to be coming up with different plans for different parts of the coast, she would like to see Pacifica being forward thinking, beyond 5-10 years, because they don't want to be in the same place they are now. She hopes the conversations are open to things potentially for the future of managed realignment, a preferred coastal management strategy in the 21st century. She mentioned that there were books and case studies about it, including how the Netherlands was now using those strategies to address climate change and environmental and financial concerns, with a hold the line approach giving way to more flexible soft engineering solutions. She asked that they look forward, doing what was needed today while keeping the future in mind and people in the future will not be ruining what they do today.

Mike Bell, Pacifica, thanked staff for the wonderful work they have been doing for the city which was appreciated. He encouraged them to keep at it. He responded to Councilmember Nihart's question of the economic toll on Pacifica with all of the news of the erosion. He stated that there was a home on Beach Blvd. now where the owners were having a problem selling their home because they can't answer succinctly what the plan is for Beach Blvd. and the wall. He stated that, in order to make that sale, they will have to lower the price. He stated that was what happening and will happen more often if we don't address this issue. He agreed that we have threats from sea level rise and climate change, but he wanted to address the political threat they are having now in Pacifica. He stated that we have a 3-2 majority on Council who have publicly stated that they support the replacement of the sea wall, want the berms maintained, want to pursue federal, state and county agencies for grants to do the work. He stated that they saw evidence at this meeting of a gentleman who was trying to get Councilmember Nihart from the running in the election. He stated that there are quite a few people maneuvering around and walking back their previous positions on managed retreat by renaming it, putting conditions on it, but hiding the fact that was what they are up to. He asked the city to agree on a policy, vote on it and get it in the books and make sure it sticks in case this Council changes.

Mayor Digre thought some comments at this meeting were bordering on campaigning, and she asked that they make a statement on that for the future.

Mayor Digre closed public comments.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that several speakers stated that they want Council to take some action at this meeting, and he asked that they clarify for the public what they were doing at this meeting.

City Manager Tinfow stated that there are five questions as part of the staff report and she was going to show them on the projector and walk them through them. The questions were designed to help the Council give staff clear direction.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that he wanted clarification that they were not taking formal action for approving it but giving instructions to staff to follow a certain course which becomes the city's policy to pursue extra funds.

City Manager Tinfow stated that he was correct. She stated that a higher level policy will come out of the LCP update process, but for now they are focused on immediate and near term actions in which they are already engaged and looking for concurrence from Council that they are on the right track, or a change in direction if they feel they aren't on the right track.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill agreed, adding that we aren't accepting grants, but pursuing the grants. He wanted to be sure the public understood the direction in which they were going and what they were doing at this meeting. He stated that they were de facto setting the policy by instructing staff to pursue the course. He referred to the local coastal plan, and assumed it would be covering the entire shore of Pacifica, from Muscle Rock down to Pedro Point Shelter Cove.

City Manager Tinfow responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that a large portion of the land was owned by the GGNRA and San Francisco. He asked if the local coastal plan covers that land, and if it does, how are they going to engage them in a plan to do that.

Assoc. Planner Murdock referred to the point of the comprehensive approach and adaptation plan and LCP update, he referred to the packet, page 347, and stated that a strategy has been outlined by staff to focus on sub-areas within the city, each with their own unique assets and vulnerabilities related to sea level rise. Staff was thinking of the city as a whole, as well as the unique challenges for each particular area or neighborhood of the city. He stated that there was a timeline of steps outlined by staff in the LCP update process. He stated that several of those involve public outreach and interaction, as well as stakeholder engagement. With the GGNRA and City and County of San Francisco, as well as private and public property owners in the coastal zone, they intend to bring them into the fold and ensure that they attempt integrating the city's approach with their long term intent for their properties.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill thought they have a good relationship with GGNRA, but San Francisco has been notoriously ornery and persnickety about cooperating with anyone. He asked if they were open because this was going to be affecting their land, and was there a precedent for them to help do this chore.

City Manager Tinfow added that they have not reached out to them yet to start the process, but Mayor Ed Lee did come for a tour of the sites, primarily Beach Blvd., and offered assistance. She stated that they have contacts in his office, and when the time was right, they will reach out to them. She stated that she had no reason to think that he would not want to engage with Pacifica. He has his own issues that he was looking at, and she thought they might help him in some fashion as well. She concluded that they will be looking for partnerships.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that, when he was on the Planning Commission, he was more familiar with it in regard to building, etc., but he asked if it will entail, if they go in that direction, that they will armor this section of the coast where there was nothing built or they were going to let it go. He asked if it will define the areas and what will be happening in those specific areas.

Assoc. Planner Murdock stated that it could potentially be that specific. He was not sure he will see exactly that language unless the Council was intent on doing it, but they might see different types of policies that relate to requiring analyses of the threats, relative benefits or costs of armoring a particular location for particular types of land uses. He stated that they could attempt to get that specific, if desired, but it may be better to rely on more generalized policies that set a tone or theme for each area.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill asked, if the Council went with specific areas, if it would benefit them if they are going for funding to say that they want a sea wall in a specific area or would generalities be better.

Assoc. Planner Murdock thought it was helpful when applying for grant funding to have a specific policy in a city adopted a document that states certain intentions and it may be beneficial to that end, adding that it was difficult in a 30-year planning horizon to anticipate every particular outcome. He stated that was where having flexibility and more generalized policies can also be helpful.

Councilmember Nihart stated that he just mentioned 30 years, and she liked people who are thinking more broadly. She would like them to take a lead and actually do some aggressive looking at what was available, what was state of the art, what was science, what was accessible to Pacifica. She felt they have to have a total plan for the coast, as far back as 2009 when she and the Mayor were walking around and they were first falling. She thought they could have gone after the Army Corp planning money then, but it went to San Francisco on the literal cell project. She would like to see them not just do the coastal plan but look at it in a really aggressive way as to how they best protect the assets in our community. She stated that she was referring to all the assets, mentioning that there are amazing structures in Germany that fit together as a sea wall and shoot water off in all kinds of directions. She stated that those are completely different than anything we typically use. She asked how something like that would work. She stated that she would like to see them think about this in the short term. She stated that they have obvious problems, and she stated that they can keep spending a million or two on patching the sea wall on Beach Blvd., or they can put in a new sea wall and get it over with, doing it as a sea wall. She stated that, if they are going to do that, they should do it really well. She also thought they have to go after this from management. She mentioned that Kevin Mullin was in Pacifica on October 1 and kept saying something she really thought was telling. He was all in, as well as Jerry Hill, Don Horsley, Brian Perkins and Jackie Speier. She thought they have to do their very best at getting the state of the art in place to understand for the future what their impacts are. She thought that might mean they become an exemplar and turn around the image of the city now from one of crumbling cliffs to one who ponyed up and addressed this head on. She thought they might need to do more than borrowing a bulldozer from the water district to do sand management. She stated that maybe they need to go in with San Francisco on it or reach out to San Francisco. She always ask questions she knows the answers to so the public gets the answers also. She stated that there was a drainage pipe that goes across and underneath the golf course into the lagoon and drains the other side of the highway. She stated that we are interconnected as a community, and we have to come together and figure out how to do this. She stated that, in the short term, she would like to see Pacifica do the immediate things and replace rather than repair, and she believes we have to engage San Francisco because they are a big piece of the partnership, both from sand management and the golf course and how it connects. She didn't think they can have the drainage pile up on Clarendon and flooding people's houses and have Dir. Ocampo bail it out as best he can but actually work on what was going on at the golf course. She thought it might involve getting the county, adding that they have been willing to be involved in the past. She stated that they had meetings with

both San Francisco, the city and the county, and she suggested that they might need to start those again. She thought it takes a concerted effort. She stated that it was not just figuring out how to pay for each project, but it will take an enormous amount of staff time and they need to figure out how to do that because it is going to be a division of the city managing the coastline. She stated that they need to figure out how to do the ongoing funding, adding that it was the same thing they are doing with the water committee for the county. She felt we have to move ahead for the future as a city, mentioning having to do sand management, etc. She knows that all of it will cost money and not one grantor will come forward even though all governmental levels are in, but the city has to have their own money also. She mentioned the San Mateo over crossing for a reason, stating that they are putting in \$10 million, which wasn't a lot out of a \$150 million project, but they came to the table and Pacifica has to figure out how to do that as a community.

Councilmember Ervin thanked staff and the community, stating that she thought the public outreach at the sea level rise study session was important and really resonated with her that so many people from Pacifica came and had the same and different interests, and everybody was interested in all of the feedback. She stated that she was affected by the fact that we don't just think about our infrastructure, economics or ecology or geology, but they have to take every element of what was happening and put it all together and figure out what was in the best interest of Pacifica, environmentally, socially, economically, and they have to make the best decision for our community. She felt these were tough decisions. She stated that the population in 2050 was estimated to be 9.2 billion and we were losing land. She felt these decisions are not easy and they have to take everything seriously. She was thankful to staff who were taking this seriously, as well as Council and Pacificans, to work together to find the best that they can do. She thought it was a shot in the arm last winter when they had the erosion and the breakdown of the sea wall. She felt it made us decide to act quickly, having less time to determine whether they are going to repair the sea wall. She appreciated everybody involved, with staff talking to all elected officials and organizations that deal with this on a worldwide level. She was supportive of the direction city staff have taken and the relationships they are building in California and federally, as well as experts on every aspect. She was supportive of the work being done and she was ready to address the questions staff has brought forth for Council to consider.

Councilmember Keener stated that what they faced last winter was only 8 inches of sea level rise, and was climate change impacting winter storms, adding that there will be much more. He stated that the estimates of sea level rise are constantly increasing. He stated that, whatever they hear, they should expect it to be higher or sooner next year. He referred to the list of 12 items for repairs, all on city property. He stated that grant funds are committed, city funds are committed, and he thought that was taken care of. He then mentioned replacement of the sea wall north of the pier He stated that Mike Bell and others have said we probably paid for the replacement in repairs over the last 30 years which he thought was true. He agreed that they have to replace it and it will be expensive, adding that it will have to be paid mostly from outside, such as Army Corp of Engineers funds, etc. He thought, with the Army Corp of Engineers, there were two means of funding, one being congressional action. He mentioned that, even with the present Congress, Congresswoman Speier may have enough sway to slip our need into some funding bill. He stated the second means through the Army Corp of Engineers was their standard method, mentioning that the limit on that was \$10 million and has to be matched by \$5 million of no-federal funds. He stated that it could be more if they can find more, adding that we have to find more. He mentioned that Dir. Ocampo did a calculation of \$21 million, but it will probably be more. He stated that, as part of that, the main sewer line mentioned that drains Shoreview and Beach Blvd., west of Palmetto, under the curb on the promenade, 8-10 feet from

the ocean. He stated that we were lucky it wasn't impacted this past winter. He would advocate for at least moving it to the other side of the street so, if they have to do quick repairs, they aren't worried about breaking the sewer line and dealing with the terrible consequences of that. He referred to the San Francisco literal cell, stating that it extends from Golden Gate to Pedro Point, stating that it was just about sand and beaches. He stated that the draft study didn't involve any new scientific studies. He stated that the data they have is what they have, and he didn't think they were wedded to the economic analyses in that report, but take the data and see what experts can tell them from that data. He stated that further studies will cost money, and they have to see whether it was worthwhile to press for those to be done. He stated that he was the coordinator of meetings for this group, by default, as they needed someone and he volunteered. He stated that the decisions are made by professionals. He stated that they have about \$200,000 from the California Dept. of Natural Resources, and the person who came up with that funding was deciding how to divvy that up in funding. He stated that the one thing that everyone has difficulty funding was environmental impact reports, speaking of sand and beaches. He thought the question was whether to do the entire literal cell from Pedro Point to the Golden Gate or pick spots. He stated that San Francisco has apparently done EIRs on Ocean Beach and they may not have a need for this. He stated that, from talking to Daly City's public works director, they were not interested except for one place on Mussell Rock where there was a sea wall protecting the old dump site. He concluded that it was mostly for Pacifica's coast. He urged the public to weigh in, mentioning that he will send email notice of that meeting to those who have given him their addresses. He stated that San Mateo County was working on their vulnerability report. He stated that, after their final report, they will tackle adaptation strategies. He thought that will be of interest to Pacifica, although he didn't know if it will come in time to help Pacifica. He thought the sea level rise study session was a good turnout, since there was another event at that time. He felt it was gratifying to see how many Pacificans care about this issue. He stated that this meeting was supposed to be a policy session and it was downgraded to what they have, i.e., giving direction to staff. He agreed that they were not ready for policies and would be best to have it be in the LCP update which the Assoc. Planner will be spearheading, adding that the Coastal Commission will help them fund that. He thought they were responding to emergencies that have already arisen and completely understandable. He stated that the key was funding which was very big bucks. He mentioned that there were a lot of unknowns about whether we will get it. He believes that, as they go further out in time, such as ten years, it will become more difficult. He felt the present focus of talking to the Army Corp was great. He then referred to the policy questions for discussion, and stated that about the availability of funding on our side, he thought Councilmember Nihart was correct that the city will have to come up with some money to show we have some "skin in the game." He didn't think development fees will be enough. He thought they accrue rather slowly. He stated that assessment districts would be great, but they will be faced with having to pass a tax, and taxes have not done well in Pacifica in the last decade. He mentioned that we have gaps in our defenses between the golf course berm and the city sea wall south of the pier, with 50 feet lower elevation and it floods through there and he felt it has to be shored up. He mentioned that the golf course berm was owned by San Francisco and the permitting authority was the Coastal Commission, and Pacifica has no legal standing there but they have to include it in their LCP update and they will have to reach out to San Francisco to find out what their intentions are. He didn't think there was much Pacifica can do except advocate on the golf course berm. He referred to the low lying homes in Linda Mar and stated that they have to figure out if they are going to protect them long term or sacrifice them. He stated that, on talking about sacrificing someone's property, you are getting into a touch area. He stated that, after solving the sewage overflows in the areas of Anza and Arguello which used to flood because of San Pedro Creek and now they flood because the sewer backs up. He stated that, as the sea rises, there was the potential of flooding as rising water table, adding that they are close to sea

level now. He states that was an area to which we have to pay attention, even though it wasn't an emergency at this time. He stated that the sewer system was a crucial part that they have to protect. He stated that the plant is safe, but the pumps and pipes that feed it are not. He stated that they have to figure out how long we want to protect them in their current location and how many years do we wait to move them. He pointed out that it means they all live next to the ocean and all flush our toilets and the waste comes to the Linda Mar pump station and gets pumped to the treatment plant, and everyone was invested in adapting to higher sea level.

Mayor Digre was getting nervous because it was now 10:05 and they still have to go through five questions.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she forgot to say what Kevin said, adding that he just illustrated it, specifically that this was a generational problem. He was thinking about how to support Pacifica as he was moving forward with building a replacement of the sea wall and how to contribute to that from the state level, and stating that we will be dealing with this over the long term and need to plan it long term. She stated that they have to devote resources to it, in terms of staff, to make sure that the continuity exists over the long term. She referred to his comment about the literal cell and being the only elected in the room, and she asked if he was representing Pacifica on that.

Councilmember Keener stated that he organized the meetings for them and chair them.

Councilmember Nihart concluded that he was not there as an elected person.

Councilmember Keener stated that he was not. They needed an elected to do that, and he was the only one.

Councilmember Nihart stated that was the part that she was confused about.

Mayor Digre stated that, unless things changed after the meeting they had with Mayor Lee, she thought interaction with San Francisco was very promising, adding that they have to realize that there was a point where the supervisors get involved, and they have seen that it can be an issue. She stated that the county and city worked with San Francisco regarding the ammunition on the east side some time ago, which was a little touchy. She was optimistic that Mayor Lee and any of his powers, was there for Pacifica. She stated that he stepped up to the plate in the very beginning when we had that first failure, including the beach pier, along with Jackie Speier and all the congressmen, etc., were all there. She stated that the city moved rapidly working with FEMA, and she was optimistic about that. She also stated that since 2013, the travel agencies have become more aware of Pacifica, mentioning that Courtney Conlon was helpful in getting them involved. She stated that they advertise Pacifica as a robust community with many things to do. She stated that the legislators would not come if they thought Pacifica was a non-asset to the county, state and nation. She would like to see Pacifica get beyond that and not feel as though they have their hat in their hand but take what we are. She thought, if the travel agencies and elected people can see Pacifica in a positive manner, she thought they should be the people that we are.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill suggested a process that they each make a comment on the first question and a straw vote then go to question 2 and limit it to a reasonable time.

Mayor Digre asked if that would give them enough information.

City Manager Tinfow thought it would be wonderful.

Mayor Digre suggested they try to do that.

City Manager Tinfow asked if city staff should continue their efforts to protect city roadways and utilities that are threatened by sea level rise and climate change.

Mayor Digre asked how many are in favor of that.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it was 5-0. She stated that, if she can go to the funding agencies and say that the Council unanimously supported it, that would be a good thing. She then asked if they should pursue funding for all storm damage including near term mitigation projects needed to protect city assets from future damage, i.e., revetment of Esplanade Avenue and replacement of the Beach Blvd. sea wall.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill responded with a yes.

Councilmember Nihart agreed, and wanted to underscore that they have people in place to look for how they can put together the funding to replace the sea wall.

City Manager Tinfow stated that was the word they used in the question. She stated that she would like to follow up with a comment mentioning Councilmember Keener added movement of utilities along Beach Blvd. to the list of hazard mitigation, and she asked if he wanted to add it at this time or bringing it up for something for them to keep in mind.

Councilmember Keener stated that he brought it up as part of the replacement of Beach Blvd.'s sea wall, at the very least moving the sewer line from one side to the other side of the street, and he would ask that they investigate it under question 2, but not that it has to be done.

City Manager Tinfow asked what the Council wants to do, stating it will be important as they go forward with this to make sure they understand the Council's direction.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she would bet it happens in the design, because there was a reason they did tie backs and cinder block at the time. She stated that they don't have the space to do the kind of sea wall that's on the south end, between the front of it and the houses, and consequently when they get into the issue of design, she thought it would take care of itself to some extent. She thought that it would be good if they can look at it moving forward.

Councilmember Keener agreed with that.

City Manager Tinfow wanted to make sure they weren't adding something that was going to add a price tag without them knowing about that. She asked if that was something they envisioned, moving the utilities as part of the sea wall replacement.

Public Works Dir. Ocampo stated that it was not part of the back of the envelope estimate that he provided, and he thought it would be something they have to investigate and add.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she wanted to be clear if that was something they want to include. She stated that Councilmember Keener offered the suggestion of exploring it, and they can bring it back to them with what it could add to the cost. She was sure it will add to the cost.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that, if it was 5-0 to protect city assets and looking for funds, and now they are saying, just in case, they want to move it off the street. He asked if that sends a mixed signal to a funder or grantor.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it could.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that was his concern.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she wanted to be clear so they can deliver what they were asking for.

Councilmember Nihart asked what they mean by moving it off the street.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that now it was near the beach and they want to move it 10-12 feet to the other side of the street. He stated that, if they go to grantor A that they want to protect the city assets and maintain their lifestyle, and they are saying that they also want to move it in case it doesn't work.

Councilmember Nihart stated that her point was completely different. She felt they have to get into the design of that before they figure out.

Councilmember Ervin thought it was an engineering question.

Councilmember Nihart agreed that it was an engineering question and she thought the best engineering result is what they want.

Councilmember Keener stated that he was fine with that.

Mayor Digre stated that they were saying that when they went for the wastewater treatment plant to be moved then, it saved them a lot of money, and she thought a funder would see the same thing, if it was wise to do it now or put it off.

Councilmember Keener referred to Councilmember Nihart's point that it was an engineering question, and thought the location may be so close to where the new sea wall will be placed that it will have to be moved but he agreed that it was a question for engineers.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill stated that he has no problem if it comes up with an engineering design because it has to.

City Manager Tinfow felt they have clear direction on that. She stated question 3 asks if Council authorizes staff to take all steps necessary to pursue this with US Army Corp of Engineers or other government agencies, in advancing efforts to protect existing city assets, streets, utilities, etc.,

Mayor Digre stated that all seemed to be ayes.

City Manager Tinfow referred to question 4 stating that grant sources currently cover only a portion of the total cost for repairs or mitigation projects and city funds are limited, and they asked what other methods of funding would the Council like to explore, such as the possibility of a local tax assessment or development fee as suggested by participants in the study session.

Mayor Digre felt they should go for everything, adding that they are in it together and should look at all possibilities and she didn't think they should be afraid of looking at assessments or taxes, as people were not reluctant to go for a 1/4 cent tax.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill didn't think the sales base at 1/4 cent would pay for this, and they would have to look at others. He stated that he thought they should look at assessment districts, and depending on the mitigation it might need to be done at that area and he thought they should look at a general tax or a bond, although that was probably the most expensive option. He thought they needed to look at all options and explore each one and estimate as to what it might bring in.

Councilmember Nihart would like to be sure they have tapped all their resources in terms of the state and county before they start talking to larger groups of citizens. She knew people on Beach Blvd. are very interested, but he was talking about a general, agreeing all in later, but in the immediate time, she suggested that they aggressively go after what might be available to Pacifica and she thought they needed more meetings to do that.

Mayor Digre could see the short and long term, but she thought they also have to talk about maintenance. She stated that they were all in it together and she didn't think they should be fearful of talking about it. She stated that, when you flush a toilet, whether on top of the hill or not, it was coming down here now, not in the future.

Councilmember Nihart didn't think anyone was afraid of it, but they haven't finished their conversations with the state.

Councilmember Ervin thought it should be part of the conversation.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill thought it was a yes for everyone.

City Manager Tinfow asked confirmation that it was yes for everyone.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill responded affirmatively.

City Manager Tinfow stated that for question 5, revealing the process of updating the Local Coastal Plan described in the report in more detail, she asked what other information, if any, would Council find helpful for future consideration of a sea level rise policy in the planned development, specifically if there was anything else they want staff to know as they move forward with the LCP update process.

Mayor Digre likes to make sure that they have forums as they had before, so the community at large has the opportunity to hear, listen and talk to each other. She felt those have proven to be very valuable.

Councilmember Nihart thought it was also an educational process with a lot of misinformation. She felt it was getting everybody on the same page with education. She assumed that, when they do it, they will also look at all the drainage issue. She felt that was a huge impact on what happens on the coast.

Councilmember Ervin asked about what they are doing in the Netherlands.

City Manager Tinfow stated that there was a city in Southern California where they may make a field trip to see, Del Mar, which appears to be ahead of Pacifica and facing some of the same issues. She felt there were others they can learn from.

Mayor Digre thought there were places like New Jersey.

Councilmember Ervin asked if it will include a cost benefit analysis, and if it would be part of a Local Coastal Plan or not.

Assoc. Planner Murdock was not sure that it currently accounts for an in depth cost benefit analysis of specific engineering approaches but he thought it was a more global assessment of what options are there, depending on the intent of the Council. He thought they would have to take those further on an individual basis.

Councilmember Ervin thought it would include housing issues and environmental issues, basically all aspects to consider.

Assoc. Planner Murdock stated that this specifically acknowledges the housing impacts, particularly those for lower and moderate income individuals, impact displacement of particular armoring, retreat or what other type of approaches might incur on those people. He stated that it does recognize those cost impacts and tries to assess the social justice and environmental justice dimension of that.

Councilmember Nihart stated that she sees this as ultimately being a merging of planning and public works in a unity of sea level erosion, flood management office.

City Manager Tinfow stated that it will be a team effort.

Mayor Digre asked if they were good.

City Manager Tinfow thought they were. She thought she has what she needs unless there are any other comments.

Councilmember Keener wondered if there was room for any kind of legal help in the Local Coastal Plan, referring to their responsibilities for lands they own and lands they don't own along the coast. He also wondered how they were limited in what they can do. He stated that some of those issues may be novel. He recalls the first sea level rise meeting, and there were some people from Washington D.C. talking about these legal issues and basically said it was wide open at that point.

City Attorney Kenyon agreed that some of the issues were still novel and unprecedented, but at the City Manager's request, they have begun looking at some of these issues, and she expects that they will continue to do so. She stated that he was correct that some of them were very novel but, in order to determine what potential answers might be, they have legal precedent on basic legal theories on property and legal actions to protect or damage.

Councilmember Keener stated that he would find it informative to be made aware of those legal issues that impinge on the LCP.

City Manager Tinfow thanked him. She then thanked them for their time, deliberations in going through the process. She hoped the Council and community leave the meeting being clear that

staff has been working on this since at least January if not before, and they have been successful in getting grant funds. She stated that some of the work was starting or has been done, and they are making progress. She stated that they have more to do, but they are being considered favorably by their partners, i.e., Army Corp, elected officials, Coastal Commission, etc. She hoped it was not a negative message but basically a positive message that they have made some great strides and, with their direction, they have a clear path and will be back with more information about the LCP process.

Mayor Digre stated that it was 10:30 and they need to start thinking about when they are going to be out of there. She asked if they make a decision to go to 12:00 or do they wait until 11:00.

Councilmember Ervin asked how important it was to discuss the work plan at this meeting.

Mayor Digre stated that she could ask the City Manager but they also have the emergency report.

Councilmember Ervin agreed about the importance of the emergency report, but she asked about the importance of it, and asked if they could put off the work plan.

City Manager Tinfow agreed that they could because it was their work plan. She stated that there was space on the next agenda and she thought they could bring it back then fairly easily. She stated that, if that was their preference, they could do that.

Mayor Digre stated that it sounds good. She asked if they could wait for one minute while they wait for changing of hands for the emergency report.

City Manager Tinfow thought that would be good. She asked if they took action to pick a time certain to end the meeting or are they waiting until closer to 11:00.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill asked how long the report was.

Councilmember Ervin asked about a half an hour, by 11:00.

City Manager Tinfow stated that she will talk to them during their break.

Mayor Digre thought they could get it done in a half hour.

RESULT: NO VOTE REQUIRED

9. Emergency Preparedness and Safety Commission Annual Report
PROPOSED ACTION: Accept the Emergency Preparedness and Safety Commission Annual report and provide feedback.

Police Captain Clements stated that he was the staff liaison to the Emergency Preparedness and Safety Commission and he introduced Commission Chair Evelyn Taverna who was prepared to give the annual report to Council.

Commission Chair Taverna presented the staff report.

Councilmember Nihart thought it was a great presentation, adding that she was glad she outlined the incredible skills of the commissioners themselves. She stated that what they contribute to the community was huge, adding that Frank Erbacher has been an institution by himself. She stated that most people do not realize how vital the exercises are in discovering things. She stated that every VA in the country was a disaster institution. They deploy people to disasters and provide disaster services in their own communities. She stated that they have done incident command for three of the Lake County fires and she has been engaged in that, but she felt the community exercises was when they discover things like they discover in the hospital state wide exercise that all of the blood comes from one supplier in San Francisco. She stated that has changed because of an exercise. She felt those were vital and she was glad that they all participate as well as the community.

Councilmember Ervin echoed the great presentation. She stated she didn't know how they are so lucky to have the members of the commission, with the longevity and professionalism. She stated that she served as the liaison for the first two years she was on Council and loved getting to know them and their expertise and what they do for their community which was so impressive. She commended all of them for staying with it for so long and being such a service to our community. She felt it was amazing. She referred to CERT and Map your Neighborhood, and if people are interested in starting that for their neighborhood, she asked what the best way for them to get started.

Ms. Taverna stated that, with Map your Neighborhood, if you go onto the city website, you can connect there. She stated that Brett Hicks was the man in charge and will go with them door to door initially to meet their neighbors, give them the information, and date for meeting.

Councilmember Ervin thought he was not a member but goes to every meeting.

Ms. Taverna stated that he wasn't one of the commissioners but he was involved with CERT.

Councilmember Ervin stated that he was also at the meetings. She asked if CERT happens twice a year.

Ms. Taverna stated that Rhonda was the expert.

Councilmember Ervin asked how often it occurs and what do people do if they would like to get CERT trained. She asked her to come up to be heard.

Rhonda Shaw stated that all they have to do is contact the police department and let them know they are interested in CERT, and they will forward their name and contact information to them. They have a class, with the next one in January. She stated that they graduated a class last week, with two classes a year.

Councilmember Ervin stated that they will come back and participate long term.

Rhonda Shaw stated that was why they have quarterly meetings, continuing with training on other topics.

Mayor pro Tem O'Neill thanked them. He stated that he has known Frank Erbacher for 15-20 years, and when he got elected, he suggested that he come and see the ham radio operation. He stated that he and his daughter went drove up from San Bruno on the road, and it was so foggy. He thanked them for all their service, adding that they hopefully will never need it.

Mayor Digre thought it was excellent. She asked if they could check, stating that she knew of at least two group homes for special needs individuals, and she thought they could be a CERT group themselves and help their neighborhood. She stated that she remembered the tsunami certification and was surprised that Pacifica didn't have tsunami. She asked if that was easy to do certification now.

Police Captain Clements stated that it was easier to do and they will be in the process of doing that this year.

Mayor Digre asked how long it takes.

Police Captain Clements thought they did it every other year. He stated that he has been in the position about ten months and they will be working with Public Works to make sure they are tsunami ready.

Mayor Digre stated that she was surprised when she found out that Pacifica did not have a tsunami group ready. She thought it was nice to have so many nurses involved.

Ms. Taverna thanked Captain Clements with all his help with the commission. She stated that it was very valuable to have him there, as well as Sargent Glasgow. She stated that Captain Spanheimer was with them for many years and was still very active in CERT and they get to see him too.

Councilmember Keener stated that it was a good presentation and they are all appreciated.

Mayor Digre added that the citizens join also, stating that it was nice to know there were many active citizens.

There were no public comments.

- 10.** Adoption of City Council Goals and Work Plan 2016-17
PROPOSED ACTION: Move to adopt the attached set of seven (7) Goals and the 2016-17 Work Plan.

RESULT:	WITHDRAWN
----------------	------------------

ADJOURN

Councilmember Nihart stated that she will be gone and will not be present for the Council of Cities, stating that she will be chairing the American Psychiatric Nurses Association.

Mayor Digre adjourned the meeting at 10:55 p.m.

Transcribed by Barbara Medina, Public Meeting Stenographer.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy O'Connell
City Clerk

APPROVED: 5-0; 10/24/16

Sue Digre, Mayor